

Comment for planning application 18/00825/HYBRID

Application Number	18/00825/HYBRID
Location	Heyford Park Camp Road Upper Heyford Bicester OX25 5HD
Proposal	<p>A hybrid planning application consisting of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• demolition of buildings and structures as listed in Schedule 1;• outline planning permission for up to: > 1,175 new dwellings (Class C3); > 60 close care dwellings (Class C2/C3); > 929 m2 of retail (Class A1); > 670 m2 comprising a new medical centre (Class D1); > 35,175 m2 of new employment buildings, (comprising up to 6,330 m2 Class B1a, 13,635 m2 B1b/c, 9,250 m2 Class B2, and 5,960 m2 B8); > 2,415 m2 of new school building on 2.45 ha site for a new school (Class D1); > 925 m2 of community use buildings (Class D2); and 515 m2 of indoor sports, if provided on-site (Class D2); > 30m in height observation tower with zip-wire with ancillary visitor facilities of up to 100 m2 (Class D1/A1/A3); > 1,000 m2 energy facility/infrastructure (sui generis); > 2,520 m2 additional education facilities (buildings and associated external infrastructure) at Buildings 73, 74 and 583 for education use (Class D1); > creation of areas of Open Space, Sports Facilities, Public Park and other green infrastructure.• the change of use of the following buildings and areas: > Buildings 3036, 3037, 3038, 3039, 3040, 3041, and 3042 for employment use (Class B1b/c, B2, B8); > Buildings 217, 3052, 3053, 3054, 3055, 3102, and 3136 for employment use (Class B8); > Buildings 2010 and 3009 for filming and heritage activities (Sui Generis/Class D1); > Buildings 73 and 2004 (Class D1); > Buildings 391, 1368, 1443, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008 and 2009 (Class D1/D2 with ancillary A1-A5 use); > Building 340 (Class D1, D2, A3); > 20.3ha of hardstanding for car processing (Sui Generis); and > 76.6ha for filming activities, including 2.1 ha for filming set construction and event parking (Sui Generis);• the continuation of use of areas, buildings and structures already benefiting from previous planning permissions, as specified in Schedule 2.• associated infrastructure works, including surface water attenuation provision and upgrading Chilgrove Drive and the junction with Camp Road.
Case Officer	Andrew Lewis
Organisation	
Name	Tony Buxton for North Aston Traffic Committee
Address	Middle Cottage, St Marys Walk, North Aston, Bicester, OX25 6AA
Type of Comment	Objection
Type	neighbour
Comments	<p>North Aston Traffic Sub committee letter of objection regarding P16-0631 Heyford Park Masterplan-18/00825/HYBRID- Transport Mitigation Offer Note (updated 8th Sept. 2020) I am writing on behalf of the Traffic Subcommittee of North Aston Village Meeting, to register our objection to the Heyford Park traffic management plan currently under consideration on the following grounds: The level of traffic currently experienced through the centre of the village at peak times is already creating noise and pollution affecting the quality of life, and frequent speeding fear of injury and fatalities. The levels of increase suggested by the current proposal will very greatly exacerbate these problems. The level of funds offered to mitigate these circumstances is currently no more than a minimal and inadequate gesture, insufficient to provide significant traffic calming. No clear rationale has been presented for the suggested bus gate on the B4030. A regular bus service and cycle route may address OCC requests for transport options other than the motor car, but there can be no logic in doing so by transferring the existing and growing traffic onto greatly inferior roads and through the social heart of villages, significantly degrading the quality of life and safety for existing residents. If the scheme is to ease traffic at the Middleton Stoney junction, the parish of Middleton Stoney has also rejected this option as ineffective. If the scheme is primarily designed to ease traffic flow around Bicester, this should be made explicit. Other options for the very necessary management of traffic generated by the Heyford Park development should have been presented for consideration. It would appear that this has been passed to the villagers to produce whilst responsibility clearly rests with OCC Highways. We join with other in demanding that a fully independent survey should be conducted of the traffic impact of the Heyford Park development on surrounding villages and its effective mitigation. Tony Buxton (chair) Middle Cottage, St Mary's Walk, North Aston, Bicester OX25 6AA [REDACTED]</p>
Received Date	19/09/2020 11:50:44

