

From: publicaccess@cherwell-dc.gov.uk [<mailto:publicaccess@cherwell-dc.gov.uk>]
Sent: 07 July 2019 16:14
To: Linda Griffiths
Subject: Comments for Planning Application 19/00895/REM

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 4:13 PM on 07 Jul 2019 from Mr Peter Monk for Banbury Civic Society.

Application Summary

Address: OS Parcels 6741 And 5426 West Of Cricket Field Nor Wykham Lane Bodicote

Proposal: Reserved matters to 15/01326/OUT - Layout, scale, appearance and landscaping for the residential development of up to 280 dwellings and 34 space car park.

Case Officer: Linda Griffiths

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name: Mr Peter Monk for Banbury Civic Society

Address: 55 Waller Drive, Banbury OX16 9NS

Comments Details

Commenter Type: General Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments: A number of points : -
1) Are 00895 and 01037 one and the same ? The documents listed appear to be identical.
2) On both numbers I have not been able to open Sheet 1 of the Indicative layout - the image is squashed to the top of the screen and is, therefore, illegible. This has happened before in a few cases. Can it be rectified, please ?
3)The sports areas have been moved form the positions shown back in 20 ?? Then the football pitches were alongside the Salt Way and provided a buffer between some of the houses and the naturalistic environment of the Way - also goal-keepers would not have the sun in their eyes from mid-day onwards. Sites near Salt Way and the spine road would be easier for visiting teams to find.
4) The spine road appears to be further east (closer to Salt Way) to that proposed earlier - can we be assured

that this will, indeed, align with the other section towards A 361, given that OCC have invested a lot of effort in designing the new roundabout at the A 361 junction.

5) Consideration of OCC's proposals for pedestrian crossings on White Post Road have led to suggesting that the 30+ parking area ought to be on the other-side of the link road (i.e. on the inside of the bend) with the access opposite that to the cricket club, as this would discourage parents and children from being tempted to cross opposite the school without protection of a proper crossing.

6) When may we expect a 'matching' application for the remainder of Ban 16 so that the entirety of the link road can be realised ?