

From: Carmichael Ian <lan.Carmichael@thamesvalley.pnn.police.uk>

Sent: 20 August 2019 12:41

To: Linda Griffiths <Linda.Griffiths@Cherwell-DC.gov.uk>

Cc: Planning <Planning@Cherwell-DC.gov.uk>

Subject: Planning ref: 19/01037/REM. OS Parcels 6741 and 5426 West of Cricket Field and North of Wykham Lane Bodicote.

FAO: Linda Griffiths

Dear Linda

Planning ref: 19/01037/REM. OS Parcels 6741 and 5426 West of Cricket Field and North of Wykham Lane Bodicote.

Thank you for consulting me on the planning application above. I have analysed crime data, reviewed the submitted documents and visited the site.

Firstly, I appreciate that the applicants have stated within their Design and Access Statement (DAS) that the development 'Incorporates SBD [Secured by Design] principles' and that the police Architectural Liaison Officer (an old title for my role) will be consulted. However, I still have some concerns in relation to community safety/crime prevention design. If these are not addressed I feel that the development may not meet the requirements of;

- The National Planning Policy Framework 2018, Section 12 'Achieving well-designed places', point 127 (part f), which states that; 'Planning policies and decisions should ensure that developments... create places that are safe, inclusive and accessible... and where crime and disorder, and the fear of crime, do not undermine the quality of life or community cohesion and resilience'. And;
- HMCLG's Planning Practice Guidance on 'Design', which states that; 'Although design is only part of the planning process it can affect a range of objectives... Planning policies and decisions should seek to ensure the physical environment supports these objectives. The following issues should be considered: safe, connected and efficient streets... crime prevention... security measures... cohesive & vibrant neighbourhoods.'

To ensure that the development does follow SBD principles, that I am consulted as promised, and so that the opportunity to design out crime is not missed, I request that the following (or a similarly worded) condition be placed upon any approval for this application;

Prior to commencement of development, an application shall be made for Secured by Design accreditation on the development hereby approved. The development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details, and shall not be occupied or used until confirmation of accreditation has been received by the authority.

With the above in mind, I offer the following advice in the hope that it will assist the authority and applicants in creating a safer and more sustainable development, should approval be granted:

- The landscaping scheme should ensure that natural surveillance throughout the development and to/from units is not compromised. I am concerned that some trees/hedges may impinge upon this in future. Tree positions and final growth height/spread should be carefully considered and hedge heights should be maintained at no more than 1m.

I also recommend that there is no hedge provided at the southern LAP/LEAP as this will remove the chance of it not being maintained at an appropriate height. It is also proposed that some hedges will be maintained at 1.8m. This is not acceptable in situations where it could impinge upon natural surveillance.

- A holistic approach should also be taken in relation to landscape and lighting to ensure the former does not impinge upon the latter. SBD lighting standards should be incorporated. I am particularly concerned about tree-lined streets, the segregated sections of pedestrian footways and where footways enter/leave the site.
- The landscaping scheme and maintenance plan require careful consideration to ensure that areas of ambiguous ownership are not created. Measures to prevent vehicle intrusion on to any segregated pedestrian routes and public open spaces must also be provided.
- I am concerned that there appears to be no lighting provided for the 'Private drives'. Lighting of streets, footways and communal parking areas is vital in helping prevent crime and anti-social behaviour, and assisting police in the identification of offenders. It is also an important factor in reducing the fear of crime for all. Police recommended standards on uniformity and colour rendition should be adhered to whenever possible.
- Some plots do not have windows of active rooms overlooking their parking. Windows should be provided wherever possible to enhance ownership and natural surveillance. Active rooms include living rooms, kitchens and hall ways. Studies, bedrooms, bathrooms/toilets etc. are not considered active.
- Where boundary treatments of private rear gardens abut public or semi-private space they should incorporate features that make them difficult to climb; trellis on fences, angled or rounded copings on walls etc. All access gates to rear gardens (including communal ones) should be; robust, of the same height as boundary treatments, self-closing, have anti-lift hinges and be key operated from both sides. Also, some gates to rear gardens are not provided as close to the front building line as possible, which creates problematic recesses. I would like to see them brought forward wherever possible. And, I note that the DAS states that 'Hit and miss' fencing will be used to provide oversight of parking to the rear of properties. However, the Enclosure Plan shows no such fencing. The proposed visually permeable treatment should be used where possible and appropriate.
- I could find no detail on where utility meters will be located. They should not be placed within dwellings or secure rear gardens as this assists criminal search behaviour and can increase instances of distraction burglary etc. Meters should be placed where access can be gained by authorised personnel without entering private spaces. Alternatively, smart meters which can be read remotely should be used.
- Finally, I would like to take this opportunity to remind the applicants that Building Regulations Part Q requires them to install doors and windows that 'Resist unauthorised access to... new dwellings'. Advice on how to achieve this can be found in Building Regulations Approved Document Q and in the SBD New Homes guide at; <https://www.securedbydesign.com/guidance/design-guides>

The comments above are made on behalf of Thames Valley Police and relate to crime prevention design only. You may receive additional comments from TVP on other Policing issues regarding infrastructure etc. I hope that you find my comments of assistance in determining the application and if you or the applicants have any queries relating to crime prevention design in the meantime, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Regards

Ian Carmichael

Crime Prevention Design Advisor | Oxfordshire | Local Policing | Thames Valley Police

Email: ian.carmichael@thamesvalley.pnn.police.uk

Thame Police Base, Wenman Rd, Thame, Oxon, OX9 3RT.

Thames Valley Police currently use the Microsoft Office 2007 suite of applications. Please be aware of this if you intend to include an attachment with your email. This communication contains information which is confidential and may also be privileged. Any views or opinions expressed are those of the originator and not necessarily those of Thames Valley Police. It is for the exclusive use of the addressee(s). If you are not the intended recipient(s) please note that any form of distribution, copying or use of this communication or the information in it is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error please forward a copy to: informationsecurity@thamesvalley.pnn.police.uk and to the sender. Please then delete the e-mail and destroy any copies of it. Thank you.

This e-mail (including any attachments) may be confidential and may contain legally privileged information. You should not disclose its contents to any other person. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately.

Whilst the Council has taken every reasonable precaution to minimise the risk of computer software viruses, it cannot accept liability for any damage which you may sustain as a result of such viruses. You should carry out your own virus checks before opening the e-mail(and/or any attachments).

Unless expressly stated otherwise, the contents of this e-mail represent only the views of the sender and does not impose any legal obligation upon the Council or commit the Council to any course of action.