**From:** publicaccess@cherwell-dc.gov.uk [mailto:publicaccess@cherwell-dc.gov.uk]
**Sent:** 05 July 2019 09:36
**To:** Public Access DC Comments
**Subject:** Comments for Planning Application 19/01047/OUT

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 9:36 AM on 05 Jul 2019 from Mr Reuben Fayker.

|  |
| --- |
| **Application Summary** |
| **Address:** | Land North East Of Oxford Road West Of Oxford Canal And East Of Bankside Banbury  |
| **Proposal:** | Outline planning application for a residential development of up to 850 dwellings; green infrastructure including formal (playing fields with changing rooms, allotments) and informal open space, landscaping; and associated infrastructure including a balancing pond; on land off the A4260, with access off the existing Longford Park access off the A4260 (Oxford Road), and a new access off the A4260 (Banbury Road). All matters of detail reserved, save for access.  |
| **Case Officer:** | Samantha Taylor  |
| [Click for further information](https://www.publicaccess.cherwell.gov.uk/online-applications/centralDistribution.do?caseType=Application&keyVal=PSMVWGEMHKF00) |

|  |
| --- |
| **Customer Details** |
| **Name:** | Mr Reuben Fayker |
| **Address:** | 39 Songthrush Road, Banbury OX15 4GL |

|  |
| --- |
| **Comments Details** |
| **Commenter Type:** | Neighbour |
| **Stance:** | Customer objects to the Planning Application |
| **Reasons for comment:** |  |
| **Comments:** | This is ill conceived, given the existing strains on the existing infrastructure within and around the town of Banbury and satellite villages. The current Longford Park estate already struggles due to the high residential density and an inadequate and poorly planned road system, where meandering and very narrow roads are often congested due to insufficient and inappropriate parking. Resulting in prolonged waits to move through the site and to exist and enter the site. If it has not already occurred, it is only a matter of time before an emergency vehicle is prevented from attending an incident on the estate due to restricted road access. To entertain the idea of increasing the population and ergo vehicle density ignores the reality of the situation and resultant suffering of those directly affected. To then consider a sports stadium on the same site beggars' belief. I certainly did not choose to live within close proximity to one. As with the narrow roads, inadequate sewerage / drainage, minuscule gardens or lack of a garden, and absence of pavements to safely traverse the estate, I suspect this is purely a money-making exercise driven by greed, profits and a lack of foresight as are so many decisions at this level. It brings to mind the explosion of the building of tower blocks in the 60's, driven by people who did not have to reside in them.In summary, my concerns are as follows:Safety: Additional vehicles on and around the estate including construction vehicles (often dangerously leaving mud on the roads) - further restricting access, potentially for emergency vehicles . (the existing absence of pavements on the estate and absence of road makings, designated pedestrian crossing points may well continue)Environmental damage - removal of natural drainage within the watershed could result in local flooding. Increases in pollution - noise (and dirt) from construction and additional vehicles, building site run-off contaminating land and waterways, poor air quality from increased and stationary vehicles exhaust gases and air borne particulates including dust, resulting in possible health issues for residents and contributing to global climate changeTraffic Congestion: Ingress and egress to and from the estate as well as within the estate and surrounding roads, into and out of Banbury.Inconvenience: Additional traffic and prolonged building works. Saturation of local amenities and public service infrastructure. Throngs of supporters attending the stadium on match day potentially demonstrating anti-social behaviours.  |