

Comment for planning application 19/01177/F

Application Number	<input type="text" value="19/01177/F"/>
Location	<input type="text" value="Land To The South And Adj To South Side Steeple Aston"/>
Proposal	<input type="text" value="Erection of 10 no. two-storey residential dwellings with access off South Side, including a new pedestrian footway, parking and garaging, landscaping, and all enabling and ancillary works."/>
Case Officer	<input type="text" value="Bob Neville"/>
Organisation Name	<input type="text" value="George Dailey"/>
Address	<input type="text" value="Kiftsgate House,South Side,Steeple Aston,Bicester,OX25 4RY"/>
Type of Comment	<input type="text" value="Objection"/>
Type	<input type="text" value="neighbour"/>
Comments	<input type="text" value="I have asked Huw Jones of HVJ Transport to comment on the proposal from Rectory. A summary of his concerns are listed below and he is available for further consultation. I have also requested a meeting with Mr Neville to voice our concerns with these proposals. 1. He is very concerned regarding the access point to our house which is compromised by the proposed works to the adjoining public highway. I explained that we have 5 small grandchildren who visit on a regular basis who in the absence of any proper kerb edging or bollards will be leaving the house straight into the proposed shared carriageway which I would also point out is a blind spot behind the bank for traffic leaving the village? 2. Forward visibility is impaired at the proposed build out due to the gradient in the carriageway and also the bend towards the A4260. 3. Egress from the Red Lion carpark also interferes with the proposed build out and the sight lines are further compromised with no visibility. 4. The forward visibility towards the A4260 at the proposed build out is seriously compromised by the setting of the winter sun. 5. There are no links in terms of pedestrian safety where the shared surface links in with the footway near the junction of Water Lane and Southside. 6.The highways Authority must recognise the the 4.2M to 4.9 M are insufficient for two vehicles? The proposed works and signage are subject to a traffic regulation order and public consultation?"/>
Received Date	<input type="text" value="05/08/2019 13:59:52"/>
Attachments	