**From:** publicaccess@cherwell-dc.gov.uk [mailto:publicaccess@cherwell-dc.gov.uk]
**Sent:** 25 April 2019 12:11
**To:** Public Access DC Comments
**Subject:** Comments for Planning Application 19/00619/F

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 12:10 PM on 25 Apr 2019 from Mr Robert Taylor.

|  |
| --- |
| **Application Summary** |
| **Address:** | Land East Of The Leys Adderbury  |
| **Proposal:** | Erection of 3 No dwellings on land east of The Leys, Adderbury  |
| **Case Officer:** | Bob Neville  |
| [Click for further information](https://www.publicaccess.cherwell.gov.uk/online-applications/centralDistribution.do?caseType=Application&keyVal=PPG2NGEMH5D00) |

|  |
| --- |
| **Customer Details** |
| **Name:** | Mr Robert Taylor |
| **Email:** |  |
| **Address:** | Stonewalls, The Leys, Adderbury, Banbury OX17 3ES |

|  |
| --- |
| **Comments Details** |
| **Commenter Type:** | Neighbour |
| **Stance:** | Customer objects to the Planning Application |
| **Reasons for comment:** |  |
| **Comments:** | Dear Sir,I wish to object to the above proposed planning application primarily on the basis of the extremely limited access to the site. Vehicular access to the site can only be made from Tanners Lane via the Leys at a junction that is already a bottleneck for traffic. Resident parking in Tanners Lane and the small grassed island at the entrance make it difficult or sometimes impossible to pass without mounting the island. Once into the Leys, a small single track not a road as such with no passing points, Lorries and large vehicles find it impossible to negotiate without trespassing on neighbours property or reverse in or out as refuse collection vehicles are forced to do. On occasions it is not possible to enter or leave via the Leys due to deliveries or short term inconsiderate parking. A building project of this size would create traffic mayhem for its duration and the additional properties thereafter.Creating such a scenario for a backland development in a conservation area prone to flooding and requiring the decimation of a mature wooded area and changes to existing rights of way, would seem totally unnecessary when the village has more than adequate existing building sites with suitable access and are not regressive in nature and avoid the adverse effects on the neighbourhood. |