From: Pause Forthought [

Sent: 14 July 2018 20:40

To: Andrew Lewis

Cc: Tom.Foxall; David Peckford; martin.small; Karen Partridge

Subject: Re: Masterplan18/00825/HYBRID

Dear Andrew

Thank you for a copy of the HE letter and I attach some thoughts on its implications.

Regards

Daniel

>> -----Original Message-----

>> From: Pause Forthought []

>> Sent: 05 July 2018 14:41

>> To: Andrew Lewis

>> Cc: Tom.Foxall; martin.small; David Peckford

>> Subject: Masterplan18/00825/HYBRID

>>

>> Dear Andrew

>> I have just looked at the 'state of play' on the application for a

>> masterplan. I can see no response from Historic England but have read

>> through the comments from UHPC and the villages group. These are

>> remarkable for having the one reservation about heritage impact (the

>> scale of two buildings on Camp Road) but suggest that there remains

>> no real interest in the international heritage interest or value of

>> the best preserved Cold War remains in the country. I have re-read

>> my comments that raise a number of unanswered questions. I am hoping

>> that this matter is not resolved by a planning committee that has

>> shown indifference and hostility to the heritage potential of the

>> site based on an unattributed heritage report. Would you be open to

>> a meeting with conservation officer(s) and Historic England to

>> discuss the matters raised in my representations?

>>

>> Regards

>>

>> Daniel

>>
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This e-mail (including any attachments) may be confidential and may contain legally privileged information. You should not disclose its contents to any other person. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately.

Whilst the Council has taken every reasonable precaution to minimise the risk of computer software viruses, it cannot accept liability for any damage which you may sustain as a result of such viruses. You should carry out your own virus checks before opening the e-mail(and/or any attachments).

Unless expressly stated otherwise, the contents of this e-mail represent only the views of the sender and does not impose any legal obligation upon the Council or commit the Council to any course of action.

Daniel Scharf 2018 07 14

For the attention of Mr A Lewis

Dear Sir or Madam

**HEYFORD PARK CAMP ROAD UPPER HEYFORD BICESTER OX25 5HD**

**Application No. 18/00825/HYBRID**

Thank you for supplying a copy of the comments of Historic England (“HE”) on the above planning application. The pre-eminent importance of the site as the best preserved remains from the Cold War is confirmed – and it must be assumed that extraordinary significance of the site will now be reflected in the way the owners and the Council deal with all future developments. HE has confirmed that the masterplan neither minimizes the harm (ie the first requirement of the NPPF) nor provides adequate public benefits, and there is a ‘long way to go’ before the application could be supported. Remembering that the conservation of the airbase (rather than its clearance) was the original justification for the re- development of the site, it would be reasonable to expect there to be greater concern about the impacts that some of the buildings and uses (eg the school, recreational park, zip wire, car storage) would have on the setting of Listed Buildings/Scheduled Monuments and the bare functionality of the air base and its bleak and chilling character.

HE has given a number of good reasons why the application does not accord with Local Plan Policy V5 but CDC should also note the requirement in V5 that, ‘**Heritage Impact Assessments** **should be undertaken as part of development proposals and inform the design principles for the site**.’ The need for HIAs has been known since the approval of the Structure Plan in 2005 but the ‘design principles’ adopted by the applicant seem to have been informed by an unattributed Heritage Offer/Strategy that lacks any of the necessary expert research and analysis of how the best preserved site from the Cold War could and should be presented to an international audience. Dorchester Group made a sensible proposal to hold a conference at which the heritage potential of the site could be explored. This might assist the Council in the performance of its duties in accordance with the three international conventions (and the 2016 Culture White Paper) that are relevant in preparing and assessing the adequacy of the conservation of and access arrangements to this unique site.

While there is no masterplan approved under Policy V5 no planning applications should be approved at Upper Heyford (eg HE has referred to traffic issues, to the encroachment of inappropriate housing into the Cold War landscape, and implications for residential densities across the whole site) and clearly the failure of Dorchester Group to prepare an acceptable masterplan will now cause delay to the progress of the neighbourhood plan that relies so much on the re-development of the former air base.

I look forward to being advised of how the Council intends to now deal with the application.

Yours sincerely, Daniel Scharf

Copy Historic England, DHCLG