Culverhay Alchester Road Chesterton OX26 1UN

Re: Planning Application 18/00825/HYBRID- Heyford Park Master Plan

Dear Mr Lewis,

While we accept that the consultation period has passed, we are writing to object in the strongest possible terms to two proposals within this application. Firstly the proposal to realign traffic flows at the Middleton Stoney crossroads and secondly the proposal to re-route HGV traffic down the A4095 through the village of Chesterton.

May we start, however, by expressing our amazement that Chesterton was not originally on the consultee list of a proposal that would clearly have an enormous impact on our already beleaguered village.

The B4030 is a direct route to the Vendee Drive roundabout and has already been improved thanks to the building of the vast Albion Land warehouses. Surely it makes sense that lorries approaching from the west should use this road. We do not understand why there is a proposal to redirect HGVs through a built up area such as Chesterton, rather than down the B4030 where there are few houses. The gypsy site on the A4095 is under construction and will bring more traffic and should Great Wolf win their appeal (heaven forbid,) the volume of traffic will increase enormously. If the proposal is accepted there would inevitably be an increase in noise and a reduction of air quality for those living along an already busy thoroughfare. Furthermore, we cannot believe that the constant shaking that would result from a stream of HGVs would not have a deleterious effect on the houses along this road. After all, the predicted increase in traffic flow according to the Stantec modelling exercise is staggering. The road itself through the village is hardly suitable for heavy lorries with its traffic calming speed bumps and even the chicane on the way out to Bicester. The junction with the Hale is already hazardous thanks to the sharp bend at this point on the A4095 which makes it even more unsuitable for HGVs.

We trust that you will give due consideration to the strongly-felt objections outlined above when considering this proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Charlie and Liz Barker