Land West of White Post Road, Bodicote

























Contents

- 6 The Site
- 6 Site Location
- 7 Site Photos
- 8 Topography
- 10 Context Appraisal
- 10 Urban Grain Study & Density
- 11 Sense of Place
- 12 Architecture and Typologies
- 14 Constraints & Opportunities
- 16 Local Amenities
- 16 Banbury & Bodicote

- 18 Public Consultation & Design Evolution
- 18 Post-Submission Consultations Comments 2
- 20 Post-Submission Consultations Comments 1
- 21 LPA Comments Post-Submission
- 22 Pre-application Consultation with LPA
- 23 Meeting with Parish Council
- 26 Design Proposal
- 26 Overarching Principles
- 26 Layout
- 26 Heritage Impact Assessment
- 28 Movement
- 30 Car & Cycle Parking
- 31 Public Open Space
- 32 Unit Mix
- 33 Storey Heights
- 34 Affordable Housing
- 35 Refuse
- 36 Indicative Lighting Strategy

- 37 Secured by Design Principles
- 40 Appearance & Character Areas
- 40 Character Areas
- 41 Architecture
- 41 Materials
- 42 Main Street
- 46 Park Edge
- 50 Neighbourhood
- 54 Saltway Edge
- 56 Key Character Elements
- 64 Landscape Proposal
- 55 Sustainability
- 56 Building for Life







Vision

To create a high-quality landscape led development of appropriate density, to reflect the location and character of the context.

To respect existing public Rights of Ways and provide opportunities for pedestrian connections.

To create a residential scheme that integrates well with the surrounding residential area in terms of pedestrian connectivity access and character.

To create spaces of good ecological quality that integrate existing features.

To create a strong landscape structure so that the development can be embedded within it.

To create a network of open spaces that are conveniently located for new and existing residents.

To create a varied but cohesive proposal in which 'accents' within the site help create a sense of place and identity.

To create an 'integrated community' where affordable housing will be indistinguishable from open market dwellings.



Q













Introduction

The Brief

This Design and Access Statement (DAS) has been produced on behalf of David Wilson Homes (Mercia) Limited in support of a reserved matters planning application to develop 280 dwellings and garages, spine road, public open space, play areas/ sports pitches, allotments, public car park and associated infrastructure.

The Purpose of the Document

This document illustrates the way in which the proposals have developed from the Outline planning consent, using the established principles to underpin this reserved matter planning application.

The format of this document follows National Planning guidance for design and access statements. It has also been produced within the context of CABE guidance entitled 'Design and Access Statements: How to Write, read and use them' (2006)

This statement should be read in conjunction with the technical plans/ drawings and supporting documents.

Structure of this Document

This document has been structured as follows:

Foreword - Vision: this section is an opening statement which sets out our ambition for the project, it's qualities and benefits.

Section 1 - Introduction: introduces the document and gives an overview of policies and guidelines adhered to throughout the proposal.

Section 2 - Local Context.

Section 3 - The Site: describes and analyses the key features of the site and local area and the development constraints and opportunities.

Section 4 - Proposals: explains how initial ideas for the site have been generated from analysis of the site a how these have been developed to produce a robust well tested, housing layout.

The Team

This DAS has been prepared in collaboration with the following design team:

David Wilson Homes (Mercia) limited - Applicant

DWH in house & Thrive Architects - Architect/ Master planners

Keary Design Associates - Landscape Architects

Infrastructure Design Limited - Highways & Drainage Engineers

Harrislamb- Planning Consultants Statement

General Development Principles

The development proposals reflect current government guidance and Cherwell District Council's policies on creating high quality development and improving design quality of the urban environment and draw upon guidance within the following documents:

- National Planning Policy Framework
- Adopted Cherwell Local Plan 2011 2031
- Saved policies in the Cherwell Local Plan (1996)
- Cherwell Design Guide (October 2017)
- Residential Road Design Guide (2003) Second Edition (2015 Oxfordshire County Council)
- Manual for Street (Department of Transport)
- Secured by Design guidance
- Urban Design Compendium
- Planning & Design of Outdoor Sports & Play (FIT)
- Better Places to live. A companion guide (DTLR and CABE publication)
- Design & Access Statement (CABE)



Planning Background & Current Position

The Outline application, reference: 15/01326/ OUT, subsequently allowed at planning appeal (Ref: APP/C3105/W/17/3172731), on 20th December 2017, sets the parameters for future development. There are a number of constraints within the Decision Notice, which are discussed in detail separately. The house types proposed offer a range of sizes, which will be sufficient to accommodate future need and growth within Bodicote. This will meet the future needs and growth requirements. The proposal also includes compliant levels of affordable homes. For more detailed Planning information regarding planning, please see the accompanying Planning Statement.

Requirements of the Outline planning permission:

The outline planning permission included a range of requirements for the Scheme to deliver.

These were included in the planning obligations in the s106 agreement and in the conditions on the decision notice. These requirements are set out elsewhere in the statement, however in summary these include:


- Affordable Housing Scheme
- Allotment Scheme
- Bridleway Scheme
- Car Parking Scheme
- On Site Outdoor Sport Facilities
- Play Areas
- Spine Road
- SuDs Scheme

The conditions also require the following to be submitted and these have been submitted along with the application unless specified:

- Condition 9 Existing and proposal ground and floor levels - these have been submitted with this application
- Condition 11 Agricultural survey, AMS, AIA
- Condition 13 Secure by Design Statement
- Condition 14 Phase 2 Investigation
- Condition 18 Mitigation strategy for Badgers
- Condition 20 Strategic Environmental Management Plan (to be submitted under discharge of conditions application)

- Condition 21 Construction Works Management Plan (to be submitted under discharge of conditions application)
- Condition 22 Details of protection of PROW (to be submitted under discharge of conditions application)
- Condition 25 WSI archaeology (to be submitted under discharge of conditions application)
- Condition 26 on-site renewable energy -**Energy Statement**

Combined with the other supporting reports, it has been demonstrated how the proposed Scheme delivers a high quality and inclusive residential scheme, both in its own right and in the context of the wider allocation. We consider the Scheme meets the provisions of the Local Development Plan and the Council's CRDG.



Q















Site Location

The site is located on the northern - western extremities of Bodicote and southern edge of Banbury. The site covers an area of 17.53 ha of agricultural/arable land bounded by established hedgerows to the site boundaries. The Salt Way Bridleway lines the northern boundary, with existing residential development located beyond. To the west lies arable fields which are part of the same residential allocation and subject to an outline application for up to 1000 houses, mixed use centre, primary school and range of other facilities to meet the needs of this residential allocation. To the south the site is bounded by Wykham Lane and to the southeast lies Bodicote Cricket Club and existing Recreation Ground. The north eastern part of the site provides connections to the White Post Road which defines the eastern site boundary. The site access is to be located off White Post Road on the eastern site boundary and will form the start of a central spine road across the application site which links to development to west.












Site Photos































The site's general topography falls gently from the north west to the southeast corner.

The topographical survey identifies a level of 114m AOD (above ordinance Datum) which is low point on Wykham Road adjacent to the Banbury Cricket Club ground. This rises to a level of 125.9m AOD adjacent to the north west of the application site, adjacent to Salt Way and existing buildings from Leabrook Close and Jaynes Close.



TOPOGRAPHICAL SURVEY PLAN

Context Appraisal

An assessment of the existing local context of Bodicote has been completed in order to understand the urban form within the local area. This context appraisal will be utilised to show how the proposed development has been influenced by the forms and arrangement of dwellings within

Urban Grain Study & Density

The adjacent figure ground plan shows the urban grain of Bodicote and with each colour highlighting how different areas of the settlement have evolved and developed over time. A distinction in typologies, frontage and density can be seen between each area.

Historic Core (Yellow)


This area has the most distinct and significant character. Winding streets well enclosed by terraced and semi-detached dwellings located on the back edge of pavement create some areas of high density development.


Mid - Late C.20th (Orange)

This housing is typical of the post-war era with a mix of terraced, semi & detached homes in an informal, low density layout, predominantly two stories, with some bungalows and maisonette bungalows extending to 1.5 floors.


Early c.21st (Purple)

Strong defined edges and perimeter block structures. Well defined areas of open space, wider separation distances and variations in enclosure.









3 CHURCH STREET: 41 DPH

6 PARK END ROAD: 28 DPH

8 OXFORD ROAD: 12 DPH


BLACKWOOD PL: 24 DPH

4 DEERS CL: 17 DPH

MID - LATE 20TH CENTURY



MID - LATE 20TH CENTURY





 \mathcal{D}

Sense of Place

The figure ground and frontage analysis suggests that Bodicote has an urban morphology typical of most rural settlements with a mix of terraced, semi-detached and detached house types.


Within the settlement there are variations to; architectural form and materials; street landscaping; and enclosure, which all contribute to streets having a well defined and individual sense of place.

The historic core has more compact and enclosed streets with shorter separation distances between frontages. Most dwellings are located on the back edge of pavement with no defensible space which creates an intimate feeling of enclosure (Section 3).

The mid-late c.20th streets share similar characteristics and layouts (Section 1). These areas feel comparatively open and are characterised by terraced or semi-detached units with strong frontage and moderate front gardens which soften the streetscape.

Areas on the periphery of Bodicote either front onto major roads or countryside and have minimal enclosure to the streets as they typically overlook expansive countryside (Section 4).

The 21st century developments to the east are dense perimeter blocks with varying frontage separation distances which create a strong feeling of enclosure with strong frontages (Section 2).





BODICOTE - TOWN FURLONG

BODICOTE - TOWN FURLONG















Context Appraisal

Architecture and Typologies

A variety of architectural approaches exist within Bodicote and the surrounding area.

Historic Bodicote

The historic centre of the settlement is principally defined by sand-coloured rubblestone, a prevalent material present on the majority of buildings. Roofs are finished in slate or plain tile with black or white rainwater goods.

Windows are typically timber casement, with some sash, finished in heritage colours. Lintel material includes concrete, brick and thin dark timber. Cills are finished in brick, stone, concrete

Most dwellings are 2 storeys, with some 2.5 and 3 storey dwellings on key routes. Most are located on the back edge of pavement, with minimal defensible space.













Late 20th & 21st Century Development Surrounding the historic core of Bodicote can be found more recent architectural examples.

Most of these are finished in red or buff brick, with roofs in plain tile or slate with black or white rainwater goods and clipped eaves and rafter feet. A few contemporary dwellings are finished in single ply standing seam membrane.

They generally have simple vernacular forms with regular arrangement of openings.

Windows are typically timber or uPVC casement, with some sash, finished in white or heritage colours. Lintel material includes concrete, brick, and thin dark timber. Cills are finished in brick, concrete or tile.

Most dwellings are 2 storey and located on behind modest front gardens or areas of defensible space.



































Constraints & Opportunities

The following constraints and opportunities for the proposed scheme were identified, drawing upon the assessment of the site and supporting technical information.

Constraints and Opportunities

- The site access off White Post Road will form the start of a central spine road across the application site which links the development
- The development is limited to a maximum of 280 dwellings in accordance with the outline planning permission
- Central spine road to be located within a prescribed zone and co-ordinated connection
- Opportunity for a mature park setting from the access point
- Some hedgerow field boundaries to be removed to improve connectivity across the site in accordance with pre-application advice
- Opportunity for good pedestrian connectivity between the development and the wider setting of Banbury and Bodicote
- Provide minimum 20m buffer to the Salt Way, which was identified as non-designated heritage asset in the outline application. This runs along the northern site boundary
- Opportunity to provide active frontage on to Salt Way
- Respect the visual amenities of the wider landscape setting and minimise visual impact of the development

- Retain the Public Rights of Way and incorporate a Bridle Way through the new development
- Maximum 8.5m high ridge height restrictions
- Opportunities for extensive new landscaping to retain and add to the existing green infrastructure
- Opportunity to provide high quality open spaces incorporating play facilities, landscaping, sustainable drainage areas and ecological benefits
- To provide high quality development edge which provides a sensitive transition between the proposed development and the wider countryside setting to the south and west
- Opportunities to provide new and enhanced pedestrian routes around and through the site
- Bodicote is considered to be a sustainable settlement and a rural key service area
- High quality of new housing, in all respects, will be delivered, through a mixture of types and sizes, including the requisite percentage of affordable housing
- Easy walking access to the village centre and its wide range of services, facilities and public transport links

- Increased opportunities to provide enhancements to the local ecology in terms of supplementing the existing peripheral landscaping, new on-site landscaping and the provision of a linear SUDs feature with species rich grassland
- New formal and informal public open space zones will be provided including the provision of play equipment
- This site is within the setting of the Bodicote Conservation Area. The treatment of the White Post Road frontage was the focus of consideration of the outline application.
- Requirement to provide a car park adjacent to the south side of the access from White Post
- The approved masterplan sets out the broad location of housing and the areas of open space



Site Boundary



Proposed site access



Temporary site access Public Right of Way



Public Right of Way (Saltway) combined bridleway and public footpath min. 20m stand off required



Temporary diversion of Public Right of



Proposed Bridleway connection coordinates E445425, N238224 & E445428, N238239



Existing landscape



Existing landscape to be removed Existing gardens backing onto site



Fall of land



Views in and out of site



Restricted views in and out of site



Opportunity for mature Parkland setting



Proposed attenuation basin & swale



Sensitive response to existing allotments



Existing overhead electric



Diverted route of overhead electric



Existing surface water sewer



Diverted route of surface water sewer



Proposed pumping station Proposed car park



Proposed Spine Road

Proposed Spine Road connection between Land Ownership to be made between coordinate E445479, N238506 & E445477. N238492



50m corridor on Spine Road

















Local Amenities

Banbury & Bodicote

Banbury and Bodicote offer a wide range of facilities within an easily accessible distance including a primary school, cricket club, open space, charming High street (in Bodicote) which offers number of pubs/restaurant and a local farm shop. Further sports facilities are proposed as part of the development including football pitches, formal play areas and a youth games court. Additional facilities are also proposed as part of the wider Banbury 17 allocation comprising of mixed use local centre (including A1 retail up to 1000m², Financial Services (A2), restaurants, pubs and takeaways (A3, A4, A5), community uses (D1); primary school and safe guarding additional primary school land; secondary school playing field land; green infrastructure including formal (including playing fields) and informal open space, landscape and amenity space; charging and sports facilities (including D2). The proposal will also seek to enhance existing links between the site and existing facilities, as well as providing additional links to the wider footpath network.

Banbury town centre is located approximately 2km to the north of the application site, which provides numerous facilities including, schools, shops, post office, restaurant/pubs, local centre, doctor's surgery, Hospital, Churches and Village Hall can all be found within a reasonable distance from the site. The bus route will run through the application site along the central spine road making further east to west connections.

Access & Movement

The development site has good access to public transport with bus stops located on White Post Road to the east and Sycamore Drive to the north. The local bus services run throughout the day providing links to Banbury to the north. The new Spine Road will also make provision for bus stops within the site. There will be enhanced footpath links to assist in providing safe access for pedestrians to the north onto Salt Way leading into Banbury and Bodicote. Banbury Railway Station provides wider connections to Birmingham and London.











LOCAL BUS STOPS



LOCAL PLAY AREA



LOCAL PETROL STATION

Key

Site Boundary

Schools

Fitness Centres

Post Office

District Council Hospital / Local GP / Pharmacy

Supermarket

Religious Centre

Community Centre

Pubs, Cafés & Restaurants

Local Shops

Local Bus Stops

Q











Public Consultation & Design Evolution

In this section we set out the various different phases of the applicants engagement with the council and local community. We start with the most recent comments received post submission and then work back from there.

Post-Submission Consultations Comments 2

DWH received further consultation advice in April 2020 in respect of urban design, house type, landscaping and secured by design. The comments and our response has been tabulated separately and included in our submission letter.

The annotated plan identifies the key changes made to the planning layout to address the latest consultation comments.



PLAN 1

Public Consultation & Design Evolution

Post-Submission Consultations Comments 2

This plan shows extent of layout changes carried out to address the last consultation comments.



Key

Layout changes

PLAN 2



Post-Submission Consultations Comments 1

DWH submitted an amended scheme in December 2019 in response to LPA letter dated 22nd August 2019 and a meeting on 13th January 2020. Following the meeting further amendments to the proposal were discussed and formal response provided on the 14th January 2020.

The annotated plan explains the key design changes which have been addressed to satisfy urban design, highway and housing officer comments.



heiahts

page 29 of the DAS.

This has been considered in the reciseing. Please see revised proposal, and was a contract of the spen road or colde or appropriate of the cold of an appropriate of the cold of appropriate of the cold of a possible of the cold o		Case Officer Comments (22/8/19)	How the new proposals address this point
Pull form along the spine road needs further consideration to create a strong to the richings. Powelogment feels car orientated rather than pedestrant/cycle focused group continues. The application progress a number of private chives with title connection between groups of dwellings and the adjacent areas of POS. The application progress a number of private chives with title connection between groups of dwellings and the adjacent areas of POS. This has been considered in the redesign, Additional paths have been provided for advantage this provide the adjacent areas of POS. This has been considered and the road endings and must be better integrated to allow greater permebility and the chropoter and must be better integrated to allow greater permebility and the chropoter and must be better integrated to allow greater permebility and the chropoter and must be better integrated to allow greater permebility and the chropoter and the road and the road endings and provided to additional paths have been added for christoper than the provided and sequence of play cupriment has been added for christoper than to servifie the provided and sequence of play cupriment has been added for christoper and provided and sequence of play cupriment has been added for christoper and provided and sequence of play cupriment has been added for christoper and provided and sequence of play cupriment has been added for christoper and provided and sequence of play cupriment has been added for christoper and provided and sequence of play cupriment has been added for christoper and provided and sequence of play cupriment has been added for christoper and provided to search the search than the provided and sequence of play cupriment has been added for christoper and provided to search the search than the provided and sequence of play cupriment has been added for additional provided and sequence of play and provided the search than the provided and provided and sequence of play and provided to search the provided and provided the search than	16	relationship to the remainder of the development. It is not considered that the 2 detached houses and private drives off the spine road create an appropriate gateway. Suggest this block of units are removed and the development here set back to help create a better and more informal transition from Bodicote and the	This has been considered in the redesign. Please see revised proposal.
Development fields car orientated rather than pediestrian/tycle focused Development fields car orientated rather than pediestrian/tycle focused The application proposes a number of private drives with little connection between proups of owellings and the adjocent areas of POS The application proposes a number of private drives with little connection between proups of owellings and the adjocent areas of POS The application proposes a number of private drives with little connection and the control of the proposed from the development and the character and nature of this route considered further. The focuseful/furdidusary has little character this green route is an acest and recording as shown ourselfly. The focuseful/furdidusary has little character this green route is an acest and feering as shown ourselfly. Min back to back distances of 22m and back to side of 14m. Min back to back distances of 22m and back to side of 14m. Development of the form any part of Saltway must be adhered to as per condition 42. Min back to back distances of 22m and back to side of 14m. Development from any part of Saltway must be adhered to as per condition 42. Min back to back distances of 22m and back to side of 14m. Development from any part of Saltway must be adhered to as per condition 42. Min back to back distances of 22m and back to side of 14m. Development of the saltway must be adhered to as per condition 42m. This has been considered in the route redesigned. Swales and latendary has a same galled parts and relatively side pricines. This has been considered to achieve the base design as all full full for the saltway and the control of the saltway and the control of the saltway and the control of the saltway and the saltway and the control of the saltway and	17	Plots 1-3 also need further consideration	The area around plots 1-3 have been redesigned.
The spitication proposes a number of private chree with ittle connection between crosts of owlerings and the adjacent areas of IVS The spitication proposes a number of private chree with little connection between crosts of owlerings and the adjacent areas of IVS The footpath/furcillusery has little character this green route is an asset and mast be better integrated to oblige green premeability and the character and relutive of this crost-considered further. The footpath/furcillusery has little character this green route is an asset and realizer of this crost-considered further. The footpath/furcillusery has little character this green route is an asset and realizer of this crost-considered further. The footpath/furcillusery has little character this green route is an asset and realizer of this crost-considered further. The footpath/furcillusery has little character this green route is an asset and realizer of this crost-considered further. The footpath/furcillusery has little character this green route is an asset and realizer of this crost-considered further. The footpath/furcillusery and book to side of 14m control of the control of the control of this crost-considered further. The footpath/furcillusery standards, minimum 22m book to book and 14m to side has been achieved, however there are some instances where this standard has been compositions on the standard has been compositions with a control of the book of the standard has been compositions on the standard has been compositions with a control of the standard has been compositions with a control of the standard has the standard has been compositions with a control of the standard has the standard has been compositions with a control of the standard has the standard has been compositions with a control of the standard has been compositions with the standard has been compositions with the standard has been compositions with the stand	18		Strong built form frontage has been provided to reflect the character area.
to achieve better connectivity across the development of the services of POS to achieve better connectivity across the development of the services of the sport to achieve better connectivity across the development of the services of the sport to achieve better connectivity across the development of the services of the sport to achieve better connectivity across the development of the sport to achieve better connectivity across the development of the services or the services of the sport the foot part of the services or considered further. The green or protect should not be separated from the development by hedges and fencing as shown currently Min back to back distances of 22m and back to side of 14m Min back to back distances of 22m and back to side of 14m Min back to back distances of 22m and back to side of 14m Min back to back distances of 22m and back to side of 14m Min back to back distances of 22m and back to side of 14m Min back to back distances of 22m and back to side of 14m Min back to back distances of 22m and back to side of 14m Min back to back distances of 22m and back to side of 14m Min back to back distances of 22m and back to side of 14m The internal privacy standards, minimum 22m back to back and 14m to side has been orthored to be able to be side of 14m The internal privacy standards, minimum 22m back to back and 14m to side has been orthored to be side of 14m The consideration must be developed to the development and spoul and stream or or an instance that it is an across the service of the services of 14m to service the ear or or minimum 22m back to back and 14m to side has been considerated, minimum 22m back to back and 14m to side has been considerated, minimum 22m back to back and 14m to side has been considered to service the ear or or minimum 22m back to back and 14m to side has been considered to service the best design solution. This has been considered to, minimum 22m back to back and 14m to side has been considered. The planning layout did and service the consi	19	Development feels car orientated rather than pedestrian/cycle focussed	
must be better integried to allow greater permeability and the character and nature of this route considered further. The grean route should not be separated from the development by hedges and fencing as shown currently. Min back to back distances of 22m and back to side of 14m In general privacy standards, minimum 22m back to back and 14m to side has been adhered to wever there are some instances where this standard has been adhered to wever there are some instances where this standard has been adhered to wever there are some instances. Where this standard has been adhered to wever there are some instances where this standard has been adhered to wever there are some instances. Where this standard has been adhered to wever there are some instances where this standard has been adhered to wever this standard has been adhered and as sequence of play equipment has been adhed for a charge of the standard has been adhered to wever instances where this standard has been adhered to wever the standard has been adhered to wever instances. This has been adhered to wever instances where this standard has been adhered to wever this standard has been adhered to well as the development and a standard has been adhered and as each owner. The standard has been adhered to be adhered to a standard has been adhered to well and the administration and the standard has been adhered to well and the administration and the standard has been adhered to well and the administration and the standard has been adhered to well and the standard has been adhered. The base see the standard has been adhered to well and the	20		to achieve better connectivity across the development. By focusing on
functioning as shown currently In peneral privacy standards, minimum 27m back to back and 14m to side has been achieved, however there are some instances where this standard has been achieved, however there are some instances where this standard has been achieved, however there are some instances where this standard has been achieved, however there are some instances where this standard has been achieved, however there are some instances where this standard has been achieved, however there are some instances where this standard has been achieved, however there are some instances where this standard has been achieved the best design solution. This has been considered, please see new house type drawings, planning ayout and states correct and standard through the special planning layout indicates key buildings. The materials plan and house type planning drawings illustrate the differences. Publings should be wirnple wide fronted units with horizontal emphasis. Noted. Noted. Noted. Noted. Noted. Housing mix does not acceptable MICA is too close to the car park and is not overlooked Car park is too tight-spaces should be wider than typical and include greater distances between rows of parking to be desirable to use by parents with young children. Proposed LEAP and LAP are not overlooked and are not well integrated or easily accessible from within the development and are separated by the proposed swales Polymosed LEAP and LAP are not overlooked and are not well integrated or easily should be incorporated within the body of the built development and should be incorporated within the body of the built development and should be incorporated within the body of the built development and should be incorporated within the body of the built development and should be incorporated within the body of the built development properated within the body of the built development properated within the body of the built development properated. Public/ private spaces are defined. Additional details will be provided in the	21	must be better integrated to allow greater permeability and the character and	have been added and a sequence of play equipment has been added for
been achieved, however there are some instances where this standard has been compromested to achieve the best design solution. 24 20m buffer from any part of Saltway must be adhered to as per condition 42 25 Submitted house types do not reflect the local traditional versional variety of the planning layout and street scenes. 26 Further consideration must be given to the design of dwellings in prominent positions such as on corners of main streets that may act as marker buildings and aid legibility. 27 Dwellings should be wimple wide fronted units with horizontal emphasis where this should be stated to create small terraces. 28 Where narrower types are proposed these should be attached to create small terraces. 29 Hipped roofs are not acceptable. 30 Housing mix does not accord with Policy BSC4. 31 MUGA is too does to the car park and is not overlooked. 32 Car park is too tight spaces should be wider than typical and include greater distances between rows of parking to be desirable to use by parents with young solders. 31 Proposed LEAP and LAP are not overlooked and are not well integrated or easily assessible from within the development and should be incorporated within the body of the built development and should be incorporated within the body of the built development and should be incorporated within the body of the built development and should be incorporated within the body of the built development of properties and private space is unclear in many instances. 32 Definition between public and private space is unclear in many instances. 33 Proposed LEAP and LAP are not overlooked and are not well integrated or easily assilts from the interded properties are considered in the landscape proposal. The play areas are even to be the properties are manner. 34 LAP on the western boundary does not relate well to the development and should be incorporated within the body of the built development. 35 Definition between public and private space is unclear in many instances. 36 TPOS must be clearly labelled	22		Noted.
Submitted house types do not reflect the local traditional veracular which have arrow gable spans and relatively steep pitches. Further consideration must be given to the design of dwellings in prominent positions such as on corners of main streets that may act as marker buildings and ald legibility. 7 Dwellings should be wimple wide fronted units with horizontal emphasis. 8 Where narrower types are proposed these should be attached to create small terraces. 9 Hipped roofs are not acceptable. 1 Noted. 1 Housing mix does not accord with Policy BSC4. 1 Housing mix does not accord with Policy BSC4. 1 MUGA is too close to the car park and is not overlooked. 2 Car park is too tight-spaces should be wider than typical and include greater distances between rows of parking to be desirable to use by parents with young children. 3 Proposed LEAP and LAP are not overlooked and are not well integrated or easily aswales. 4 LAP on the western boundary does not relate well to the development and are separated by the proposed swales. 5 Definition between public and private space is unclear in many instances. 6 Proposed LEAP and LAP are does not relate well to the development and are separated by the proposed swales. 6 Proposed LEAP in the development is inconveniently located directly in front of properties. 8 Affordable housing units need to be indistinguishable from the market housing. 9 Visitor parking on the development is inconveniently located directly in front of properties. 10 Proposed affordable mix of rental units does not neet identified needs as per housing stategy and development with a separated on special paragraphic of this route. 10 Proposed affordable mix of rental units does not neet identified needs as per housing strategy and development with a separated proposed in the lousing graphose of reflexing appropriate of the lousing graphose the derivative or some instances this requirement is compromised to work with character areas, density and building typologies. This approach accounts with t	26	Min. back to back distances of 22m and back to side of 14m	been achieved, however there are some instances where this standard has
Further consideration must be given to the design of dwellings in prominent positions such as on corners of main streets that may act as marker buildings and aid legibility. This has been considered. The planning layout indicates key buildings. The materials plan and house type planning drawings illustrate the differences. Noted. Noted. Noted. Noted. Hussing mix does not accord with Policy BSC4 MuGA is too close to the car park and is not overlooked Car park is too tight-spaces should be wider than typical and include greater distances between rows of parking to be desirable to use by parents with young children Proposed LEAP and LAP are not overlooked and are not well integrated or easily accessible from within the development and are separated by the proposed swales Definition between public and private space is unclear in many instances Affordable housing units need to be indistinguishable from the market housing Proposed affordable housing This has been considered. The planning layout indicates key buildings. The materials plan and house type planning drawings illustrate the differences. Noted. Noted. Housing mix is not a Reserved Matter and so not a relevant consideration to this application. That said, the overall housing mix has changed to address the housing officers comments on affordable housing. MUGA has been moved to the main PoS area to establish play Hub. We consider this to be the only appropriate afternative. The car park has been redesigned, please see colour planning layout. children The car park has been redesigned, please see colour planning layout. The play areas accessible from within the development and are separated by the proposed accessible from within the development and are separated by the proposed accessible from within the body of the built development AP on the western boundary does not relate well to the development Specification between public and private space is unclear in many instances The Specification between public and private space is unclear in ma	24	20m buffer from any part of Saltway must be adhered to as per condition 42	This has been addressed.
positions such as on corners of main streets that may act as marker buildings and aid legibility Divellings should be wimple wide fronted units with horizontal emphasis Where narrower types are proposed these should be attached to create small teraces Hipped roofs are not acceptable Noted. Noted. Housing mix does not accord with Policy BSC4 Housing mix is not a Reserved Matter and so not a relevant consideration to this application. That said, the overall housing mix has changed to address the housing officers comments on affordable housing. MUGA is too close to the car park and is not overlooked Car park is too tight-spaces should be wider than typical and include greater distances between rows of parking to be desirable to use by parents with young children Proposed LEAP and LAP are not overlooked and are not well integrated or easily accessible from within the development and are separated by the proposed should be incorporated within the body of the built development LAP on the western boundary does not relate well to the development and should be incorporated within the body of the built development Definition between public and private space is unclear in many instances TPOs must be clearly labelled Noted. Pros must be clearly labelled Noted. Proposed affordable housing units need to be indistinguishable from the market housing Proposed affordable housing units need to be indistinguishable from the market housing Proposed affordable housing of affordable housing Proposed affordable housing officer comments Housing mix is not a Reserved Matter and so not a relevant considerage to house the housing officer committed to read this application. That is applicable to the source of easily and buil	25		
Where narrower types are proposed these should be attached to create small terraces Hipped roofs are not acceptable Noted. Housing mix does not accord with Policy BSC4 Housing mix does not accord with Policy BSC4 Housing mix does not accord with Policy BSC4 MUGA is too close to the car park and is not overlooked MUGA has been moved to the main POS area to establish play Hub. We consider this to be the only appropriate alternative. Car park is too tight-spaces should be wider than typical and include greater distances between rows of parking to be desirable to use by parents with young children Proposed LEAP and LAP are not overlooked and are not well integrated or easily accessible from within the development and are separated by the proposed sweles LAP on the western boundary does not relate well to the development and should be incorporated within the body of the built development Definition between public and private space is unclear in many instances TPOS must be clearly labelled Noted. Visitor parking strategy has been reconsidered in the landscape proposal. This will follow in due course. Noted. Visitor parking strategy has been revenible their amenity. LAP has been integrated along the bridle route, with a sequence of play equipment along the length of this route. Public/ private spaces are defined. Additional details will be provided in the detailed landscape proposal. This will follow in due course. Noted. Visitor parking strategy has been revisited. Noted. This has been considered. Largely, the policy standards have been achieved. However in some instances this requirement is compromised to work with character areas, dening and bridled and bridges. This approach accords with the Developer Contributions SPD — February 2018, which states that the Council will be fleed and prignate on this clustering approach when considering certain site constraints or scheme densities' Proposed affordable mix of rental units does not meet identified needs as per housing strategy and development w	26	positions such as on corners of main streets that may act as marker buildings	
Hipped roofs are not acceptable Noted. Housing mix does not accord with Policy BSC4 Housing mix is not a Reserved Matter and so not a relevant consideration to this application. That said, the overall housing mix has changed to address the housing officers comments on affordable housing. MUGA is too close to the car park and is not overlooked Car park is too tight- spaces should be wider than typical and include greater distances between rows of parking to be desirable to use by parents with young children Proposed LEAP and LAP are not overlooked and are not well integrated or easily accessible from within the development and are separated by the proposed should be incorporated within the body of the built development and should be incorporated within the body of the built development Definition between public and private space is unclear in many instances Props must be clearly labelled Affordable housing units need to be indistinguishable from the market housing Clustering of affordable housing Proposed affordable housing The car park has been recessigned, please see colour planning layout. The car park has been recessigned, please see colour planning layout. The car park has been recessigned, please see colour planning layout. The car park has been recessigned, please see colour planning layout. The car park has been recessigned, please see colour planning layout. The car park has been recessigned, please see colour planning layout. The car park has been recessigned, please see colour planning layout. The car park has been recessigned, please see colour planning layout. The car park has been recessigned, please see colour planning layout. The car park has been recessigned, please see colour planning layout. The car park has been recessigned, please see colour planning layout. The car park has been recessigned, please see colour planning layout. The car park has been recessigned, please see colour planning layout. The car park has been recessigned, please see colour planning layout. T	27	Dwellings should be wimple wide fronted units with horizontal emphasis	Noted.
Housing mix does not accord with Policy BSC4 Housing mix is not a Reserved Matter and so not a relevant consideration to this application. That said, the overall housing mix has changed to address the housing officers comments on affordable housing. MUGA is too close to the car park and is not overlooked MUGA has been moved to the main PCS area to establish play Hub. We consider this to be the only appropriate alternative. Author of parking to be desirable to use by parents with young children The car park has been redesigned, please see colour planning layout. The car park has been redesigned, please see colour planning layout. The car park has been redesigned, please see colour planning layout. The car park has been redesigned, please see colour planning layout. The car park has been redesigned, please see colour planning layout. The car park has been redesigned, please see colour planning layout. The car park has been redesigned, please see colour planning layout. The car park has been redesigned, please see colour planning layout. The car park has been redesigned, please see colour planning layout. The car park has been redesigned, please see colour planning layout. The car park has been redesigned, please see colour planning layout. The car park has been redesigned, please see colour planning layout. The car park has been redesigned, please see colour planning layout. The car park has been redesigned, please see colour planning layout. The car park has been redesigned, please see colour planning layout. The car park has been redesigned, please see colour planning layout. The car park has been redesigned, please see colour planning layout. The car park has been redesigned, please see colour planning layout. The car park has been redesigned, please see colour planning layout. The car park has been redesigned, please see colour planning layout. The car park has been redesigned, please see colour planning layout. The plan see see seed layout. The plan seed of please see seed layout.	28		Noted.
Housing mix does not accord with Policy BSC4 this application. That said, the overall housing mix has changed to address the housing officers comments on affordable housing. MUGA is too close to the car park and is not overlooked MUGA is too close to the car park and is not overlooked Car park is too tight- spaces should be wider than typical and include greater distances between rows of parking to be desirable to use by parents with young children The car park has been redesigned, please see colour planning layout. The car park has been reconsidered in the landscape proposal. The play areas are overlooked by the dwellings in that part of the site, whilst maintain the appropriate offset distances to protect their amenity. LAP on the western boundary does not relate well to the development and should be incorporated within the body of the built development Septimized by the dwellings in that part of the site, whilst maintain the appropriate offset distances to protect their amenity. LAP on the western boundary does not relate well to the development and should be incorporated within the body of the built development Definition between public and private space is unclear in many instances TPOs must be clearly labelled Noted. Noted. Visitor parking on the development is inconveniently located directly in front of properties Affordable housing units need to be indistinguishable from the market housing Clustering of affordable housing Clustering of affordable housing This has been considered. Largely, the policy standards have been achieved. However in some instances this requirement is compromised to work with character areas, density and building typologies. This approach accords with the Developer Contributions SPD – February 2018, which states that with example of affordable mix of rental units does not meet identified needs as per housing strategy and development well-being officer comments This has been changed to match the housing officer's requirements, with a much larger number of smaller	29	Hipped roofs are not acceptable	Noted.
Car park is too tight-spaces should be wider than typical and include greater distances between rows of parking to be desirable to use by parents with young children The car park has been redesigned, please see colour planning layout. The car park has been redesigned, please see colour planning layout. The car park has been reconsidered in the landscape proposal. The play areas are overlooked by the edwellings in that part of the site, whilst maintain the appropriate offset distances to protect their amenity. LAP on the western boundary does not relate well to the development and should be incorporated within the body of the built development Definition between public and private space is unclear in many instances Public/ private spaces are defined. Additional details will be provided in the detailed landscape proposal. This will follow in due course. Noted. Visitor parking on the development is inconveniently located directly in front of properties Affordable housing units need to be indistinguishable from the market housing Clustering of affordable housing Proposed LEAP and LAP are not overlooked and are not well integrated or easily and buildings in that part of the site, whilst maintain the appropriate offset distances to protect their amenity. LAP has been integrated along the bridle route, with a sequence of play equipment along the length of this route. Public/ private spaces are defined. Additional details will be provided in the detailed landscape proposal. This will follow in due course. Noted. Visitor parking strategy has been revisited. Noted. This has been considered. Largely, the policy standards have been achieved. However in some instances this requirement is compromised to work with character areas, density and building typologies. This approach when considering certain site constraints or scheme densities. The mix has been considered in the notesign. The mix has been integrated along the tridle in the provided in the development with a market housing officer's requirements,	30	Housing mix does not accord with Policy BSC4	this application. That said, the overall housing mix has changed to address the
distances between rows of parking to be desirable to use by parents with young children 7 Proposed LEAP and LAP are not overlooked and are not well integrated or easily accessible from within the development and are separated by the proposed swales 8 Play strategy has been reconsidered in the landscape proposal. The play areas are overlooked by the dwellings in that part of the site, whilst maintain the appropriate offset distances to protect their amenity. 8 LAP on the western boundary does not relate well to the development and should be incorporated within the body of the built development 8 Definition between public and private space is unclear in many instances 9 Definition between public and private space is unclear in many instances 10 TPOs must be clearly labelled 11 Visitor parking on the development is inconveniently located directly in front of properties 12 Proposed affordable housing 13 Proposed LEAP and LAP are not overlooked and are not well integrated or easily are overlooked by the dwellings in that part of the site, whilst maintain the appropriate offset distances to protect their amenity. 14 Phas been integrated along the bridle route, with a sequence of play equipment along the length of this route. 15 Public/ private spaces are defined. Additional details will be provided in the detailed landscape proposal. This will follow in due course. 16 Public/ private spaces are defined. Additional details will be provided in the detailed landscape proposal. This will follow in due course. 17 Visitor parking strategy has been revisited. 18 Noted. 19 Visitor parking strategy has been revisited. 19 Visitor parking strategy has been revisited. 10 Visitor parking strategy has been revisited. 11 Visitor parking strategy has been revisited. 12 Visitor parking strategy has been revisited. 13 Visitor parking strategy has been revisited. 14 Visi	31	MUGA is too close to the car park and is not overlooked	
accessible from within the development and are separated by the proposed swales AP on the western boundary does not relate well to the development and should be incorporated within the body of the built development Definition between public and private space is unclear in many instances Definition between public and private space is unclear in many instances TPOS must be clearly labelled Noted. Visitor parking on the development is inconveniently located directly in front of properties Affordable housing units need to be indistinguishable from the market housing Clustering of affordable housing Clustering of affordable housing This has been considered. Largely, the policy standards have been achieved. However in some instances this requirement is compromised to work with character areas, density and building typologies. This approach accords with the Developer Contributions SPD — February 2018, which states that "the Council will be flexible and pragmatic on this clustering approach when considering certain site constraints or scheme densities" The mix has been changed to match the housing officer's requirements, with a much larger number of smaller units. This has been changed to match the housing officer's requirements, with a much larger number of smaller units.	32	distances between rows of parking to be desirable to use by parents with young	The car park has been redesigned, please see colour planning layout.
should be incorporated within the body of the built development Definition between public and private space is unclear in many instances Definition between public and private space is unclear in many instances TPOs must be clearly labelled Noted. Visitor parking on the development is inconveniently located directly in front of properties Affordable housing units need to be indistinguishable from the market housing Clustering of affordable housing Clustering of affordable housing This has been considered. Largely, the policy standards have been achieved. However in some instances this requirement is compromised to work with character areas, density and building typologies. This approach accords with the Developer Contributions SPD – February 2018, which states that "the Council will be flexible and pragmatic on this clustering approach when considering certain site constraints or scheme densities" The mix has been changed to match the housing officer's requirements, with a much larger number of smaller units. This has been changed to match the housing officer's requirements, with a much larger number of smaller units.	33	accessible from within the development and are separated by the proposed	
detailed landscape proposal. This will follow in due course. TPOs must be clearly labelled Noted. Visitor parking on the development is inconveniently located directly in front of properties Affordable housing units need to be indistinguishable from the market housing Clustering of affordable housing Clustering of affordable housing Clustering of affordable housing This has been considered. Largely, the policy standards have been achieved. However in some instances this requirement is compromised to work with character areas, density and building typologies. This approach accords with the Developer Contributions SPD – February 2018, which states that "the Council will be flexible and pragmatic on this clustering approach when considering certain site constraints or scheme densities" The mix has been changed to match the housing officer's requirements, with a much larger number of smaller units. This has been revisited. Noted. This has been revisited. Noted. This has been considered. Largely, the policy standards have been achieved. However in some instances this requirement is compromised to work with character areas, density and building typologies. This approach accords with the Developer Contributions SPD – February 2018, which states that "the Council will be flexible and pragmatic on this clustering approach when considering certain site constraints or scheme densities" The mix has been changed to match the housing officer's requirements, with a much larger number of smaller units.	34	LAP on the western boundary does not relate well to the development and should be incorporated within the body of the built development	
Visitor parking on the development is inconveniently located directly in front of properties Visitor parking strategy has been revisited. Visitor parking strategy has been revisited. Noted. This has been considered. Largely, the policy standards have been achieved. However in some instances this requirement is compromised to work with character areas, density and building typologies. This approach accords with the Developer Contributions SPD – February 2018, which states that "the Council will be flexible and pragmatic on this clustering approach when considering certain site constraints or scheme densities" Proposed affordable mix of rental units does not meet identified needs as per housing strategy and development well-being officer comments The mix has been changed to match the housing officer's requirements, with a much larger number of smaller units.	35	Definition between public and private space is unclear in many instances	
Affordable housing units need to be indistinguishable from the market housing Noted. This has been considered. Largely, the policy standards have been achieved. However in some instances this requirement is compromised to work with character areas, density and building typologies. This approach accords with the Developer Contributions SPD – February 2018, which states that "the Couril will be flexible and pragmatic on this clustering approach when considering certain site constraints or scheme densities" The mix has been changed to match the housing officer's requirements, with a much larger number of smaller units. This has been considered. Largely, the policy standards have been achieved. However in some instances this requirement is compromised to work with character areas, density and building typologies. This approach accords with the Developer Contributions SPD – February 2018, which states that "the Council will be flexible and pragmatic on this clustering approach when considering certain site constraints or scheme densities" The mix has been changed to match the housing officer's requirements, with a much larger number of smaller units.	36	TPOs must be clearly labelled	Noted.
This has been considered. Largely, the policy standards have been achieved. However in some instances this requirement is compromised to work with character areas, density and building typologies. This approach accords with the Developer Contributions SPD – February 2018, which states that "the Council will be flexible and pragmatic on this clustering approach when considering certain site constraints or scheme densities" Proposed affordable mix of rental units does not meet identified needs as per housing strategy and development well-being officer comments The mix has been changed to match the housing officer's requirements, with a much larger number of smaller units.	37		Visitor parking strategy has been revisited.
However in some instances this requirement is compromised to work with character areas, density and building typologies. This approach accords with the Developer Contributions SPD – February 2018, which states that "the Council will be flexible and pragmatic on this clustering approach when considering certain site constraints or scheme densities" 40 Proposed affordable mix of rental units does not meet identified needs as per housing strategy and development well-being officer comments The mix has been changed to match the housing officer's requirements, with a much larger number of smaller units. This has been incorporated in the redecion.	38	Affordable housing units need to be indistinguishable from the market housing	Noted.
housing strategy and development well-being officer comments much larger number of smaller units. 41 Changing facility/pavilion required on the site and associated parking as per the	39	Clustering of affordable housing	However in some instances this requirement is compromised to work with character areas, density and building typologies. This approach accords with the Developer Contributions SPD – February 2018, which states that "the Council will be flexible and pragmatic on this clustering approach when
	40		
	41		This has been incorporated in the redesign.









Post Road, Bodicote

Design & Access Statement

Public Consultation & Design Evolution

Pre-application Consultation with LPA

DWH has attended a pre-application meeting with Cherwell District Council on 17th December 2018. The officers raised some fundamental design issues regarding place making principles as well as highways requirements. DWH received a detailed pre application response (ref 18/00290/PREAPP)

Plans opposite illustrate the key design issues to be addressed.



PLANNING LAYOUT PRESENTED AT PRE-APP



STAGE 2 PLAN

 \mathcal{D}

Public Consultation & Design Evolution

Meeting with Parish Council

As a company, David Wilson Homes have undertaken and attended several District Council, Parish Council and Public meetings, all of which were positive and provided constructive feedback. These meeting include:

- December 2018 Bodicote Parish Council Meeting
- December 2018 Formal Pre application Meeting with District Council;
- February 2019 Second Pre application Meeting with District Council;
- February 2019 Bodicote Welfare Fund Meeting
- April 2019 Bodicote Parish Council Meeting open to the Public

As discussed in this wider Design Statement, the feedback received from the above meetings was pro-actively incorporated into the Planning Layout to enhance the scheme towards the submission accompanying the application. Early engagement with the Cherwell District Council enabled David Wilson Homes to receive comprehensive responses via the formal pre-application submission. The pre-application response(s) from Cherwell District Council and Oxfordshire County Council were comprehensive and detailed enough to guide the scheme and change the proposals to achieve the original aspirations for the site.

As mentioned the wholesale changes are described in detail within this wider statement, however, the main alterations to arise from the initial feedback were as follows:

- Reduction in the amount of direct access onto the spine road, which in turn also allowed for the creation of a central green space area to add character to the street scene
- Accommodation of a one-way system in the proposed car park to allow easy and safe access and internal movement
- Relocation of the Local Area of Play areas to make this more accessible to future residents
- Widening of the existing right of way running from north to south through the scheme, creating a green walkway and enhancing the permeability to the wider allocation

Prior to the above, there were numerous public engagement(s) at outline planning stage, however this was not undertaken by David Wilson Homes or Barratt Homes.





EXHIBITION BOARDS

















Design Proposal

Overarching Principles

The vision for the site is to provide a scheme which responds to the local context and has a unique and distinctive character, is well designed and provides functional, attractive and sustainable homes. It should have usable. stimulating areas of public realm and above all it should reflect the needs of the local community. The new neighbourhood will display the following characteristics:

- · A well connected and integrated scheme, with strong visual and physical links to the surrounding neighbourhood. It will provide pedestrian, cycle and vehicular routes to assist the scheme's integration
- A strong landscape structure to minimise the impact of development upon neighbouring houses and to create green links between the site and its surroundings
- Attractive and successful streets and outdoor areas will provide space where children can play, people can sit, talk, walk and exercise, promoting both recreation and ecological
- A strong urban grain with legible sequence of blocks and spaces to ensure the scheme is easily navigable
- Sustainable development, which incorporates the use of sustainable drainage systems and resource efficient technologies
- It will incorporate an appropriate range of housing types and tenures at suitable densities to provide for the needs of the local community, and
- To create an 'Integrated Community' where affordable housing will be indistinguishable from private open market housing
- Achieve a secure relationship between private and public spaces

Layout

A thorough analysis of the context of the site together with the design principles described earlier have guided the preparation of the layout. The contextual distinctions which serve as assets to the site are the starting point for designing a high quality and distinctive place. The key considerations which guided the preparation of the layout and set the development boundary are set out below:

- To deliver a high quality, sustainable development which reflects the scale, layout and pattern of the town and is appropriate to its setting
- The affordable housing will be a mixture of units being offered for rent and also shared ownership. These will be located across the site in small manageable groups. The character areas have also informed the location of the AH units
- Access off White Post Road with the central spine road connect to the western boundary for future developments
- To retain and enhance the existing Public Rights of Way that runs through the site
- To provide minimum 20m stand off to Salt Way (an unmade track)
- To provide a Bridle Way link through the new development
- To provide formal play areas and sports
- To provide visitor car park for school drop off provision off White Post Road
- To create series of interesting spaces and viewpoints to enhance legibility and also to create distinct character for the development
- Retention and supplementation of the existing mature boundary planting, especially around the periphery of the site

- To provide appropriate development edge which provides a sensitive transition between the proposed development and the wider countryside setting to the south and west
- Incorporate SUDs feature, this will contribute towards the character and use of open spaces and green infrastructure
- Improve pedestrian connectivity across the site
- Enhance landscape structure and new planting to further strengthen the existing mature character of the site
- · Create open areas for play and informal recreation that are safe and accessible
- To provide positive response to early engagement with LPA and Parish Council
- To create character areas that respond to the unique character and arrangement of the site and respond to the positive aspects of the Context Appraisal above

Heritage Impact Assessment

The application site is located within the setting of the Bodicote Conservation Area and the Salt Way, a non-designated heritage asset. The impact on setting of both of these was considered by the planning inspector in the Outline planning application in accordance with the relevant policy guidance and the provisions of the Planning (Listed Building and Conservation Areas) Act 1990. At the outline stage the focus in this regard was on the delivery of the access road in the informal green buffer shown on the Policies Map. Ultimately the Inspector concluded that the less than substantial harm identified was deemed to be outweighed by the public benefits of the scheme. In delivering the scheme in accordance with the provisions of the Outline consent, this ensures that this balance has not been altered.

The proposed Scheme also includes the provision of the car park in this location. The provision of a mature landscaping scheme and the location of existing trees would mean these are largely screened from White Post Road. The car park relates to the existing school and replaces the informal road parking that used to exist at peak times. As such it has no more of an urbanising impact than the existing position.

We, therefore, conclude that the provision of these features would not add to the harm identified at the Outline stage and the public benefit of the scheme would still outweigh the less than substantial harm previously identified.



Design Proposal

Movement

Traffic & Access

The principal access into the site is to be taken from White Post Road. The spine road runs from east to west connecting the development to future settlement to the west (Gallagher site)

Streets

The layout of the local residential streets within the new development has been designed to be safe for pedestrians and cyclists, with road surfaces raised, paved or coloured to keep drivers aware of the residential surroundings and a 30mph zone. The Spine Road specification is specified within the Unilateral Undertaking. Within the site a clear hierarchy of streets is proposed, as illustrated by the accompanying plan with the primary street running through the heart of the scheme. The northern side of the street will be tree lines with number of 'events' introduced to aid legibility and to provide traffic calming. The street character is further reinforced by the house designs and proposed materials The secondary streets are anticipated to be shared surface streets to illustrate a change in the hierarchy with these streets orientated towards the pedestrian, with the narrow private drives being the lowest order streets which ensure that the green spaces/ edges are not overtly dominated by the highway.



MOVEMENT STRATEGY PLAN

Main Street

Secondary Street

Local Drive

Private Drive















3m+ 4.1m 2m

Two storey house Carriageway Two storey house Combined foot/ cycle path 4m wide verge with trees Front garden 2m 2m 6.75m 4m 3m 2m



Main Street (Spine Road)

Layout connectivity	May connect to two other streets or form cul-de-sacs with or without other pedestrian only connections.				
Design Speed	30 mph				
Full adoptable width	Min. 13.75m				
Foot way	2m on one side - continuous				
Cycle access	3m on one side - continuous				
Bus access	Yes - 2 directions				
Fire tender access	Yes				
Carriageway width	Min. 6.75m				
Verge	4m on one side				
Junction radii	6m (construction of foot way corners to allow for large vehicle over run).				
Parking	In designated areas only. Limited to short sections. 2.5m x 6m parallel bays.				
Traffic calming	Road surface, change of direction.				
Kerb type	Vertical 125mm country style kerb				
Carriageway surface	Black tarmac				
Foot way surface	Black bitmac red multi-stock pavers for feature paving				
Vehicle crossover surface	To match foot way				

Minor Residential Street (Standard carriageway or shared surface street)

Layout connectivity	Avoid cul-de-sacs
Design Speed	20 mph
Full adoptable width	Min. 8.8m
Foot way	1 / 2m service margin
Cycle access	Integrated
Bus access	No
Fire tender access	Yes
Carriageway width	Min. 4.8m
Junction radii	5m (min)
Parking	Unmarked spaces allowing 2.5m minimum width
Traffic calming	On-street parking alignment, varying street widths, street furniture/landscaping, change of surface material.
Kerb type	Country kerb with between flush and 125mmup stand, or no upstand.
Carriageway surface	Black tarmac or multi-red paviors/blocks
Foot way surface	Tarmac or blocks
Vehicle crossover surface	To match shared surface

Local Drive

Layout connectivity	May connect to two other streets or form cul-de-sacs with or without other pedestrian only connections.				
Design Speed	10 mph				
Full width	4.1m to 3.5m pinch points. Unadoptable				
Foot way	Shared				
Cycle access	Integrated				
Bus access	No				
Fire tender access	Yes				
Carriageway width	4.1 to 3.5m min. pinch points				
Verge	None				
Junction radii	4m occasionally 2m				
Parking	Designated bays marked with studs or paviors/blocks, not painted.				
Kerb type	No kerb, shared surface.				
Foot way surface	N/A				
Vehicle crossover surface	N/A				

STREET SECTIONS

White Post Road, Bodicote | Design & Access Statement

Design Proposal

Car & Cycle Parking

A range of different parking solutions achieves a balanced parking strategy. The quantity of parking provided is in accordance with Oxfordshire County Council's (OCC) Parking space standards. Fig.1 below illustrates the quantum of parking spaces required to satisfy OCC's standards.

Fig 2 identifies the quantum of parking spaces provided in accordance with OCC's parking standards. A total of 24 visitor spaces have been provided within the residential streets as well as an additional 34 space car park provided near the site entrance and 22 spaces provided for the sport pitch provision. There is no opportunity to provide visitor spaces along the Spine Road as stipulated within the Unilateral Undertaking. Along the Spine Road a number of rear park courts in accordance with P77 of the Residential Design Guide to keep cars out of the street scene, to reflect the status of the road and the character of the historic core of Bodicote as identified in the Context Appraisal.

Oxfordshire County Council Required Parking Provision										
	<u>OXI</u>	orus	Till C	No. of spaces when 2 allocated spaces per dwelling are provided			No. of spaces when 1 allocated space per dwelling are provided			VISIOII
No. of bedrooms	per dwelling	No. of Allocated Spaces		Allocated Spaces		Unallocated Spaces	Allocated Spaces	Allocated Spaces Unallocated Spaces		No. of unallocated spaces when no allocated spaces are provided
	Total		Total						Total	
1	14	1	14	N/A	N/A		1	0.4	5.6	1.2
	44	2	88	2	0.3	13.2	1	0.6		1.4
3	112	2	224	2	0.4	44.8	1	0.9		
4+	110	2	220	2	0.6	66	1	1.5		2.4
Total	280		546			124			5.6	

Total Required Amount Provided = 675.6 Space

FIG 1 OCC PARKING STANDARDS

DWH Parking Provision (Provided on Site)										
				allocat	spaces when 2 led spaces per lig are provided	1 al	of spaces when located space per elling are provided			
No. of bedrooms per dwelling		No. of Allocated	No. of Allocated Spaces		Unallocated Spaces	Allocated Spaces Unallocated Spaces		No. of unallocated spaces when no allocated spaces are provided		
	Total	Total								
1	14	1	14	N/A	N/A	1	0.4	1.2		
2		2		2	0.3	1	0.6	1.4		
3		2		2	0.4	1	0.9	1.8		
4+ 110 2 329		2		1		2.4				
Visitor Parking					30					
Total	280		657		27					

otal Amount Provided = 684 Spaces (+ additional 34 spaces for School Drop-Off & 21 spaces for Sports Pitche

Key Garage On Plot Parking Off Plot / Frontage Parking Visitor Spaces Courtyard Parking PARKING STRATEGY PLAN

FIG 2 QUANTITY OF PROVIDED PARKING SPACES











Public Open Space

Public open space is provided throughout the development including 2x LAPs, LEAP, MUGA and football pitches located at the main open space.

The quantum of POS measures 19.81 acres / 8.0ha. This equates to 45% of the site area comprising Public Open Space.



Key

Public Open Space

OPEN SPACE STRATEGY PLAN

Design Proposal

Unit Mix

The development includes a mix of detached, semi-detached houses and terraced houses offering 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 bedroom homes.

The proposed housing mix is discussed in the planning statement.



Key 1 2 3 4

UNIT MIX STRATEGY PLAN







Storey Heights

The buildings are two storeys in height. All dwellings fall within maximum ridge height of 8.5m. The ridged height and roof scape do vary and this will help define key points within the layout. The housing reflects the scale and density of the surrounding houses with the combination of existing landscaping and new planting helping to embed the development within the landscape.

Further work has been carried out to vary the roofscape along the Main Street. This is illustrated in the streetscenes A-A & B-B.



Key

1 Storey

2 Storey

Main Street

STOREYHEIGHTSSTRATEGYPLAN

Design Proposal

Affordable Housing

The development comprises 280 dwellings, 74 dwellings have been provided as affordable for shared ownership and for rental to those on low incomes this is consistent with the previous consent.

The affordable housing mix has been changed to reflect the housing officer's comments, with regards to the affordable housing massing. Every effort has been made to achieve policy standards, a maximum of 15 dwellings (in mixed tenure) in a cluster. This has largely been achieved however, other design factors such as density and charterer areas has also influenced the affordable housing location. This approach is supported by the Developer Contributions SPD – February 2018, which states "the Council will be flexible and pragmatic on this clustering approach when considering certain site constraints or scheme densities".

The affordable housing proposed will be a mixture of units being offered for rent and also shared ownership. These have been located across the scheme in small manageable groups.





Refuse

Houses (semi-detached & detached)
Houses will have individual waste storage provision where possible, these will be located close to driveways, for roadside waste collection.

Terraced Houses

Areas have been identified for bin storage at the rear of the plots. There will be a path at the side of the property to provide rear garden access. Refuse will either be collected from the front of the property or from a collection point.

Maisonettes

Bin stores have been provided with appropriate capacity for storage of rubbish and recycling waste. The bin store has been conveniently located to facilitate easy access for waste collection.

Further details are provided in the Parking and Refuse Strategy Plan (BOD_CPRS_002 RevA)





Refuse Route

Turning Head


REFUSESTRATEGYPLAN

Design Proposal

Indicative Lighting Strategy

Indicative Lighting column location is provided to demonstrate that our design solutions compliments the design of the public realm. Street lighting will be provided primarily to adopted roads and footways however, there will be no formal lighting provided to private drives. Roads and footways have been designed in conjunction with the proposed landscaping in order to provide adequate illumination but at the same time avoid light spilling onto boundary vegetation to maintain opportunities for the movement of nocturnal wildlife.

The adjacent plan identifies potential location of the columns.



Key

 Indicative Street Lighting Column Position

















Secured by Design Principles

1. Vehicular and Pedestrian Routes

- · Routes are visually open, direct and will encourage maximum use.
- Road hierarch, key buildings and feature spaces will aid intuitive way finding.



Key

Combined Foot

Key Building

Feature Space



Q















2. Defensible Space

This approach allows the residents to control their private boundaries.



3. Through Road and Cul-desacs

Access to rear and side is minimised throughout the development.



 \bigcirc

 \mathcal{D}

4. Footpath design promotes

- Intuitive way finding
- Integrated streets
- Feature spaces/'events'
- Focal building and key groupings
- All paths are overlooked by properties to aid natural surveillance
- Foot paths are wide and open
- All public spaces will be maintained by a management company



5. Planting next to foot paths

Comprehensive landscaping strategy is proposed throughout the development. This will provide enjoyable routes through the development and help to encourage people to walk and cycle. A balanced approach has been taken here to achieve an enhanced landscape and bio diversity potential while applying secured by design guidelines where achievable. All public spaces will be maintained by a management company. The aim is to limit overgrown shrubs becoming a potential cover for

6. Seating next to footpath


This has been limited to the central green corridor which will have high footfall from public right of way, bridal way and integrated natural play. This space is overlooked by properties along the entire route.

7. Communal areas and play space

The play spaces are over looked by properties to achieve natural surveillance, safe and accessible routes are also provided for the users.

8. Dwelling Boundaries

- Public and private boundaries are well defined
- Robust rear access gates are provided, they are 1.8m in height and where possible the gates are located close to front entrance of the house.
- Side and rear boundaries garden walls and fences are 1.8m in height.
- Within large parking courtyard 1.5m fence plus 300mm trellis is proposed to provide greater natural surveillance.



9. Layout and orientation of dwellings

- Guidance 1 Dwellings should be positioned facing each other - This has been achieved throughout the development
- Guidance 2 Large scheme should incorporate a mix of dwellings - The development provides a good mix of houses (see page 32 of Design and Access Statement for further information)
- Guidance 3 Avoid blank gable end walls - Generally side walls are active with either doors or windows provided.

10. Rear access footpath

This is limited to the rear courtyard parking areas. Gates are placed at the entrance to the foot path, All gates are 1.8m in height.

Guidance note 4:

Provide clear signage (naming and/or numbering) of properties

Guidance note 5:

Boundary walls, bins and fuel stores, street furniture, trees, low flat roofs, carports or balconies should be designed to remove climbing aids to gain access into the property

Guidance note 6:

Vehicles should either be parked in locked garages or on a hard standing within the dwelling boundary.

Overall the vehicles are either parked on a driveway or garage within dwelling boundary. See Parking and Refuse strategy plan BOD-CPRS-002-REVISION C, for further details. To satisfy good urban design, Carports have been provided within courtyard parking areas to break up the dominance of surface parking.

Guidance note 7:

All street lighting for adopted highways and footpaths, private estate roads and footpaths and car parks must comply with BS 5489-1:2013

Appearance & Character Areas

Character Areas

The proposed scheme has been designed following place making principles to create a neighbourhood with a strong identity that integrates well within the existing context. There will be four-character areas in the site. These are Main street, Neighbourhood, The Park Edge and Saltway Edge.

Main Street

This character area located along the central spine road. This provides well defined/fronted buildings with access through the site. They are mostly terraced plots fronting onto wide streets lined with trees to gives a feeling of scale and breaks up the rigid urban forms.

The Neighbourhood

Centrally located, this character area provides a transition between the spine road to the park edge. The space will feel urban in character due to density and some narrow-shared surface street typologies. Architecturally the building will exhibit simple cottage style.

The Neighbourhood route includes the secondary route in the street hierarchy which links the Main Street to the football pitches. This elevates the road to higher order. Some of the formal characteristics of the Main Street has been carried forward to achieve a smooth transition.

Park Edge

Located along the outer edge of the development. This area is designed to be a looser grain with large detached plots, roads are narrow, organic in form with varying roof scape. Buildings are simple resembling a farmstead character.

Saltway Edge

This is situated along the northern edge of the site. This character area will provide an attractive and memorable back drop to the historic road previously used for the transportation of salt and currently provides a vital pedestrian link.















 \mathcal{D}






Architecture

Architecturally the houses will exhibit cottage vernacular design, which will include; brick, stone or tile sills & stone heads, painted black Lintel or gauged arch windows, bay windows, stone gable feature. They are finished mainly in brick, stone and some render.

Elevations & Details





Materials

In order to inform the scheme design, regard has been had to the materials used. The palette of materials proposed will include:

- Brickwork using local cream/red bricks
- Stone
- White render
- Brick/ Stone & Tile sills
- Plain tiles and re-constituted slate roofing materials, either dark brown or slate grey

The colour scheme is largely provided through the natural colours of the materials selected and takes its cue from the surrounding village.

Details of which can be found within the Local Vernacular Assessment on pages 10 - 13.

Roads, footpaths and other areas of hard surfaces will be made up with a mixture of materials to help denote functions. Materials will include paving of different colours, sizes and textures laid out in varied patterns and will be complimented by carefully selected street furniture and lighting.

Further details are provided in the Materials Plan.





MATERIAL PRECEDENTS















Appearance & Character Areas

Main Street

The Main Street character area encompasses the entrance green and either side of the spine road that runs through the entire length of the scheme linking to the future proposed development to the east. The tree-lined meandering streets define the Main Street character. Key features include:

- Urban feel
- Tree lined wide boulevard street
- Strong building line achieved using linked units and drive-throughs to rear parking courtyards
- · No reversing onto main street
- Limited number of accesses onto the main
- Parking hidden from main street with cars located in rear parking courts.

The dwellings along the Main Street are predominantly linked set on a consistent build line to address the street with small front gardens.

The architectural character draws on inspiration from the traditional vernacular of Bodicote and positive elements of the local context studies to include:

- Clipped eaves with no fascia's
- Simple traditional building forms with double pitched roofs
- Some formal detailing
- Gable end roofs addressing the street
- Stone lintels
- Casement windows
- Use of distinctive orange/buff rubble stone to key buildings and spaces.



456 HT IN RECONSTITUTED STONE



456 HT IN BUFF BRICK













 \mathcal{D}

Main Street


The architectural forms along the Main Street have been influenced by existing forms within Bodicote. Key features include:

- Different feel to streets within Bodicote. Proposed street has a boulevard feel that is not found within Bodicote.
- Whilst historic streets in the village are intimate, dwellings have no front gardens, streets are too narrow to accommodate service vehicles to modern standards and there is very little soft landscaping.
- Similar densities to existing areas of Bodicote (high), characterised by mostly terraced and semi detached forms
- · Well defined, continuous frontages enclosing the main street through a variety of frontage types (terraced, semi-detached)
- Materials influenced by the local architectural vernacular of Bodicote
- Rear parking courtyards provide parking solutions and reduce number of accesses onto the main street.
- Generous private back gardens with sufficient overlooking distances.

Density


EXISTING (CHURCH STREET) 41 DPH PROPOSED (MAIN STREET) 36 DPH

Frontage & Enclosure






EXISTING FRONTAGE - MIX OF TERRACED AND SEMI-DETACHED PROPOSED FRONTAGE - MIX OF TERRACED AND SEMI-DETACHED



EXISTINGSECTIONTHROUGHCHURCHSTREET







 \bigcirc



















Appearance & Character Areas

Park Edge

The Park Edge character area addresses the open spaces along the outer edge of the development. The character area forms a softer edge to the scheme. The predominant character is lower density housing including larger detached units and some semi-detached, two storey dwellings. Key features include:

- Countryside feel
- · Open green aspect
- Large, detached plots
- Irregular private streets
- Large private curtilage

The street hierarchy on the Park Edge further reinforces the transition to a semi-rural character with the use of narrow private drives to serve the dwellings that front the southern open space, with drives that are unlit and will be enclosed with post and rail fencing. The houses on the southern edge have generous front gardens or landscape frontage to further soften the development edge and reinforce a semi-rural character.

The architectural character draws on inspiration from the traditional vernacular of Bodicote and positive elements of the local context studies to include:

- · Open eaves and exposed rafter feet
- Simple traditional building forms with double pitched roofs
- Gable end roofs addressing the street
- Black lintels
- Casement windows and occasional use of feature bay windows.
- Predominantly soft red brick and limited render



456 HT IN RENDER



456 HT IN RED BRICK



456 HT IN RECONSTITUTED STONE














Park Edge

The architectural forms along the periphery of the development have been influenced by existing forms within Bodicote. Key features include:


- Well defined frontages encapsulating the site through detached forms
- Materials influenced by the local architectural vernacular of Bodicote
- Similar densities to existing homes on the boundaries of Bodicote
- These areas are primarily outward facing looking onto expansive countryside
- Corner turning units are used to front side
- Clearly defined streets with some shared surface features
- Homes also include both garage and off street parking
- Generous private back gardens with sufficient overlooking distances
- · Creates a feeling of a typical street making use of expansive views of picturesque countryside.
- Facing onto a key green corridor within the

Density


EXISTING (PARK END ROAD) 28 DPH

















EXISTING SECTION THROUGH WYKHAM LANE



PROPOSED SECTION THROUGH PARK EDGE



 \bigcirc



























Appearance & Character Areas

Neighbourhood

The character area provides a transition to the parks edge. The character is medium density housing including predominantly semi-detached and short terrace plots and some two storey dwellings. Housing addresses the street on a largely consistent building line with a small set back and front garden to the street. Key features include:

- Intimate feel (medium)
- Centered around focal spaces
- · Semi detached and short terraces
- Shared surface streets
- Parking mainly on-plot, side or frontage
- Architecture vernacular style

The architectural character draws on inspiration from the traditional vernacular of Bodicote and positive elements of the local context studies to include:

- Clipped eaves
- Simple traditional building forms with double pitched roofs
- · Gable end roofs addressing the street
- Gauged brick arch
- Simple vernacular canopies and porch details
- Casement windows and occasional use of feature bay windows.
- Predominantly soft red brick, with some render to key buildings



456 HT IN RED BRICK



456 HT IN CREAM RENDER



456 HT IN MULTI ORANGE BRICK














Neighbourhood

The architectural forms within the neighbourhood area of the development have been influenced by existing forms within Bodicote. Key features include:

- Strongly defined streets with medium to large fronts
- Angular building forms similar to several areas of Bodicote, broken up by soft fluid landscaping.
- Makes use of courtyard parking to reduces the visual impact of cars on the street.
- Contains a varied mixed of typologies and forms to create distinct identities around the site.


Density

EXISTING (NEW BUILD) 42 DPH



PROPOSED (NEIGHBOURHOOD) 44 DPH


Frontage & Enclosure




EXISTING FRONTAGE - MIX OF DETACHED, TERRACED AND SEMI-DETACHED



PROPOSED FRONTAGE - MIX OF DETACHED, TERRACED AND SEMI-DETACHED



EXISTING SECTION THROUGH LONGFORD PARK ROAD



















































Saltway Edge

The built form runs along the northern edge where the new development abuts the historic Saltway track and the existing housing estate beyond.

The Saltway character area will comprise of the following characteristics to achieve distinct character.

Key characteristics as follows:

- Informal feel with organic narrow shared surface street
- Varied building typologies to achieve some animation on the streetscape given the ridge height restriction of (max. 8.5m)
- Strong built form addressing all the corners
- Large front gardens with painted white picket fence or hedge planting defining the private
- Liner open space with SUD's feature
- Simple palette of building materials comprising of red brick and white render



KEY AREA PLAN

Appearance & Character Areas



SECTION D - SALTWAY EDGE

Plot 251

[Plot 250]













Appearance & Character Areas - Key Character Elements







Materials Pallette











BRUNSWICK FARMHOUSE MIX

FORTICRETE MINI SLATE GREY

ROOF





NATURAL STONE



CONSERVATION DOORS WITH FLAT SURROUNDS

EXTRACTED FROM BOD_CE_NH





STONE CILLS & LINTELS, WHITE WINDOWS, CLIPPED EAVES



1.5 - 3 meters.

courtyard, accessed via roof link.

Parking Typology

Frontage depths along the Main Street are between Along the Main Street parking is either to the rear or Stone or buff brick.



Boundary Treatments

Front gardens along the main street are formal

hedge fronted. Estate railings are proposed for

developments entrance.

units at the eastern end of the road to define the

LANDSCAPE MASTERPLAN - PART OF MAIN STREET



3 - 4.5 M

EXTRACT FROM BOD_BASE LAYOUT_02_REVC-SH2

3 - 4.5 M

PROPOSED SECTION - BOULEVARD MAIN STREET



PARKING

PROPOSED PARKING PLAN - BOULEVARD MAIN STREET

STONE CILLS & LINTELS, WHITE WINDOWS, CLIPPED EAVES



LANDSCAPE MASTERPLAN - PART OF MAIN STREET













Appearance & Character Areas - Key Character Elements















Materials Pallette

Multi orange brick with render at key corners.



ROOF



FORTICRETE MINI SLATE GREY

CREAM RENDER



PORCHES & DOORS



CONSERVATION DOORS PITCHED PORCH

WINDOWS & DETAILING



CASEMENT WINDOWS & BRICK DETAILING, CLIPPED EAVES



CASEMENT WINDOWS & BRICK DETAILING, CLIPPED EAVES



Frontage depths along the Neighbourhood are between 2 - 5 meters & units with front parking have either frontage & on-plot or parking to the side of a depth of 7 meters.

Throughout the Neighbourhood streets parking is units. Rear parking limited to plots 244-246.

Parking Typology

PAVED FRONT



Boundary Treatments

Front gardens are a mix of formal hedges and

mixed ornamental planting beds.

LANDSCAPE MASTERPLAN - PART OF NEIGHBOURHOOD STREET



EXTRACT FROM BOD_BASE LAYOUT_02_REVC-SH2



PROPOSED SECTION - NEIGHBOURHOOD



PROPOSED PARKING PLAN - NEIGHBOURHOOD STREET

PROPOSED PARKING PLAN - NEIGHBOURHOOD STREET

PROPOSED SECTION - NEIGHBOURHOOD

GRASS FRONT




















Appearance & Character Areas - Key Character Elements















CONSERVATION DOORS PITCHED PORCH



CASEMENT WINDOWS, TILED CILLS, PAINTED BLACK LINTLES & CLIPPED EAVES

Boundary Treatments

Frontage Depths

Parking Typology

Materials Pallette

Front gardens are a mix of formal hedges and mixed ornamental planting beds.

Frontage depths along the Park Edge are between 3 - 5 meters.

Around Park Edge parking is; parking on plot, private Brick and natural stone. drive and large garage.



LANDSCAPE MASTERPLAN - PART OF PARK EDGE

EXTRACT FROM BOD_BASE LAYOUT_02_REVC-SH2





PORCHES & DOORS

ROOF









CILLS, PAINTED BLACK LINTLES & CLIPPED EAVES



CASEMENT WINDOWS, TILED

EXTRACT FROM BOD_CE_RE



GRASS FRONT

PROPOSED SECTION - PARK EDGE



PROPOSED PARKING PLAN - PART OF PARK EDGE

Q
















Appearance & Character Areas - Key Character Elements













Materials Pallette

Brick and natural stone.

ROOF

FORTICRETE MINI SLATE GREY

NATURAL STONE

PORCHES & DOORS

CONSERVATION DOORS PITCHED PORCH

WINDOWS & DETAILING







CONSERVATION DOORS PITCHED PORCH



CASEMENT WINDOWS, TILED CILLS, PAINTED BLACK LINTLES & CLIPPED EAVES

Frontage Depths

Front gardens are a combination of formal hedging Frontage depths along the Saltway Edge are between 3 - 5 meters.

Around Saltway Edge parking is; parking on plot, private drive and large garage.

Parking Typology



Boundary Treatments

and white timber picket fencing.

LANDSCAPE MASTERPLAN - PART OF SALTWAY EDGE



EXTRACT FROM BOD_BASE LAYOUT_02_REVC-SH2



PROPOSED PARKING PLAN - PART OF SALTWAY EDGE



4.5 - 9.5 M

PROPOSED SECTION - SALTWAY EDGE



PROPOSED PARKING PLAN - PART OF SALTWAY EDGE



CASEMENT WINDOWS, TILED CILLS, PAINTED BLACK LINTLES & CLIPPED EAVES

EXTRACT FROM BOD_CE_RE



PROPOSED SECTION - SALTWAY EDGE

White Post Road, Bodicote | Design & Access Statement

Landscape Proposal

The design of the site has been formed through a landscape led approach which consists of a series of interconnected tree canopied green spaces with SUDs, play features and seasonal planting to promote biodiversity.

Main Street

This is a formal single sided street of trees with evergreen hedges and estate railing frontages. All front gardens and formal planting will comprise seasonal interest including herbs and edible fruiting shrubs.

The Neighbourhood

The neighbourhood is the urban central residential area with narrow canopied trees with hedgerow and ornamental planting plot frontages. Differing single species of hedges will define the street hierarchy. Roadside garden walls will be planted with climbers to reduce the architectural dominance of the streetscape.

Park Edge

The Park Edge faces out over the public spaces and countryside beyond, providing the soft transition zone between residential and rural. A timber cleft and post fence with native climbers and shrub planting between and adjacent to properties, will gently define the amenity space for the public and private.

Streetscape

Street furniture will be kept to a minimum and appropriately located to reduce visual clutter. The same range of street furniture will be used throughout the scheme.











Green Corridor

This is a dynamic parkland with planted drainage basins, swales, a pond and with mounds creating different levels and informal play opportunities. The adult and mini pitches are served by a screened car park. The bridleway is a formal avenue of paired trees towards Wykham Lane, dividing the sports field from the play area. The parkland is similar to White Post Road Park with scattered trees and meadow areas, but has the addition of a feature pond. Mown grass paths circulate the parkland and connect users to Wykham Lane.

Play Area

The play area is a combined LAP and LEAP located centrally within Wykham Park. It will be densely canopied creating a sheltered space where the trees provide a key role in natural play. Timber play equipment, boulders, sensory and edible planting further enhance the playfulness of the space. The MUGA provides for formal and informal ball games, as well as straight line skating sprints.













Ø



Landscape Proposal

White Post Road Park

This is the formal entrance to the development with an avenue of trees and stone pillars and estate railing marking the gateway. Mature existing trees sit within a parkland of scattered trees with a soft meadow periphery. A native hedge screens the new school car park from White Post Road and entrance way to the development.

Salt Way Park

This is a meandering path and bridleway along the development north and west fringes and central divide. Intermittent swales with colourful displays of marginal planting and boulders offer opportunities for recreation and wildlife. Large trees punctuate the space, whilst groupings of smaller trees grant more intimate informal spaces. The focal point of the Salt Way Park is the water feature. Located above the old well, it allows people to collect water from the location of the traditional water source. The water feature will be the public art reflecting the culture and heritage of the landscape that is distinctive to the area. Sections of hedges provide a permeable soft boundary to the central space granting residential privacy and a linear structure to the park. Beside the bridleway is trim trial equipment encouraging exercise and informal play.



























Sustainability

Sustainable development has entered a new phase, one that goes beyond building performance and energy use. More demanding standards for sustainable development have been established as part of mainstream housing development, and the current challenge for sustainable development is to meet these standards in a more holistic manner driven by a desire to create great places that can encourage sustainable lifestyles.

Integrating the built form and landscape design into a cohesive whole, this vision opens up new opportunities to reduce environmental impact at the same time as improving the quality of life for residents of the completed development and surrounding area. This integrated approach to sustainable development at White Post Road takes into account health and well being, resource use, habitat creation sustainable movement and community development to deliver a scheme with a smaller environmental footprint.

A strong landscape framework will put buildings and the community in touch with their environment. The landscape will become a valuable asset, providing a range of recreational opportunities that will enhance the health and well being of residents.

The comprehensive approach retains flexibility to explore strategies at detailed design stages but provides a strong framework that responds to the challenges facing new development and new communities, addressing the environmental, social and economic themes of sustainable development.

Energy Efficient Buildings

The proposals make a commitment to achieving the appropriate requirements under Building Regulations. The construction will adopt the best practice "fabric first approach", rather than the use of renewable energy generation to improve the thermal performance of the building elements; walls, roof, floor and windows to reduce energy consumption. In addition, the specification will incorporate several energy efficiency measures including:

- The boiler will be 'A' rated
- Cylinders, if specified, will be highly efficient
- Any appliances provided with the dwelling will be at least A-rated in terms of energy performance
- Lighting will be designed to incorporate low energy light bulbs
- Buildings will be delivered to high levels of airtightness through good detailing and workmanship

Sustainable Transport

The layout promotes a sustainable transport network to ensure people can move around the site and get to and from community facilities close to the site by a range of transport options including walking, cycling and by public transport. The Spine Road has a provision for bus stops.

Water Efficiency

The proposed dwellings will achieve high levels of water efficiency to comply with the Building Regulation requirements. Water efficiency measures will be incorporated into the design including:

- Water meters to be provided
- Flow restricted showers
- Flow restrictor fitted to taps
- Dual flush WC's restricted 4 + 2.6 litre capacity
- Reduced capacity baths

Health Lifestyles

The layout created the physical fabric within which new residents can pursue a variety of healthy lifestyle choices available to them. These range from enjoyment of proposed habitat areas and use of recreational facilities, through to travel choices that present realistic alternatives to private car use for journey to facilities in Bodicote village centre and beyond.







SUSTAINABILITY PRECEDENTS

















Assessment

Building for Life

Building For Life Assessment

The proposed designs have evolved and been assessed under the Building for Life 12 criteria, Third Edition, published in January 2015. This edition specifically includes new design prompts for urban schemes and explains how the associated Built for Life quality mark award operates.

Building for Life 12 aims to help designers create better places to live and is based upon the assessment of the proposals against 12 key questions. It uses a traffic light system rather than a points score. A well designed scheme should perform well against all 12 of the new questions – the top score being 12 Greens.

- Green shows the design of the scheme has responded positively to the question.
- · Red elements identify aspects of proposals that need to be changed and where the scheme design at the time of assessment fails to respond to the question positively.
- Amber is used where there is clear evidence of local constraints on the scheme beyond the control of the design team that prevent it from achieving a green.

We have set out as follows a summary of the assessment under each of the Building for Life criteria and the accompanying evidence to justify the conclusions. The proposals been assessed to be in full compliance with the standards and achieved twelve 'greens and as such the scheme is suitable to be considered for a Built for Life, Quality Mark.

Connections

Does the scheme integrate into its surroundings by reinforcing existing connections and creating new ones, while also respecting existing buildings and land uses around the development site?

The proposals seek to integrate the scheme with the surrounding area by creating / improving footpath and cycle links beyond the site boundary as well as with the site. These will connect to existing pedestrian footpaths on the Salt Way to link to the neighbourhood of Easington and also vehicular links to White Post Road to access facilities and services in Bodicote and Banbury to the north.

1a Where should vehicles come in and out of the development?

The principle vehicular access is proposed from White Post Road which provides good linkage to the village of Bodicote, the Bishop Loveday Primary School opposite the site access and to the north to the A4260 which leads into the centre of Banbury.

1b Should there be pedestrian and cycle only routes into and through the development? If so where should they go?

As part of a sustainable access strategy for the new neighbourhood a network of footpaths and cycle routes is proposed throughout the development. The routes will link to White Post Road, the existing PROW that crosses the site, leading to Wykham Lane and to the Salt Way footpath / cycleway to the northern boundary. Footpath cycle routes are also provided around the open space parkland to the south of the scheme connecting with Wykham Lane.

1c. Where should new streets be placed and could they be used to cross the development site and help create linkages across the scheme and into the existing neighbourhood?

The movement framework is set out on page 24 of the DAS which indicates the street hierarchy and linkages. These streets provide connections across the whole development site and are complemented by new pedestrian and cycle routes which link the site to existing routes in the area.

As part of the future development strategy for the area, the scheme also makes provision to form a primary access link to the land to the west which is proposed for residential development as part of the Banbury 17 allocation. The spine road through the development would then link from White Post Road to Bloxham Road to the west.

Pedestrian and cycle linkages are also provided to the Salt Way which provides access to the Easington neighbourhood to the north.

1d How should the new development relate to existing development? What should happen at the edges of the development site?

The scheme has been designed to relate well to Bodicote and the Easington via the provision of new connections for pedestrians and cyclists. Where development adjoins the existing Easington neighbourhood to the north it positively addresses and fronts the Salt Way footpath/cycleway on the northern

boundary and provides permeability to allow pedestrian access.

The interface with Bodicote to the south east is formed by a landscape parkland that protects the setting of the village and existing vegetation.



Facilities & Services



The development is close to the village of Bodicote which provides a range of local facilities including; two public houses, an Indian restaurant, Bishop Loveday Primary School, a farm shop and St. John's Church.

There are a range of further facilities in the adjacent neighbourhood of Easington including; Wykham Academy, Banbury Academy, a large Sainsbury supermarket, Easington Methodist church and St. Hughes church, further primary schools and a sports football club.

2a Are there enough facilities and services in the local area to support the development. If not, what is needed?

Based on a review of the local facilities as outlined above there are sufficient facilities to serve the residents of the development within walking and cycling distance. There is however a need for further open space and children's play areas within easy reach of the residents and this is proposed as part of the scheme.

 \mathcal{D}

2b Where new facilities are proposed:



Are these facilities what the area needs?

To address the need for open space, a significant area of parkland open space is proposed to the southern part of the development and includes an adult and junior sports pitch, allotments and children's play areas.

Contributions for further off-site facilities and enhancements, made as part of the outline planning permission are based on those requested by the relevant authority, for example the County Council who are responsible for education planning.

2c Are these new facilities located in the right place? If not, where should they be?

Yes - new play areas and open space are within easy access for new residents.

2d. Does the layout encourage walking, cycling or using public transport to reach them?

Improved pedestrian and cycle routes are also provided along the Salt Way and through the scheme towards the primary school and Bodicote and Easington. There is a bus service along White Post Road that links to Bodicote and to the centre of Banbury.

Public Transport

Does the scheme have good access to public transport to help reduce car dependency?

Yes – A recently improved bus service B3 replaces the previous B2 service and provides a 30 minute circular service linking Bodicote to Banbury town centre via the Longfield Park development. There is a bus stop nearby in White Post Road and within walking distance of the majority of residents. There are further links to bus services off Sycamore Drive to the north of the scheme.

3a What can the development do to encourage more people (both existing and new residents) to use public transport more often?

There are a range of measures to encourage people to use public transport including ensuring that there is good pedestrian links to the bus stops on White Post Road and improvements to the Salt Way footpath and cycleway. A financial contribution is also to be made to the County Council to manage the Travel Plan for the site which is likely to include providing new residents with information about local bus routes and possibly vouchers to encourage bus use.

3b Where should new public transport stops be located?

As set out in response to 3a the majority of new residential properties will be within walking distance of a bus stop. As the future stage of development to the west of the site progresses, there is potential to provide a new bus route thorough both sites linking White Post Road to Bloxham Road, subject to agreement of the bus operator, which would provide improved accessibility for residents of the scheme.

Meeting Local Housing Requirements



Does the development have a mix of housing types and tenures that suit local requirements?

Yes - The development comprises of 280 dwellings offering a range of properties including 1,2,3, 4 and 5 bed homes. 74 dwellings have been provided as affordable for shared ownership and for rental to those on low incomes this is consistent with the previous consent.

4a What types of homes, tenure and price range are needed in the area (for example, starter homes, family homes or homes for those downsizing)?

The development comprises of 280 dwellings, 74 dwellings have been provided as affordable for shared ownership and for rental to those on low incomes this is consistent with the previous consent.

4b Is there a need for different types of home ownership (such as part buy and part rent) or rented properties to help people on lower incomes?

The affordable housing provision provides for rented and shared ownership homes and complies with the site allocation policy and needs identified by Cherwell District Council.

4c Are the different types and tenures spatially integrated to create a cohesive community?

Yes - The different types and tenures of housing are well integrated into the scheme (as shown on the proposed plan on page 30 of the DAS), but balanced with the requirement to create variation in housing density and character. Affordable housing of all tenures is grouped in small clusters spread throughout the scheme and designed to be tenure blind.

Character



Does the scheme create a place with a locally inspired or otherwise distinctive character?

The scheme draws on the existing positive physical attributes of the site whilst creating a new neighbourhood which will have its own yet complementary character (Refer to the Appearance and Character Area of the DAS, page 34 onwards).

5a How can the development be designed to have a local or distinctive identity?

The detailed design of the scheme reflects and draw inspiration from local building vernacular in the area. The nearby village of Bodicote to the south east of the site is particularly characterful and there are a range of architectural details proposed and a palette of materials that make reference to the village.



Working With The Site & Its Context



Does the scheme take advantage of existing topography, landscape features (including water courses), trees and plants, wildlife habitats, existing buildings, site orientation and microclimate?

The character of the proposed layout and key spaces are strongly informed by the landscape framework of the site, the desire to retain existing trees and hedgerows which have been used to shape the form of the development and create distinct character areas and spaces.





Building for Life

6a Are there any views into or from the site that need to be carefully considered?

A Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment supported the original outline planning application and shows how the site is visually well contained and set within the landscape character type "Ironstone Hills and Valleys". The wider landscape setting is characterised by undulating rolling valleys to the south and west, with two notable high points, Crouch Hill, 1.5km to the north west and Hobbs Hill, approximately 3 km to the south west

6b Are there any existing trees, hedgerows or other features, such as streams that need to be carefully designed into the development?

Yes - Most notably, the parkland landscape and tree clusters that have been retained at the entrance to the scheme from White Post Road to create an attractive and distinctive approach to the development.

6c Should the development keep any existing building(s) on the Site? If so, how could they be used?

There are currently no buildings on-site.



Creating Well Defined Streets & Spaces



Are buildings designed and positioned with landscaping to define and enhance streets and spaces and are buildings designed to turn corners well?

All urban design good practices have been incorporated. Buildings front positively on to streets and are positioned to turn corners with active frontage. The proposed layout demonstrates the approach and also shows how landscape has been

integrated into the street design as part of an overall green infrastructure approach and as part of reinforcing street hierarchy.

7a. Are buildings and landscaping schemes used to create enclosed streets and spaces?

All streets have a strong sense of enclosure from the frontage of the buildings and the landscape structure.

7b. Do buildings turn corners well?

The layout and house type designs have orientated buildings to face the streets and spaces to provide an active frontage and good surveillance. We have designed dual frontage plots to key corners to address the streets on both sides.

7c Do all fronts of buildings. including front doors, face the street?

The layout shows fronts of buildings face on to the street and shared surface areas to provide active frontage and positive surveillance.



Place

 \triangleleft

Creating /

Is The Development Designed To Make It Easy To Find Your Way Around?

Is the development designed to make it easy to find your way around?

The well designed street hierarchy and key spaces will ensure that the development is legible and easy to navigate.

8a. Will the development be easy to find your way around? If not, what could be done to make it easier to find you way around?

Yes - The well-designed street hierarchy will ensure that the development is legible and easy to navigate. Key features such as the park land at the entrance approach, green corridors along Salt Way and the PROW will be the main points of reference to help people know where they are within the development.

8b Are there any obvious landmarks?

A series of key spaces, defined by the strong green infrastructure and the main tree lined Main Street provides the principle framework of legible interconnected spaces along which key buildings/ groupings have been placed. The groupings of dwellings around the entrance green with their distinctive use of the buff/orange colour stone facades will create a particularly distinctive character and provide landmarks for the scheme.

8c. Are the routes between the places clear and direct?

The main spine road provides a legible route through the site and is given hierarchy by its generous width and pockets of tree lined character.



Streets for All



Yes - the streets are designed as a 20 mph zone and incorporate frequent changes of direction to deter speeds or in the case of the longer straighter main street, tabled junctions and speed features along the route.

9a Are streets pedestrian friendly and are they designed to encourage cars to drive slower and more carefully?

The streets are pedestrian friendly and many are shared surface. Through detailed design cars are encouraged to drive slower and more carefully by using short lengths of street and good built containment of the space.

9b Are streets designed in a way that they can be used as social spaces, such as places for children to play safely?

The majority of the residential streets will be only used for accessing the local area and so will not have significant through traffic. This low number of vehicle movements should allow children to play out in the street safely.

In some cases changes to the texture of the surface treatment of roads would encourage drivers to drive slower e.g. home zone areas, where the highway is used as shared space with pedestrians and cyclists.



Car Parking



Is the residential and visitor parking sufficient and well integrated so that it does not dominate the street?

Yes – The predominant parking typologies include on plot and rear courtyard parking. This ensures that views of the streetscene are not dominated by car parking.

Where frontage parking or integral garage parking is utilised as a typology, this has been contained to small groups of around 8 properties.

10a - Is there enough parking for the residents and visitors?

Yes – parking has been provided in accordance with the Oxfordshire County Council standards as set out on page 26 of the DAS. Visitor parking is provided within dedicated on street bays spread through the development to meet the standards.

Creating

10b - Is the parking positioned close to people's homes?

Yes - parking typologies are on plot or within rear accessed parking courtyards which are convenient and well overlooked for users.

10c - Are any of the parking courtyards small in size (generally no more than five properties should us a parking courtyard) and are they well overlooked by neighbouring properties?

Yes – There are examples of courtyard parking typologies on the scheme, for example to back serve properties fronting the spine route to create improved continuity of frontages. Where used the parking courtyards are small and less than 8 spaces. The courtyards are well overlooked by side aspect windows that overlook the drive access to the courtyards. Where appropriate garden boundaries to the courtyards that have some visual permeability to allow surveillance. (i.e.: lower height fencing, trellis fencing or hit and miss walling)

10d - Are garages well positioned so that they do not dominate the street scene?

Yes – Garages are well set back between plots so not to dominate the street scene. There is very limited use of integral garage plots and where they have been used they are in small groupings with well landscaped front gardens so parking does not dominate the street.



Spaces

Private

 ∇

Public & Private Spaces

Will public and private spaces be clearly defined and designed to have appropriate access and be able to be well managed and safe in use?

The development adheres to 'public fronts and private-backs' good design principles, ensuring clearly defined safe spaces.

11a What types of open space should be provided within this development?

There is considerable natural open space on the site, including the park land entrance, and open space and sports pitches to the southern edge and the linear green corridor along the Salt Way and the public footpath that bisects the site. There are also new playing pitches proposed, new play areas, a local park and amenity open space.

11b Is there a need for play facilities for children and teenagers?

Such facilities are proposed on-site for the use of new residents and the existing community.

11c How will they be looked after?

The open space and play areas are to be maintained by a resident's management company.

12

External Storage & Amenity Space

Is there adequate external storage space for bins and recycling, as well as vehicles and cycles?

Vehicle parking and cycle storage has been provided to comply with Oxfordshire County Council's

Parking space standards are summarised on page 26 of the DAS. Refuse storage has been provided to also comply with the county council standards and includes provision for segregated recycling storage - see page 31 of the DAS.

12a - Is storage for bins and recycling items fully integrated so that these items are less likely to be left on the street?

Yes – the predominant strategy for bin storage is to utilise dedicated areas with the rear gardens where convenient for the user and also concealed from view on the street. All dwellings are provided with side and rear paths to the gardens to allow convenient access and movement of bins.

Where terraced houses are provided, these have been limited to three dwellings, to ensure that the rear path garden access to dwellings is not too long and will not discourage users from returning their bins to the garden areas after bin collection.

12b - Is access to cycle and other vehicle storage convenient and well secured?

Yes - For individual houses with garages, these are sized to be large enough to allow cycle storage to the rear.

For houses with frontage parking or no garages, then dedicated cycle shed stores are provided for each dwelling within the rear garden.

13

Summary



set out in the updated Building for Life
Assessment, the proposals have been
assessed to be in full compliance with the
standards and achieved twelve 'greens'.
As a result our proposals are considered
suitable to be awarded the Built for Life,
Quality Mark.

Based upon the above evidence as





 \mathbb{Q}

 \bigcirc



