**From:** Public Access DC Comments   
**Sent:** 16 May 2018 20:38  
**To:** Public Access DC Comments  
**Subject:** Comments for Planning Application 18/00825/HYBRID

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 8:38 PM on 16 May 2018 from Mr Jonathan Rose.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Application Summary** | |
| **Address:** | Heyford Park Camp Road Upper Heyford Bicester OX25 5HD |
| **Proposal:** | Demolition of buildings and structures as listed in Schedule 1; Outline planning permission for up to 1,175 new dwellings (Class C3); 60 close care dwellings (Class C2/C3); 929 m2 of retail (Class A1); 670 m2 comprising a new medical centre (Class D1); 35,175 m2 of new employment buildings, (comprising up to 6,330 m2 Class B1a, 13,635 m2 B1b/c, 9,250 m2 Class B2, and 5,960 m2 B8); 2.4 ha site for a new school (Class D1); 925 m2 of community use buildings (Class D2); and 515 m2 of indoor sports, if provided on-site (Class D2); 30m in height observation tower with zip-wire with ancillary visitor facilities of up of 100 m2 (Class D1/A1/A3); 1,000 m2 energy facility/infrastructure with a stack height of up to 24m (sui generis); 2,520 m2 additional education facilities (buildings and associated external infrastructure) at Buildings 73, 74 and 583 for education use (Class D1); creation of areas of Open Space, Sports Facilities, Public Park and other green infrastructure; Change of Use of the following buildings and areas: Buildings 357 and 370 for office use (Class B1a); Buildings 3036, 3037, 3038, 3039, 3040, 3041, and 3042 for employment use (Class B1b/c, B2, B8); Buildings 217, 3102, 3136, 3052, 3053, 3054, and 3055 for employment use (Class B8); Buildings 2010, 3008, and 3009 for filming and heritage activities (Sui Generis/Class D1); Buildings 2004, 2005 and 2006 for education use (Class D1); Buildings 366, 391, 1368, 1443, 2007, 2008 and 2009 (Class D1/D2 with ancillary A1-A5 use); Building 340 (Class D1, D2, A3); 20.3ha of hardstanding for car processing (Sui Generis); and 76.6ha for filming activities (Sui Generis); the continuation of use of areas, buildings and structures already benefiting from previous planning permissions, as specified in Schedule 2; associated infrastructure works including surface water attenuation provision and upgrading Chilgrove Drive and the junction with Camp Road |
| **Case Officer:** | Andrew Lewis |
| [Click for further information](https://www.publicaccess.cherwell.gov.uk/online-applications/centralDistribution.do?caseType=Application&keyVal=P8IT8PEMMSY00) | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Customer Details** | |
| **Name:** | Mr Jonathan Rose |
| **Email:** |  |
| **Address:** | 4 Hart Walk, Upper Heyford, Bicester OX25 5AF |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Comments Details** | |
| **Commenter Type:** | Neighbour |
| **Stance:** | Customer objects to the Planning Application |
| **Reasons for comment:** |  |
| **Comments:** | The Neighborhood consult document lists 1186 addresses consulted, mine is included, I was categorically was not consulted on this version of the master plan. Multiple others on the Heyford Park Facebook group also say they have not been consulted, yet are listed in this document.  Not only is this a falsified document, including our addresses without permission through consult is also surely a breach of GDPR regulations.  Additionally Plot 17 contradicts what I was told at purchase of my house, it was sold as a Field View and I was assured by the sales staff and Jeanne Evett that the field would not be built on, to the extent that Jeanne stated the reason the roads planned around Wellington were private were specifically to ensure that access was not allow to the field to block development and keep them as Field Views.  I reject this based on the false hoods listed above.  My main concern with all plans, is to ensure the heavy goods traffic is remove from Camp Road. The noise pollution that has been created from the speed humps is unbearable with HGVs and needs to be considered. Safety of the crossing the road is also still an issue. |
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