Arboricultural Impact Assessment

and

Tree Condition Survey for the Heyford Park Village Centre Development

at

Camp Road,

Upper Heyford,

Bicester,

OX25 5HD

Prepared for Dorchester Group



A trading name of RG Consultancy Limited

Prepared by Peter Wilkins BA (Hons) MArborA Our Ref: 0318-2328 Rev1 March 2018

Contents

- 1.0 Introduction
- 2.0 Report Limitations
- 3.0 Statutory Tree Protection
- 4.0 Planning Context
- 5.0 Site Description
- 6.0 The Tree Resource
- 7.0 Arboricultural Impact Assessment
- 8.0 Summary of Tree Protection Measures
- 9.0 Arboricultural Site Supervision
- **10.0** Tree and Hedge Removals and Tree Pruning

11.0 Arboricultural Method Statement

- 11.1 Removal of existing structures and hard surfacing
- 11.11 Installation of temporary ground protection
- 11.14 Excavations and the requirement for specialized trenchless techniques
- 11.17 Installation of new hard surfacing;
- 11.21 Specialist foundations
- 11.23 Retaining structures to facilitate changes in ground levels
- 11.25 Preparatory works for new landscaping
- 11.30 Auditable / audited system of arboricultural site monitoring
- 12.0 Contact Details

Appendices

Appendix 1	Tree Condition Survey Tree Survey Plan
Appendix 2	Tree Removals Plan Tree Protection Plan
Appendix 3	Tree Protection Fencing Specification Tree Protection Fencing Notice

1.0 Introduction

- 1.1 This Arboricultural Implication Assessment has been prepared By Ruskins Tree Consultancy to inform the planning application for reserved matters application for the new village centre at the heart of the Heyford Park development The Upper Heyford Village Centre development is on a parcel predominantly located to the north of Camp Road, Upper Heyford as identified in the approved outline planning application 10/01642/OUT. This is a revised report for an amended layout following an earlier full application (17/00895/F) that was submitted in 2017
- 1.2 The scope of the assessment was to visit the site and to re-survey relevant trees, groups and hedges in accordance with BS5837:2012 'Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction recommendations.' These trees have previously been surveyed by Pegasus Group over the period from March 2015 to June 2016 and we have been provided with a copy of this tree survey, within our tree survey we have used the same tree numbers as the earlier Pegasus Group tree survey.
- 1.3 We have been provided with a copy of the proposed layout plan and have been instructed to assess the impact of development proposals on the arboricultural resource and to produce the following:
 - Arboricultural Impact Assessment
 - Tree Retention and Loss Plan
 - Tree Protection Plan
 - Arboricultural Method Statement.

2.0 <u>Report Limitations</u>

- 2.1 Trees are living organisms as well as self-supporting dynamic structures. Their physiological and structural condition can change rapidly in response to a wide range of biotic/abiotic factors. They have the potential to fail structurally, both with and without prior manifestation of any reasonably observable symptoms.
- 2.2 This report is prepared for the planning application purposes only and does not evaluate the degree of risk posed by trees.
- 2.3 It is beyond the scope of this report to comment in relation to structural damage direct or indirect, existing or potential that might be associated with vegetation growth, or vegetation-related soil subsidence or heave.
- 2.4 Any management recommendations set out within this report are of an advisory and preliminary nature only and relate to trees within the context of current site use.
- 2.5 Any physical alterations to site conditions subsequent to the date of the site survey will have the potential to change/invalidate the findings and recommendations of this report.
- 2.6 Findings relate to the condition of the trees as found at the time of survey.

- 2.6 Findings relate to the condition of the trees as found at the time of survey.
- 2.7 The findings and recommendations of this report are limited to a period of 24 months from the date of this report. In the event of any changes in the rooting environment of the trees including excavation works, waterlogging or removal of any underground structures /services the condition of the trees should be reviewed.
- 2.8 After extreme weather events or if any large branch failure, storm damage, structural failure or symptoms of disease of decay including fungi are observed then we recommend that the condition of the trees should be reviewed.

3.0 Statutory Tree Protection

- 3.1 The site is located within the Upper Heyford Conservation Area, therefore all the trees with a stem diameter in excess of 75mm are subject to protection under the Conservation Area legislation. Notwithstanding specific exemptions in general terms, a Conservation Area prevents the cutting down, uprooting, topping, lopping, wilful damage or wilful destruction of trees or woodlands without the prior consent of the local planning authority.
- 3.2 Unless tree works are explicitly approved within the full planning consent or are exempt from this statutory protection, no works should be undertaken to trees with a stem diameter of more than 75mm without the necessary notification (or if the trees are subject to a TPO a consent application for tree works) being submitted to Cherwell District Council.
- 3.3 We are not aware of any TPOs that protect trees within this area, but it should be noted that the Conservation Area status does not preclude the presence of Tree Preservation Orders (TPO) which may also serve to protect the trees.
- 3.4 On many sites (excluding specific exemptions) there is also a statutory restriction relating to tree felling that relates to quantities of timber that can be removed within set time periods. In basic terms, it is an offence to remove more than 5 cubic metres of timber in any one calendar quarter without having first obtained a felling licence from the Forestry Commission.
- 3.5 Prior to any treeworks or vegetation clearance being undertaken the possible presence of nesting birds or protected species needs to considered and if necessary specific ecological advice should be sought. Nesting birds and protected species (including bats and their roosts) are protected from disturbance under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended), The Countryside and Rights of Way Act 2000 (as amended) and the Conservation of Habitat and Species Regulations 2010.

4.0 <u>Planning Context</u>

4.1 In December 2011, Cherwell District Council (CDC) granted outline planning permission for the development of Heyford Park; a new settlement on the former RAF Upper Heyford airbase (Ref. 10/01642/OUT).

- 4.2 The outline permission included: -
 - Up to 1,075 dwellings (a mix of new build and conversion of existing former military accommodation)
 - New employment comprising of B1 Offices
 - B2/B8 industrial/ warehousing (new build and conversion of existing)
 - A new Village Centre
 - Other physical and social infrastructure
- 4.3 Heyford Park has been developed over a number of phases with a rolling programme of reserved matters applications. The conditions include the Heyford Park Design Code which has been produced as a way of defining the character of the development by creating distinctive character areas yet unifying the overall development as a coherent whole. One such character area included the proposed village centre.
- The Heyford Park development has 3 planning consents for 1075 dwellings (10/01642/OUT), 60 dwellings (13/01811/OUT) and 43 dwellings (16/00263/F) to give total of 1178 dwellings.
- 4.5 Relevant to the Village Centre are a number of planning permissions which have been granted, forming parts of the overall masterplan, which include: A new Free School at the former officers' mess (east of the village centre) the 60 additional dwellings to the (south west of the village centre) Change of use of Building 103 to the (west of the village centre).
- 4.6 The proposed village centre site is located opposite the already consented village centre south scheme; this site has the benefit of outline planning permission for a range of mixed development uses including shops and services (A1-A5), and other non-residential uses (D1), and residential (C3) use.

4.6 National Planning Policy Framework

4.7 National Planning Policy Framework (March 2012) from Department for Communities and Local Government includes guidance on design and the natural environment in paragraphs 17, 56, 57 and 61 and most specifically in paragraph 118 which states that;

'planning permission should be refused for development resulting in the loss or deterioration of irreplaceable habitats, including ancient woodland and the loss of aged or veteran trees found outside ancient woodland, unless the need for, and benefits of, the development in that location clearly outweigh the loss;'

4.7 There are no irreplaceable habitats, veteran trees or areas of ancient woodland on this site; it is therefore our opinion that the NPPF guidance in relation to trees is not relevant to the proposed development of this site.

5.0 <u>Site Description</u>

- 5.1 The site is described in detail within the planning application in summary the site is located to the northern side of Camp Road. Camp Road runs east-west through the centre of the residential part of Heyford Park. The site extends to 1.066 hectares; is broadly rectangular in shape and is located to the northern side of Camp Road and to the western side of Trident Junction.
- 5.2 The site is occupied by 4 buildings numbers. 100-103, with 101-102 being demolished, 100 being partially demolished and 103 being renovated to provide a Heritage Centre. The site is broadly level, with no adverse topographical features. Within the areas of open ground a fuel oil pipeline supported on numerous concrete blocks ran across part of the site.

6.0 <u>The Tree Resource</u>

- 6.1 The tree resource within the proposed development area consists of a group of trees planted as part of the airfield development of the site, including numerous beech, sycamores with some ash, Scots pines, hornbeams, hawthorns, a hawthorn hedge, a row of conifers. All the trees are estimated to be less than 70 years old.
- 6.2 The trees have an amenity value within the locality main derived from their group value. The quality of the individual trees within the group is considered generally to be average to poor due to a number of factors including; lack of management, poor nursery selection and lack of formative pruning, lack of any new planting and possibly poor growing conditions. For details on the individual trees please see the tree condition survey attached in Appendix 1.
- 6.3 A summary of the tree survey findings for the whole site is shown in the graph below and can be seen on the Tree Survey Plan. A total of 88 items including 79 individual trees, a group of 5 trees, 2 hedges, a group of cherry laurels and a shrub were surveyed.



6.4 The tree species are dominated by beech and sycamore which make up almost 80% of the tree resource with beech 41% and sycamore 37.5% of the tree population, with the remaining species forming only 20% of the tree stock in this area.



- 6.5 The tree resource consists predominately of Category C trees which make up over 60% of the tree resource with 36% of the trees qualifying as Category B trees. There are no Category A trees and only 1 Category U tree.
- 6.6 The BS Categories referred to in this report are described in detail in Appendix 1. In summary the quality of the trees resource is assessed and the trees are divided into 4 categories based a number of factors including; their condition, remaining life-expectancy, landscape, arboricultural and cultural/conservation value.
- 6.7 The BS 5837 (2012) categories are shown below:

Category U:	Those in such a poor condition that they cannot realistically be retained
Category A:	Trees of high quality
Category B:	Trees of moderate quality
Category C:	Trees of low quality

6.8 Due to the density of planting and lack of management many of the broadleaved trees have grown attenuated and particularly the ash and the supressed beech have developed poorly-formed, relatively small high canopies. Some of the trees have structural defects including weak unions which compromise their structural integrity. It should be noted that the majority of the trees have matured as part of a group and have formed a co-dependent larger canopy, this has impacted on their individual form and any proposal for selective removals needs to consider the impact of these works on the stability and structural integrity of the newly exposed retained trees.

- 6.9 One 1 beech tree T628 was observed to have a small basal cavity to the northern side of the stem with the fungi *Kretzschmaria deusta* (formerly known as *Ustulina deusta*) observed. This fungi causes a soft rot and decays the stem base and/or roots. The resulting brittle fracture has a ceramic like fracture surface. This fungi is considered to be one of the most significant in terms of its impact on trees as it degrades the root system as well as the heartwood. Trees with this fungus in high risk areas with high pedestrian / vehicle traffic should be felled as structural deterioration happens quickly. Trees commonly affected are beech, sycamore and lime although it may occur on any species.
- 6.10 The trees are growing within areas of open ground which along with containing the above ground fuel oil pipeline have been managed by regular mowing. Towards the western end of this part of the site the grounds maintenance management has lapsed. Throughout the site this management has prevented any new trees becoming established by natural regeneration and has also prevented any woodland floor habitats or flora becoming established. There are a number of trees with historic small basal wounds which are likely to have been caused by direct damage from grounds maintenance machinery, however these wounds are not considered to impact on the current condition of the trees.

7.0 Arboricultural Impact Assessment

- 7.1 The proposed redevelopment of this part of this site impacts on the potential to retain trees growing in this area. The Village Centre location was shown in the outline application (planning reference 10/01642/OUT) which was approved in December 2011. The proposed Village centre site is located directly opposite the already consented village centre south scheme and the entire master-planning exercise and phased development of the wider site has been based on the village centre being located in this area.
- 7.2 The trees to be removed to allow the proposed development are identified within the Tree Condition Survey and shown on the Tree Removals Plan. For ease of reference we have listed the trees to be removed.

Impact	Total	BS Cat A	BS Cat B	BS Cat C	BS Cat U
Trees to be removed To facilitate the Village Centre Development	57 Trees, 2 Hedges and 1 group of cherry laurels	N/a	T132, T134, T137,T138, T139, T140, T143, T164, T167, T168, T173, T178, T179, T180, T182, T184, T185, T186, T189, T629 (20 Trees)	T129, T130, T131, T188, T190, T191, T192, T135, T136, T141, T142, T144, T145, T146, T148, T149, T152, T159, T160, T165, T166, T169, T170, T171, T172, T174, T175, T176, T177, T181, T183, T187,T1496, H128, H133, G656, G657, (38 Trees, 2 Hedgerows and 1 group of cherry laurels)	N/A
Trees to be removed Due to poor condition	13 Trees	N/a		T147, T148, T150, T151, T153, T155, T193, T623, T624, T617, T625, T627 (12 Trees)	T626
Total (To be Removed)		N/a	20 Trees	50 Trees, 2 Hedgerows and 1 group of cherry laurels	1 Tree

Table 3

- 7.3 A total of 70 trees, 2 hedgerows and 1 group of cherry laurels are to be removed to allow for the Village Centre development. Of these 13 trees could be expected to be removed due to their poor quality as part of any tree management programme.
- 7.4 The trees to be removed to allow for the proposed development are 20 BS5837 'B' category trees (moderate quality) and 50 BS5837 'C' category trees (low quality) and 3 BS5837 'C' category hedgerow / shrub groups.
- 7.5 The trees to be removed are identified on the Tree Removals Plan and within the Tree Condition Survey. The principal of removing trees to allow for an appropriate layout subject to appropriate new tree planting is supported in all the relevant planning policies and in BS5837 (2012). 'Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction – Recommendations' which states that:

5.1.1 The constraints imposed by trees, both above and below ground (see Note to 5.2.1) should inform the site layout design, although it is recognized that the competing needs of development mean that trees are only one factor requiring consideration. Certain trees are of such importance and sensitivity as to be major constraints on development or to justify its substantial modification.

- 7.6 In my opinion none of the trees to be removed are of considered to be of 'such importance or sensitivity to be major constraints on development or justify its substantial modification'.
- 7.7 It should be remembered during the determination of this planning application that the Village Centre location was has been through a lengthy consultation process and was included within the 2010 outline planning application.
- 7.8 There are no 'Veteran' or 'near-Veteran' trees on this site and no BS5837 Category A trees to be removed as part of this development. There are a total of 70 trees proposed for removal 13 of these trees are in poor condition leaving a loss of 57 trees which can be attributed to the proposed development unfortunately many of the trees as matured as part of a group and due to their form and age, safely retaining these trees within any type of frequently used Village Centre development will be impossible to achieve.
- 7.9 A total of 18 trees are to be retained within the proposed development these trees can be supplemented by new planting and in the Village Centre environment can be enhanced by the planting of appropriate tree species which are proposed as part of the landscaping scheme. The tree planting strategy for this planning application has been prepared by Eden Development Consultants Ltd it includes new tree planting along the boundaries of the site and within the proposed development.
- 7.10 We understand that the species selection has been discussed with the Cherwell District Council Arboricultural Officer. The proposed new tree planting includes London Planes, Oriental Planes, Cherries, Gingkoes and Scots Pines. These trees will be planted in suitably specified and prepared planting pits with sufficient soil volume to ensure their long-term future and appropriate maintenance to assist with their establishment. All tree planting will be subject to ongoing management to ensure the trees become successfully established. The landscaping details are being prepared by Eden Development Consultants Ltd and can be secured by use of standard planning condition.

- 7.11 The proposed new planting will serve to mitigate the impact of the proposed tree removals. The proposed tree planting includes trees that have long life-expectancies, have the potential to reach relatively large size and are suitable for planting within the Village Centre development.
- 7.12 The proposed new tree planting within the Village Centre development will serve to significant increase the tree species and age class diversity within this site. This planting also gives the opportunity to secure the long-term future and amenity value of the tree resource within the Village Centre.
- 7.13 To ensure there is no net loss of trees as part of the wider Heyford Park development a comprehensive landscape strategy is currently being prepared to ensure that significant new tree planting is undertaken in appropriate locations across the site. This planting will aim to enhance the quality, landscape and amenity value of the tree resource across the site, whilst protecting valuable ecological habitats, increasing the bio-diversity value of the wider area and considering the long-term impacts of tree planting on the setting of the heritage assets and the wider landscape. It is proposed that this landscape strategy and the mechanism for securing its delivery will subject to consultation and agreement with the Cherwell District Council Arboricultural Officer and Landscape Officer.
- 7.14 To ensure that the retained trees are protected and maintained in the most favourable growing conditions it is proposed that as per the BS5837 (2012) the area around retained trees is fenced-off with tree protection fencing prior to commencement of any enabling, surcharge or demolition works.
- 7.15 All works within this fenced-off area including the removal of the existing hardstanding and proposed new hardstanding and soft landscaping will be undertaken following a detailed Arboricultural Method Statement and under the direct on-site supervision by the Arboricultural Clerk of Works.
- 7.16 Providing the retained trees are subject to appropriate protection it is my opinion that the proposed development can be constructed without detriment to the health, or longevity of the retained trees.
- 7.17 The following sections of this report outline the site works in relation to the retained trees, it is proposed as recommended in BS5837 (2012) that subject to planning consent being granted, the guidelines outlined in this report will be revisited and addressed in detail prior to site works commencing.

8.0 <u>Summary of Tree Protection Measures</u>

- 8.1 The main points of note regarding the tree protection measures during the proposed works are listed below:
 - An Arboricultural Clerk of Works (ACoW) will be appointed to help ensure that the retained trees are considered during the preparation of all external works drawings and are successfully protected during the proposed works.
 - Prior to any works commencing on site a meeting will be held with the site agent, client representative, demolition contractor and ground-workers to discuss the Tree Protection Measures associated with this project.
 - The theoretical root protection area of retained trees will be spray painted on the existing hardstanding all works in these areas will be undertaken under direct supervision by the Arboricultural Clerk of Works (ACoW).
 - Trees identified for removal as per the approved drawings will be clearly marked with spray paint. Any Trees works including clearance, removal or facilitation pruning will be undertaken by a suitably qualified and insured Arboricultural Contractor.
 - The initial site scrape will not be undertaken until the Tree Protection Fencing has been inspected by the ACoW.
 - All tree protection measures including The Tree Protection Fencing, Temporary Ground Protection will be installed prior to enabling, demolition, ground works or construction works commencing and will remain in situ during the construction programme.
 - No Machinery will overhang or pass over the line of the Tree Protection Fencing.
 - The open ground within the fenced off Construction Exclusion Zone will be mulched to a depth of 100mm and irrigation with a dedicated water supply will be installed.
 - Prior to any Enabling / Demolition / Construction works commencing the Tree Protection Measures will be inspected by the ACoW.
 - The Tree Protection / Site Logistics Plan will be on display in the site agent's office.
 - Any variations to the agreed construction methodology that may impact on the retained trees or the ground around the retained trees will be reviewed by the ACoW
 - All works (including Landscaping works) within the fenced-off Tree Protection / Construction Exclusion Zone and as identified on the Tree Protection Plan will be specified to avoid excavation, level changes and damage to the root system of the retained trees. The specifications and construction methodologies for all these works will be reviewed by the ACoW prior to works commencing.
 - The removal of existing hardstanding will be undertaken following the guidance outlined in the Arboricultural Method Statement and under direct Arboricultural Supervision by the ACoW.
 - The removal or movement of Tree Protection Fencing will only be undertaken following discussion with, and receipt of written confirmation from the ACoW.

- 8.2 It should be noted that damage to trees both above and below ground may impact on the health and structural integrity of the tree and this may (usually in the longer term result) in whole or partial tree failure, which has the potential to result in personal injury and or damage to property. With regard to the size and location of the retained trees it is therefore essential that the construction methodology and tree protection measures outlined in this report are fully implemented.
- 8.3 Below is an extract from BS5837 (2012) 'Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction *Recommendations*' relating to the preparation of an Arboricultural method statement.

6.1 Arboricultural method statement

6.1.1 A precautionary approach towards tree protection should be adopted and any operations, including access, proposed within the RPA (or crown spread where this is greater) should be described within an arboricultural method statement, in order to demonstrate that the operations can be undertaken with minimal risk of adverse impact on trees to be retained.

6.1.2 The arboricultural method statement should be appropriate to the proposals and might typically address some or all of the following, incorporating relevant information from other specialists as required:

- a) removal of existing structures and hard surfacing;
- b) installation of temporary ground protection,
- c) excavations and the requirement for specialized trenchless techniques;
- d) installation of new hard surfacing materials, design constraints and implications for levels;
- e) specialist foundations installation techniques and effect on finished floor levels and overall height;
- f) retaining structures to facilitate changes in ground levels;
- g) preparatory works for new landscaping;
- *h)* auditable/audited system of arboricultural site monitoring, including a schedule of specific site events requiring input or supervision.

6.1.3 The arboricultural method statement should also include a list of contact details for the relevant parties.

- 8.4 Within Section of 9 of this report we will deal with each of the above points in turn but the 1st works to be undertaken prior to any demolition or enabling works commencing will be the tree works along with the installation of the tree protection fencing as per the Tree Protection Plan prepared by Ruskins Tree Consultancy (See Appendix 1).
- 8.5 Prior any site demolition, ground works or construction works commencing Tree Protection Fencing will be installed in accordance with the Tree Removals and Tree Protection Plan attached in Appendix 1. Any subsequent works within the fenced-off area will be subject to detailed specification and direct arboricultural supervision.

- 8.6 Within the fenced off Tree Protection Area unless agreed with the ACoW there will be;
 - No level changes + or -
 - No storage of plant or materials.
 - No storage or handling of any chemical including cement washings.
 - No Pedestrian, Machinery or Vehicular Access.
 - Any works within the Fenced off areas will be subject to Arboricultural Supervision.
- 8.7 Fires on site should be avoided if possible. Where they are unavoidable, they must not be lit in a position where heat could damage foliage or branches. Fires must be a minimum of 20m from the trunk of any retained tree or the centre line of any hedgerow to be retained. No signs, cables, fixtures or fittings of any other description shall be attached to any part of a retained tree.
- 8.8 The fencing should only be removed only after completion of the construction works to allow for landscaping works. The fenced off area is a Construction Exclusion Zone (CEZ). Clear notices are to be fixed to the outside of the fencing with words such as 'TREE PROTECTION AREA NO ACCESS OR WORKING WITHIN THIS AREA'. (See Appendix 3).

9.0 <u>Arboricultural Site Supervision</u>

- 9.1 To ensure that the construction process is undertaken with minimal disturbance to the retained tree stock, an Arboricultural Clerk of Works (ACoW) will be appointed to undertake regular inspections of the site.
- 9.2 The Arboricultural Clerk of Works role shall be to:
 - a. To assess the specification and methodology of the proposed works and ensure these works have the minimum impact on the retained trees.
 - b. Brief the workers on the necessity to protect the retained trees.
 - c. To ensure the agreed methodology is followed by direct on-site supervision.
 - d. To prune roots using clean sharp pruning tools during manual excavation (if necessary).
 - e. To provide direction on tree protection issues as they arise.
 - f. To monitor and photograph the works undertaken.
- 9.3 Prior to site works commencing a site meeting will be held with the site agent and the arboricultural clerk of works and the demolition and ground works contractors.
- 9.4 The purpose of this meeting is to brief the site manager and relevant parties on the arboricultural issues to be considered, agree the programme of works and the location tree protection fencing.
- 9.5 The tree protection measures will be explained to all contactors and sub-contractors who will read, and sign this document before they undertake any works on site.
- 9.6 Arboricultural monitoring site visits will be undertaken at regular intervals during the construction process.

9.7 To deal with any emergences involving damage to trees, the Arboricultural Supervisor will provide a contact number that will be answered during all the hours of works on site. The Cherwell District Council Tree Officer will be informed of any accidents or emergencies involving trees.

10.0 Tree and Hedge Removals and Tree Pruning

10.1 Tree Works will be undertaken as per the approved plans on the granting of full planning permission. The works will be undertaken prior to the erection of the tree protection fencing, all vehicles and machinery will be located on the existing hardstanding or on open ground well beyond the Root Protection Area of the retained vegetation. All tree works will be undertaken by appropriately qualified and insured Tree Surgery Contractors with all works to comply with BS3998 2010.

11.0 Arboricultural Method Statement

11.1 <u>Removal of existing structures and hard surfacing</u>

- 11.2 Buildings 101-102 are being demolished, 100 being partially demolished and 103 being renovated to provide a Heritage Centre. Prior to demolition works commencing a site meeting will be held with the site agent, the demolition contractor and the Arboricultural Clerk of Works. The purpose of this meeting is to brief the site agent and demolition contractor on the arboricultural issues to be considered, review the demolition methodology and agree the programme of work and the location tree protection fencing.
- 11.3 The main points of note regarding the tree protection measures during the demolition works are listed below
 - Tree Protection Fencing will be installed prior to demolition works commencing
 - The existing hardstanding is to be retained during the demolition works*
 - No fires within 10m of any part of the retained trees
 - No storage of materials within 2m of any Tree Protection Fencing
 - There are no tree removals being undertaken as part of the demolition works.
 - No access across open ground within the RPA of retained trees
 - No Level changes within the RPA of retained trees
- 11.4 Prior to demolition works commencing the tree protection fencing will be erected to restrict the working zone and if necessary temporary ground protection will be installed as per the Tree Protection Plan (See Appendix 2). This 2m high fencing will form a rigid immovable barrier which will be braced and secured in place using ground pins (See Appendix 3). Tree protection fencing must remain in place throughout the demolition and construction works.
- 11.5 The existing hardstanding as identified on the Tree Protection Plan will be retained through the demolition programme. This hardstanding is to be removed as part of the landscaping works and will be undertaken under direct supervision by the ACoW. The removal of existing hardstanding within the theoretical root protection area of retained trees will be undertaken following the guidance outlined below.

- The theoretical root protection area of retained trees will be spray painted on the existing hardstanding all works in these areas will be undertaken under direct supervision by the Arboricultural Clerk of Works (ACoW).
- The existing concrete and kerb stones will be carefully lifted.
- The existing concrete and sub-base will be carefully removed, by hand using an air-spade if required to remove only the inert sub-base material beneath the tarmac during these works any roots over 20mm diameter encountered will be carefully exposed.
- During these works all contractors and machinery will be located on the existing hardstanding, carefully working away from the trees.
- Any large roots (over 20mm in diameter) encountered will be hand excavated to determine the direction of growth and these roots will be retained. This work shall be undertaken by hand and any roots found over 25mm in diameter to be left intact and protected from the elements such as frost, wind, sun, drying out etc.
- The area will be backfilled using imported clean topsoil. No machinery will move across the exposed area of open ground or backfilled topsoil.
- The Tree Protection Fencing will be moved to fence this area off and prevent access into this area.
- 11.6 All spoil, including excavated soil and demolition material, will be removed from site or stored in a location remote from any tree protection barriers. The fuel storage and refuelling point is to be located in an area remote from any of the trees.
- 11.7 During the demolition process weekly arboricultural monitoring site visits will be undertaken by Peter Wilkins of Ruskins Tree Consultancy. A mix of scheduled and unannounced site visits will be undertaken these inspections will serve to identify any damage to the Tree Protection Fencing, poor working practices, potential problems and points of conflict between the demolition process and the health of the trees.
- 11.8 During these visits any changes to the proposed works will be discussed, their impact assessed and recommendations for best practice will be outlined. After each of these visits a copy of the report will be sent to the Site Agent, Local Authority Tree Officer and Project Manager. The remedial action undertaken will be recorded on the next visit.
- 11.9 We reiterate that the existing hardstanding will remain in-situ throughout the demolition works and any removal of this hardstanding will be addressed as part of the construction works. This tarmac hardstanding appears to be of sufficient quality to withstand the demolition traffic. If any degradation of the tarmac occurs within the Root Protection Area of retained trees with any cracking, rutting or other damage observed, then traffic will immediately be stopped in these areas and the hardstanding will be treated to prevent compaction of the subsoil beneath. These works may include laying metal highway sheets, the use of a Geoweb /'Geogrid and or laying a sacrificial surface. These works will be undertaken under supervision by the ACoW and will be specified to avoid damage to the underlying soil or surrounding open ground.
- 11.10 No underground structures or services will be removed in proximity to trees within the Root Protection Area; this includes any structures / services located within the Root Protection Area of trees running beneath existing hardstanding.

11.11 Installation of temporary ground protection

- 11.12 The existing hardstanding is being retained during the demolition and construction phases.
- 11.13 If required temporary ground protection within the Root Protection Area of retained trees will be installed using a low impact No-Dig Hardstanding. (See Tree Protection Plan Appendix 2). A detailed specification for these areas will be prepared based on the soil characteristics and expected traffic prior to works commencing on this site. This specification will be reviewed and approved by the Arboricultural Clerk of Works. (See Section 11.17).

11.14 Excavations and the requirement for specialized trenchless techniques

- 11.15 Underground services will be designed to avoid the root protection areas of retained trees. The underground services drawing will be reviewed by the ACoW. If underground services are located within the Root Protection Area of retained trees the works the works will follow the guidelines outlined in NJUG Volume 4 Guidelines for the Planning, Installation and Maintenance of Utility Apparatus in Proximity to Trees Issue 2.
- 11.16 This guidance recommends works are undertaken following these rules; (with our additional comments in italics).
 - **Don't** excavate with machinery. Where excavation is unavoidable within this zone excavate only by hand or use trenchless techniques. (*Preferably using an air-spade to excavate soil to determine the size, location and density of roots within the service route).*
 - **Don't** cut roots over 25mm in diameter, unless advice has been sought from the local authority tree officer. (or ACoW)
 - **Don't** move / use heavy mechanical plant except on hard standing.
 - **Don't** store spoil or building material, including chemicals and fuels, within this zone.
 - Do prune roots which have to be removed using a sharp tool (e.g. secateurs or handsaw). Make a clean cut and leave as small a wound as possible.
 - Do backfill the trench with an inert granular material and top soil mix. Compact the backfill with care around the retained roots. On non-highway sites backfill only with excavated soil.
 - Do protect any exposed roots with dry sacking ensuring this is removed before backfilling.
 - **Do** notify the local authority tree officer and the tree's owner of any damage.

11.17 Installation of new hard surfacing;

- 11.18 The proposed area of new hardstanding identified as No-Dig on the Tree Protection Plan will be installed to limit excavation and avoid damage to the root system and rooting environment of the retained trees. In areas where there is existing hardstanding the new 'No-Dig' hardstanding will be specified and constructed to avoid excavation beneath the depth of the existing concrete and sub-base. The sub-base and surface finish of the proposed hardstanding will be specified to be both permeable and porous. Within the RPA of retained trees in areas of existing open ground the new 'No-Dig' hardstanding will be specified and constructed to avoid excavation >150mm below the existing ground level.
- 11.19 Detailed site specific specification for the permanent No-Dig Hardstanding will be prepared based on the soil characteristics and expected traffic prior to works commencing on this site, this specification will be reviewed and approved by the Arboricultural Clerk of Works.

- 11.20 For the No-Dig hardstanding the following guidelines will be followed:
 - No excavation is to be undertaken without agreement and supervision by the Arboricultural Clerk of Works.
 - During construction of the hardstanding all operations will be carried out using machinery located on the existing hardstanding, temporary ground protection or the installed hardstanding. No machinery will travel across the area where the existing hardstanding has been removed.
 - Install 'Infraweb'/ 'Cellweb' / 'Gopla' / 'Bodcell' or similar as per manufacturers specification.
 - Fill with 100mm of clean stones sizes 6mm-50mm as per the manufacturers' specifications. This fill must contain no fines, crushed concrete or MOT 'Type 1' is not a suitable fill material.
 - Concrete kerbs can be used if bedded using a concrete haunch keyed into the Cellular Confinement System. No excavation for edging kerbs will be permitted.
 - The finished surface must be permeable to moisture penetration.

11.21 Specialist foundations

11.22 The underlying soil conditions and proximity of existing and proposed trees will be considered during the specification of the foundations. This specification for these garage foundations and the construction methodology will be reviewed and approved by the Arboricultural Clerk of Works prior to these works commencing in this area.

11.23 Retaining structures to facilitate changes in ground levels

11.24 No changes in ground level are proposed with the root protection areas of retained trees.

11.25 Preparatory works for new landscaping

- 11.26 Dismantling the protection barriers will be required to allow completion of final landscaping. Supervision of this exercise and control of the landscaping thereafter will be administered by the appointed Arboriculturist. The removal of the Tree Protection Fencing is not an opportunity for machinery to access the previously fenced off area.
- 11.27 No further excavation will be carried out during this process and soils levels will not be raised above that existing by greater than 100mm and not at all within 2m of the trunk.

11.28 During landscaping works the following guidance will be followed.

- Landscaping within the RPA of retained trees shall be by manual methods only.
- No machinery is to be used for cultivation, removal of soil or additional of soil.
- For areas of open ground original soil levels shall be unchanged, without import of topsoil or removal of existing soil.
- For laying of turf, the soil will not be rotavated. The soil will be lightly forked, manually hoed and raked to a fine tilthe prior to laying of turf.
- For shrubs or herbaceous beds. Planting shall be by use of hand tools and excavation shall be to the minimum extent required for planting of shrubs etc., on an individual plant by plant basis.
- Bark mulch may be applied to a maximum 75mm depth. No mulch should be piled up against the trunk of retained or newly planted trees.

11.30 Auditable / audited system of arboricultural site monitoring

- 11.31 See Section 9.0. Arboricultural monitoring site visits will be undertaken at regular intervals during the enabling / demolition and construction programme. During the demolition / groundworks and initial phases of construction works site the visits will be undertaken on a maximum of a fortnightly intervals, as the construction programme progresses and the high risk activities in terms of impacting on trees have been completed the intervals will increase with the maximum interval between site visits of 1 month.
- 11.32 To deal with any issues involving the trees, the Arboricultural Clerk of Works will provide a contact number that will be answered during all the hours of works on site (See Below). The Local Authority Tree Officer will be informed of any accidents or emergencies involving trees.

12.0 Contact Details

Dorchester Group Project Manager Cat Vince 01869 238410 C.Vince@dorchestergrp.com

Arboricultural Clerk of Works Ruskins Tree Consultancy Peter Wilkins 07765 228388 peter@ruskins-tree-consultancy.co.uk

Cherwell District Council Arboricultural Officer 01295 221708

Appendix 1

Tree Condition Survey

Tree Condition Survey for the Heyford Park Village Centre, Upper Heyford, Bicester, OX25 5HD

Prepared for Dorchester Group



A trading name of RG Consultancy Limited

Prepared by Peter Wilkins BA (Hons) MArborA Our Ref: 0317-2107 Rev1 March 2017

Tree Condition Survey for the Heyford Park Village Centre Development, Upper Heyford, Bicester, OX25 5HD

1.0 Introduction

This survey has been undertaken on behalf of Dorchester Group, The scope of our assessment was to visit the site and to re-survey relevant trees, we have been asked to reassess the condition of trees located within and close to the boundary of the site in accordance with BS5837:2012 *'Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction – recommendations.'* These trees have previously been surveyed by Pegasus Group over the period from March 2015 to June 2016 and we have been provided with a copy of this tree survey, within our tree survey we have used the same tree numbers as the earlier Pegasus Group tree survey.

We have received a copy of the proposed layout plan and have updated this survey to reflect the tree works necessary to allow for the redevelopment of the site.

2.0 Survey Methodology

We have surveyed all the individual trees and groups of trees located within and close to the boundary of the site. The objective of the survey is to collect tree data relevant to the proposed redevelopment of the site and to categorise individual trees or tree groups in accordance with BS 5837 (2012) 'Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction – Recommendations' based on their condition, quality and future potential.

The purpose of the categories within BS5837 2012, is not to determine whether retention of trees is desirable, '*The purpose of the tree categorization method, which should be applied by an arboriculturist, is to identify the quality and value (in a non-fiscal sense) of the existing tree stock, allowing informed decisions to be made concerning which trees should be removed or retained in the event of development occurring.*' (BS5837 2012 Section 4.5.2).

This survey should therefore be regarded as an initial appraisal and observations, assessments or recommendations relating to tree protection zones, remedial tree works, protective fencing, foundation design, material specification are beyond the scope of this report. The location of the tree is shown on the attached drawing.

A detailed inspection with respect to decay, defects and hazard is not included.

Within the tree survey schedule, each surveyed Tree (T) or Group (G) on or adjacent to the site is given a reference number which refers to its position on the overall tree survey plan for Upper Heyford (electronic copy available on request). Tree survey plan information such as quality grading, preliminary tree constraints: root protection areas are subsequently used in order to assess arboricultural impacts and tree protection measures.

In accordance with BS5837:2012, the following measurement standards were applied.

- Tree species are listed by common name.
- Heights are measured in metres. They are recorded to the nearest half metre for dimensions up to 10m and to the nearest whole metre for dimensions over 10m.
- Trunk diameters are measured in millimetres and are rounded to the nearest 10mm. Single stemmed tree diameters are measured at 1.5m above ground level or, where a fork or swelling makes this impractical, at the narrowestpoint beneath. Diameters of multi-stemmed trees are calculated as 'combined stem diameters' according to specific guidance set out within BS5837:2012. W here trunk diameters have had to be estimated due to poor access, for example, this is indicated with a '#'.
- Branch spreads are taken at the four cardinal points to derive an accurate representation of the tree crown. They are recorded up to the nearest half metre for dimensions up to 10m and to up the nearest whole metre for dimensions over 10m.
- Crown clearance is expressed both as existing height above ground level of first significant branch along with its direction of growth (eg 2.5m-N), and also in terms of the overall canopy. Measurements are recorded to the nearest half metre for dimensions up to 10m and to the nearest whole metre for dimensions over 10m.
- Estimates. Where any other measurement has had to be estimated, due to inaccessibility for example, this is indicated by a "#" suffix to the measurement as shown in the tree survey schedule.
- Life stage is defined as Y young (stake dependent), SM Semi-Mature (still capable of being transplanted without preparation, up to 30cm girth and not yet sexually mature), EM Early Mature (not yet having reached 75% of expected mature size), M Mature (anything else up to normal life expectancy for the species), OM Over Mature (anything beyond mature and in natural decline), V

- Veteran (any tree displaying characteristics described by Natural England).

- General observations are recorded in relation to a tree's structural and/or physiological condition (e.g. the presence of any decay and physical defect) and /or any preliminary management recommendations that may be appropriate.
- *Physiological condition* is described as Good (no indications of impaired physiological function and in optimum condition for age and species), Fair (with indicators of reduced vitality. Some intervention may be required), Poor (with significantly impaired physiological function for age and species).
- *Structural condition* is described as Good (without any observable significant bio-mechanical structural weaknesses), Fair (with minor biomechanical structural flaws. Some remedial action may be required), Poor (with significant biomechanical weaknesses requiring intervention particularly where risk management is required).
- Useful life expectancy, or the length of time a tree's is estimated to be able to make a useful contribution, is expressed in years as: <10, 10+, 20+, and 40+.
- Quality of individual trees, groups of trees and woodlands is assessed in terms of quality and benefit within the context of proposed development and graded into one of four categories (A, B, C and U) which are differentiated on the tree survey (Appendix 3) plan as per the Cascade chart for tree quality assessment BS 5837 (2012) 'Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction Recommendations' see below.

BS 5837 (2012) 'Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction – Recommendations'.

Table 1 Cascade chart for tree quality assessment

Trees unsuitable for retention (See Note)				Identificatio					
Category and definition	Criteria (including subcategories where appropriate			on plan					
Category U • Trees that have a serious, irremediable, structural defect, such that their early loss is expected due to collapse, including those that will become unviable after removal of other category U trees (e.g. where, for whatever reason, the loss of companion shelter cannot be mitigated by pruning) • Trees that are dead or are showing signs of significant, immediate, and irreversible overall decline • Trees infected with pathogens of significance to the health and/or safety of other trees nearby, or very low quality trees suppressing adjacent trees of better quality NOTE Category U trees can have existing or potential conservation value which it might be desirable to preserve; see 4.5.7.									
Trees to be considered for retention									
	1 Mainly arboricultural qualities	2 Mainly landscape qualities	3 Mainly cultural values, including conservation						
Category A Trees of high quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 40 years	Trees that are particularly good examples of their species, especially if rare or unusual; or those that are essential components of groups or formal or semi- formal arboricultural features (e.g. the dominant and/or principal trees within an avenue)	Trees, groups or woodlands of particular visual importance as arboricultural and/or landscape features	Trees, groups or woodlands See Table 2 of significant conservation, historical, commemorative or other value (e.g. veteran trees or wood- pasture)	Green					
Category B Trees of moderate quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 20 years	Trees that might be included in category A, but are downgraded because of impaired condition (e.g. presence of significant though remediable defects, including unsympathetic past management and storm damage), such that they are unlikely to be suitable for retention for beyond 40 years; or trees lacking the special quality necessary to merit the category A designation	Trees present in numbers, usually growing as groups or woodlands, such that they attract a higher collective rating than they might as individuals; or trees occurring as collectives but situated so as to make little visual contribution to the wider locality	Trees with material conservation or other cultural value	Blue					
Category C Trees of low quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 10 years, or young trees with a stem diameter below 150 mm	Unremarkable trees of very limited merit or such impaired condition that they do not qualify in higher categories	Trees present in groups or woodlands, but without this conferring on them significantly greater collective landscape value; and/or trees offering low or only temporary/transient landscape benefits	Trees with no material conservation or other cultural value	Grey					

TABLE 1

Tree No.	Species	Hgt (m)	Stem Dia (mm)	CS N (m)	CS S (m)	CS E (m)	CS W (m)	1st branch	1st branch direction	Canopy Hgt (m)	Structural Condition	Physiological Condition	ERCY	Life stage	General observations Physiological and structural condition	Proposed Works	BS Cat
H128	Row of Western Red Cedar	10	150	2	2	2	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	Fair	Fair	40+	SM	A row of conifers	Remove to allow for proposed development.	C2
T129	Sycamore	18		634	-	6	6	6	6	N/A	Medium	Medium	40+	ОМ	Ivy into canopy. Broken branches. Concrete embedded into base of trunk.	Remove to allow for proposed development.	C1
T130	Sycamore	16		450	-	6	6	6	6	3	Medium	Medium	40+	ОМ	Ivy into canopy. Suppressed by hedge H128. Suppressed to north.	Remove to allow for proposed development.	C1
T131	Lilac	5	300	3	3	3	2	N/A	N/A	1.5			40+	ОМ	A small mature shrub	Remove to allow for proposed development.	C1
T132	Ash (Common)	12	550	6	6	9	6	2	South	2	Ave	Ave	20+	М	Ivy into canopy. Minor amounts minor deadwood.	Remove to allow for proposed development.	B2
H133	Mixed hedge.	1.5	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	A mixed hedge along the highway boundary of the site.	Remove to allow for proposed development.	C2
T134	Sycamore	15	520	4	6	5	3	4	South east	3.5	Medium	Medium	40+	м	Epicormic growth at base could be removed.	Remove to allow for proposed development.	В2
T135	Ash (Common)	15	270	2	5.5	2.5	1	N/A	N/A	5	Low	Low	10+	м	Forks at 5m. Drawn up and suppressed.	Remove to allow for proposed development.	C2
T136	Ash (Common)	15	370	2	8	2	4	5	South west	4	Medium	Low	20+	М	Minor deadwood. Crossing branches. Kink in the stem.	Remove to allow for proposed development.	C2
T137	Sycamore	15	370	2	6	3	2	4	South west	2.5	Medium	Medium	20+	М	No work required. Suppressed to north.	Remove to allow for proposed development.	B2
T138	Beech (Common)	16	500	3	6	3.5	4	3.5	South east	2.5	High	High	40+	М	Girdled roots. Good tree.	Remove to allow for proposed development.	B2
T139	Sycamore	15	420	0.5	6	2.5	2	3.5	West	4	Medium	Medium	20+	м	Remove epicormic growth at base. Minor pruning wounds and decay. Suppressed to north and east.	Remove to allow for proposed development.	B2
T140	Beech (Common)	17	580	6	6	4.5	3.5	4	East	2	Medium	High	20+	М	Weak fork with included bark at 2m.	Remove to allow for proposed development.	В2
T141	Beech (Common)	17	420	1	8.5	2	0.5	2	South	2	Medium	Medium	10+	М	Heavily suppressed to north.	Remove to allow for proposed development.	C2
T142	Beech (Common)	17	450	5	6	4	1.5	4	East	4	Medium	Medium	10+	М	Minor pruning wounds. Suppressed to west.	Remove to allow for proposed development.	C2
T143	Beech (Common)	17	850	6	8	4	5	4	South	3	Medium	High	20+	М	No works required.	Remove to allow for proposed development.	B2
T144	Sycamore	15	320	3	0.5	2	3	N/A	N/A	3	Medium	Low	10+	М	Suppressed to south. Poor.	Remove to allow for proposed development.	C2
T145	Beech (Common)	15	297	4	3	3	4	3	South east	2.5	Medium	Medium	10+	М	No works required. Weak fork at 1m.	Remove to allow for proposed development.	C2
T146	Sycamore	17	480	4	7	3	4	2	South	3	Medium	Medium	10+	м	Severe decay on north side where stem has been removed. Monitor decay.	Remove to allow for proposed development.	C2
T147	Hawthorn	4	220	1	3	2.5	3	2	West	2	Medium	Medium	10+	М	In hedge. Evidence of past pruning on roadside. Remove ivy on stem.	Remove due to poor condition	C2

Tree No.	Species	Hgt (m)	Stem Dia (mm)	CS N (m)	CS S (m)	CS E (m)	CS W (m)	1st branch	1st branch direction	Canopy Hgt (m)	Structural Condition	Physiological Condition	ERCY	Life stage	General observations Physiological and structural condition	Proposed Works	BS Cat
T148	Sycamore	15	347	4	6	3	2	3.5	South	4.5	Medium	Low	10+	М	Removed limb at base, partial occlusion with cavity and decay. Probed to 20cm, soft with decay. Deadwood, suppressed to east. Remove to benefit adjacent trees.	Remove due to poor condition	C2
T149	Sycamore	15	509	6	6	2	3	2.5	North	2	Medium	Medium	20+	М	Multiple weak forks. Minor deadwood. Suppressed to east.	Retain and protect during construction works	C2
T150	Hawthorn	6	300	1	3	3	3	N/A	N/A	2.5	Medium	Medium	10+	М	Forks at 2m. Remove ivy and re assess.	Remove due to poor condition	C2
T151	Hawthorn	4.5	230	0.5	3	3	3	N/A	N/A	2.5	Medium	Medium	10+	Μ	Forks at 1.5m. Remove ivy and re assess.	Remove due to poor condition	C2
T152	Sycamore	17	460	7	6	4	3	2	South	4	Medium	Medium	20+	М	Some pruning wounds with deadwood and decay. Clean through canopy.	Retain and protect during construction works	C2
T153	Plum (Purple)	9	377	4	2.5	5	3	N/A	N/A	2	Medium	Medium	10+	М	Remove ivy. Forks at 1m.	Remove due to poor condition	C2
T154	Beech (Common)	16	742	8	8	8	7	2.5	South west	2	Medium	High	20+	М	No works required. Minor deadwood.	Retain and protect during construction works	B2
T155	Hawthorn	6	250	0.5	3	3	3	N/A	N/A	2	Medium	Medium	10+	Μ	Forks at 2m. Remove ivy and re assess.	Remove due to poor condition	C2
T156	Sycamore	15	550	7	6	5	5	2	North west	2.5	High	High	20+	Μ	Minor deadwood.	Retain and protect during construction works	B2
T157	Sycamore	15	600	6	6	5	3	2.5	South east	2.5	Medium	Medium	20+	М	Possible decay at junction of stems at 1.5m. Suppressed to west.	Retain and protect during construction works	B2
T158	Beech (Common)	16	630	4	7	6	5	2.5	East	2	High	High	20+	Μ	Suppressed to north.	Retain and protect during construction works	B2
T159	Sycamore	16	450	2	4	4	2	1.5	North	6	Medium	Low	10+	М	Suppressed generally, minor deadwood. Possible removal to benefit other trees.	Retain and protect during construction works	C2
T160	Sycamore	16	250	3	1.5	1.5	3	N/A	N/A	2	Medium	Low	10+	М	Decay at base. Remove epicormic growth. Possible removal to benefit other trees. Suppressed generally.	Retain and protect during construction works	C2
T161	Elm	16	550	5	4	7.5	1.5	4	North east	N/A	Low	Low	<10	М	Removed	Removed	U
T162	Sycamore	15	670	6	4	4	5	4	West	5	Medium	Low	20+	М	Poor shape. Suppressed to south.	Retain and protect during construction works	B2
T163														М	Removed	Removed	U
T164	Beech (Common)	16	750	6	4	5	6	5	North east	3	Medium	Medium	20+	М	Overhangs building to west. Weak fork at 1.5m. Meters embedded at 1.5m south.	Remove unsuitable to be retained due to weak lower union and removal of trees to the east of this tree.	B2
T165	Beech (Common)	16	310	3	0.5	0.5	5.5	5	North west	2	Low	Medium	10+	М	Severe lean to north west. Remove to benefit other trees.	Remove unsuitable to be retained due to unbalanced canopy.	C2
T166	Sycamore	16	500	5	3	5	4	4	West	4	Medium	Medium	10+	М	No works required.	Remove unsuitable to be retained due to unbalanced canopy.	C2
T167	Beech (Common)	16	480	6	3	4	3	4	North east	2	Medium	Medium	40+	М	No works required.	Remove to allow for proposed development.	B2
T168	Beech (Common)	16	540	7	3	5	6	5	North west	3.5	Medium	Medium	20+	М	No works required.	Remove to allow for proposed development.	B2

Tree No.	Species	Hgt (m)	Stem Dia (mm)	CS N (m)	CS S (m)	CS E (m)	CS W (m)	1st branch	1st branch direction	Canopy Hgt (m)	Structural Condition	Physiological Condition	ERCY	Life stage	General observations Physiological and structural condition	Proposed Works	BS Cat
T169	Sycamore	16	360	1.5	1.5	0.5	1.5	2.5	South	3	Medium	Medium	20+	М	Suppressed shape. No works required.	Remove to allow for proposed development.	C2
T170	Beech (Common)	16	220	3	1	1.5	2	2	South	2	Medium	Medium	20+	М	Remove side shoots to south to 2m.	Remove to allow for proposed development.	C2
T171	Beech (Common)	16	360	3	4	4	4.5	4	East	3	Medium	Medium	20+	М	No works required.	Remove to allow for proposed development.	C2
T172	Beech (Common)	16	440	2	5	8	3	4	South east	4	Medium	Medium	20+	М	Suppressed to north west. Twisted branches at top of canopy.	Remove to allow for proposed development.	C2
T173	Beech (Common)	16	320	2	4	3	3	9	South east	9	Medium	Medium	40+	М	No works required.	Remove to allow for proposed development.	B2
T174	Hornbeam	16	275	2	4	6	2.5	2.5	East	2.5	Medium	Medium	20+	М	No works required.	Remove to allow for proposed development.	C2
T175	Sycamore	16	286	2.5	3	3	2	N/A	N/A	9	Medium	Low	20+	М	Remove to benefit other trees.	Remove to allow for proposed development.	C2
T176	Beech (Common)	16	280	0.5	8	8	0.5	N/A	N/A	10	Low	Low	20+	Μ	Moderate lean to the south. Remove to benefit other trees.	Remove to allow for proposed development.	C2
T177	Beech (Common)	16	370	3	5	7	4	N/A	N/A	10	Medium	Low	20+	Μ	No works required.	Remove to allow for proposed development.	C2
T178	Hornbeam	16	520	5	6	7	5	2.5	East	2	High	Medium	20+	М	No works required.	Remove to allow for proposed development.	B2
T179	Beech (Common)	16	550	8	5	9	4	2.5	North	0.5	Medium	Medium	20+	М	Raise canopy to 2m. Remove deadwood. Overhangs building to east. Suppressed to west.	Remove to allow for proposed development.	B2
T180	Beech (Common)	16	640	8	6	4	5	5	North	7	Medium	Medium	20+	М	Cavities observed. Suppressed to west.	Remove to allow for proposed development.	B2
T181	Sycamore	16	340	1	3	3	1	N/A	N/A	10	Medium	Low	20+	Μ	No works required.	Remove to allow for proposed development.	C2
T182	Beech (Common)	16	440	7	3.5	5	3	4	South east	4	Medium	Medium	20+	М	No works required.	Remove to allow for proposed development.	B2
T183	Beech (Common)	16	190	3	1.5	2	1.5	2.5	North east	2	Medium	Low	20+	EM	Remove to benefit adjacent tree.	Remove to allow for proposed development.	C2
T184	Sycamore	16	670	7	3.5	5	3	5	North	5	Medium	Medium	40+	М	Concrete to north.	Remove to allow for proposed development.	B2
T185	Beech (Common)	16	300	1.5	3.5	3	4	2.5	West	2.5	Medium	Medium	40+	М	No works required.	Remove to allow for proposed development.	B2
T186	Beech (Common)	16	670	7	5.5	4.5	7	4	South west	3	Medium	Medium	20+	М	Overhangs building to north. No works required.	Remove to allow for proposed development.	B2
T187	Beech (Common)	16	370	7	5	1.5	4	2	West	2	Medium	Medium	20+	М	Remove side shoots to 2m. Raise canopy to 2m.	Remove to allow for proposed development.	C2
T188	Sycamore	15	453	5.5	0.5	2.5	5	N/A	N/A	3	Medium	Medium	20+	М	Suppressed to south and east.	Remove to allow for proposed development.	C1
T189	Beech (Common)	16	460	4	3.5	2	5	4	West	5	Medium	Medium	20+	М	Suppressed to south and east. Minor amounts minor deadwood.	Remove to allow for proposed development.	B2
T190	Sycamore	16	570	4	3	3	2	N/A	N/A	5	Medium	Medium	20+	М	Several cavities with decay. Suppressed to the west. Broken branches. Kerb and Tarmac to east.	Remove to allow for proposed development.	C1
T191	Sycamore	15	480	1	3	1.5	6	N/A	N/A	5	Medium	Medium	20+	М	Pruning wounds, cavities, minor amounts minor deadwood.	Remove to allow for proposed development.	C1

Tree No.	Species	Hgt (m)	Stem Dia (mm)	CS N (m)	CS S (m)	CS E (m)	CS W (m)	1st branch	1st branch direction	Canopy Hgt (m)	Structural Condition	Physiological Condition	ERCY	Life stage	General observations Physiological and structural condition	Proposed Works	BS Cat
T192	Sycamore	15	200	1.5	2	2.5	0.5	2.5	West	N/A	Medium	Medium	10+	EM	Poor. Remove to benefit other trees.	Remove to allow for proposed development.	C1
T193	Sycamore	15	424	3	2	6	3	N/A	N/A	5	Medium	Medium	10+	М	Pruning wounds and cavities with decay. Suppressed to the south. Minor amounts minor deadwood. Forks at 1m. Lower branches removed.	Remove due to poor condition	C1
T194	Sycamore	1.5	400	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	High	Low	<10	М	Dead stump.		U
T614	Beech (Common)	17	510	4	6	7.5	3.5	2	West	0.5	Fair	Fair	20+	М	Raise canopy to 2m. Crown slightly supressed by adjacent tree to west. Typical of age and species.	Retain and protect during construction works	B1
T615	Beech (Common)	18	610	6.5	3	8.5	6.5	3.5	North west	0.5	Fair	Fair	20+	М	Slightly stressed to south by adjacent tree. Raise canopy to 2m. Typical of age and species, some deadwood, concrete block back at base.	Retain and protect during construction works	B2
T616	Sycamore	17	340	2	2	2	2.5	3.5	South	2	Fair	Fair	10+	М	Poor form, tar spot on leaves, drawn up and supressed.	Missing	C2
T617	Sycamore	18	538	6.5	3	4	3	N/A	N/A	2	Fair	Fair	10+	М	Twin stems, poor form with moderate deadwood. Ivy in stems, both stems with cavities and decay. Suppressed and thin canopy.	Remove due to poor condition	C2
T618	Beech (Common)	18	670	7	6	5	7	4	West	1	Fair	Good	40	М	Twin stems above 1.5m from ground. Snub nosed rib at fusion point of both stems. Moderate deadwood on eastern stem. Cavity at 3m north on western stem. Typical of age and species. Fallen deadwood at base.	Retain and protect during construction works	В2
т619	Beech (Common)	18	780	4	7	5	7	N/A	N/A	0.5	Fair	Good	40+	М	Twin stems, with further twin stems in southern stem, weak fork. Suppressed to north east. Dense canopy, rib formation either side of union,	Retain and protect during construction works	B2
т620	Beech (Common)	18	380	5	4	4	4.5	3	West	2	Fair	Good	40+	М	Good form, nice tree. Remove tree to south to better the growing space for this tree. Dense canopy, good shape.	Retain and protect during construction works	B1
T621	Pine (Scots)	15	280	0.5	4	1.5	1	N/A	N/A	11	Fair	Poor	10+	М	Poor form, drawn up and thin stem. Thin canopy, lions tailing. Remove to better tree to north.	Retain and protect during construction works	C2
T622	Beech (Common)	18	1050	6.5	11	11	8	3	West	0.5	Fair	Good	40	М	Twin stems at 1.5m, twin stem on southern stem, 3 in total. Concrete above ground pipe at base of stem to north west. Potential weak forks at 1.5m. Recommend raise canopy to 2m and clear street light	Retain and protect during construction works	B2
т623	Hornbeam	8	380	5	3	5	5	2	West	0	Fair	Fair	20	М	Some snapped branches lost large lower limb to southern side, excavation on east side t base, crowded union,	Poor quality tree remove to allow for proposed development.	C1
T624	Pine (Scots)	11	370	0.5	6	5.5	0.5	6	South east	4	Poor	Fair	10+	М	Looks to have lost its leader, bent form, poor shape, suppressed.	Poor quality tree remove to allow for proposed development.	C2
T625	Pine (Scots)	14	270	0.5	0.5	5	0.5	N/A	N/A	13	Poor	Fair	10+	М	Mature suppressed tree thin canopy, poor shape.	Remove due to poor condition	C2

Tree No.	Species	Hgt (m)	Stem Dia (mm)	CS N (m)	CS S (m)	CS E (m)	CS W (m)	1st branch	1st branch direction	Canopy Hgt (m)	Structural Condition	Physiological Condition	ERCY	Life stage	General observations Physiological and structural condition	Proposed Works	BS Cat
т626	Sycamore	17	620	6	3.5	4	4	N/A	N/A	2.5	Fair	Fair	20+	М	Forks at 3m. Hard standing to north. Remove ivy from stem. Crossing branches. Crowded stems above fork, minor deadwood	Retain and protect during construction works	B2
T627	Pine (Scots)	15	330	5	1	2	5	7	East	5	Fair	Fair	10+	М	Poor shape, drawn up form with thin canopy.	Remove due to poor condition	C2
т628	Beech (Common)	18	610	4	3.5	6	6	2.5	West	2.5	Fair	Poor	<10	М	Potential weak fork at 2m, slightly supressed, small basal wound with a small basal cavity to northern side of trunk with the fungi <i>Kretzschmaria deusta</i> (formerly Ustulina Deusta) observed. This fungi causes a soft rot and decays the stem base and/or roots	Remove due to presence of Kretzschmaria deusta	U
т629	Beech (Common)	18	950	3	7	7	6	3.5	South	0.5	Fair	Good	20+	М	Remove ivy from stem. Clear out minor internal deadwood from canopy. Typical of age and species due to its proximity to the beech tree T628 this tree has an unbalanced canopy, the removal of T628 will leave this tree exposed and at risk of structural damage failure in high winds. With regard to its proximity to the highway we do not consider that this tree can be retained in this high target risk location	Remove due to the proposed removal of	В2
T630	Beech (Common)	18	910	6	7	8	6	3.5	East	0.5	Fair	Good	40+	М	Twin stems, raise canopy to 2m. Typical of age and species, good shape, lower limbs pruned in the past.	Retain and protect during construction works	B1
G656	Cherry laurel, sycamore.	10	500	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	0.5	Fair	Fair	10+	М	Mature dense group of evergreen cherry laurel,	Remove to allow for proposed development	C2
G657	Sycamore, beech	17	500	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	2	Fair	Fair	20	М	Group of 4 sycamores and 1 beech. Beech has large cavity from base to 1.5m with internal decay. Individually fair, all suppressed and poor shape with moderate deadwood. Western sycamore lost major limb and major internal decay.	Remove to allow for proposed development	C2
T1496	Sycamore	15	470	7	5	6	6	1.5	North	0.5	Fair	Fair	20	М	Early mature tree growing within the cherry laurel G656 over-extended lateral limbs with failed lower limb to north	Remove to allow for proposed development	C2

Appendix 2

Tree Removals Plan

Tree Protection Plan

(If digital versions of these plans are required

please email info@ruskins-tree-consultancy.co.uk to request a copy)



International Flam For Dorchester Group 01277 849990 Info@ruskins-tree-consultancy.co.uk www.ruskins-tree-consultancy.co.uk Scale NTS 03/04/2017 Project No. 03/17-2107 Wg. No. TSP 1	Tree Removals Plan Key Hedge to be retained outside Village Hedge to be retained Hedge to be retained to the composite Hedge to save to instance of the save to instance of the save to be retained on the detained instance of the save to instance of the save to instance of the save to be retained on the save to be retained to be retained on the save to b



Appendix 3

Tree Protection Fencing Specification

Tree Protection Fencing Notice

Tree Protection Fencing Specification



Tree Protection Fencing should be erected as per the Tree Protection Plan prior to any works commencing or materials being delivered to site.

If concrete or rubber feet are used these must be pinned to the ground to prevent movement.



PLEASE KEEP OUT

The trees in this area are protected by statutory protection and / or planning conditions. Any works in this fenced off area may result in damage to the above ground parts or root system of these trees.

Damage to these trees is a breach of the planning consent and may lead to enforcement action and / or a criminal prosecution.

Please contact peter@ruskins-tree-consultancy.co.uk for more information.