

# Comment for planning application 18/00825/HYBRID

<b>Application Number</b>	18/00825/HYBRID
<b>Location</b>	Heyford Park Camp Road Upper Heyford Bicester OX25 5HD
<b>Proposal</b>	<p>A hybrid planning application consisting of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• demolition of buildings and structures as listed in Schedule 1;</li><li>• outline planning permission for up to: &gt; 1,175 new dwellings (Class C3); &gt; 60 close care dwellings (Class C2/C3); &gt; 929 m2 of retail (Class A1); &gt; 670 m2 comprising a new medical centre (Class D1); &gt; 35,175 m2 of new employment buildings, (comprising up to 6,330 m2 Class B1a, 13,635 m2 B1b/c, 9,250 m2 Class B2, and 5,960 m2 B8); &gt; 2,415 m2 of new school building on 2.45 ha site for a new school (Class D1); &gt; 925 m2 of community use buildings (Class D2); and 515 m2 of indoor sports, if provided on-site (Class D2); &gt; 30m in height observation tower with zip-wire with ancillary visitor facilities of up to 100 m2 (Class D1/A1/A3); &gt; 1,000 m2 energy facility/infrastructure (sui generis); &gt; 2,520 m2 additional education facilities (buildings and associated external infrastructure) at Buildings 73, 74 and 583 for education use (Class D1); &gt; creation of areas of Open Space, Sports Facilities, Public Park and other green infrastructure.</li><li>• the change of use of the following buildings and areas: &gt; Buildings 3036, 3037, 3038, 3039, 3040, 3041, and 3042 for employment use (Class B1b/c, B2, B8); &gt; Buildings 217, 3052, 3053, 3054, 3055, 3102, and 3136 for employment use (Class B8); &gt; Buildings 2010 and 3009 for filming and heritage activities (Sui Generis/Class D1); &gt; Buildings 73 and 2004 (Class D1); &gt; Buildings 391, 1368, 1443, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008 and 2009 (Class D1/D2 with ancillary A1-A5 use); &gt; Building 340 (Class D1, D2, A3); &gt; 20.3ha of hardstanding for car processing (Sui Generis); and &gt; 76.6ha for filming activities, including 2.1 ha for filming set construction and event parking (Sui Generis);</li><li>• the continuation of use of areas, buildings and structures already benefiting from previous planning permissions, as specified in Schedule 2.</li><li>• associated infrastructure works, including surface water attenuation provision and upgrading Chilgrove Drive and the junction with Camp Road.</li></ul>
<b>Case Officer</b>	Andrew Lewis
<b>Organisation</b>	
<b>Name</b>	Judy Hodgson
<b>Address</b>	Oak Cottage, Ardley Road, Middleton Stoney, Bicester, OX25 4AD
<b>Type of Comment</b>	Objection
<b>Type</b>	neighbour
<b>Comments</b>	<p>Dear Cherwell District Council Planning Committee Members As a Middleton Stoney resident I am writing about the revised Heyford Park application for development which is coming to the Planning Committee on 8 October 2020 (tbc). I urge you to reject the application in view of the potentially additional very harmful traffic consequences for our village. You will, I am sure, have previously been made aware that the crossroads at Middleton Stoney is already at saturation point, with severe congestion at the traffic light junction of the B430 and B4030 most mornings and afternoons during the week, leading to noise and poor air quality. My property is in close proximity to the crossroads and I am already awakened very early by noise and cannot leave my windows open - however hot the weather. I suffer from asthma which has been considerably exacerbated since I moved here 7 years ago. The thought of the traffic situation worsening is absolutely abhorrent to me. Trying to cross the road, or even walk along the pavements, has become hazardous as neither cars nor lorries seem at all concerned by the 30 mile per hour speed limit, the narrowness of some of the pavements, or the fact that we may have very elderly or very young family members with us. The proposed development at Heyford Park will worsen this situation considerably and make walking around our village even more precarious. I understand that the developers have proposed some mitigation but I share the view of the Highways Authority and our Parish Council that this is in no way sufficient and would worsen a position that is already deeply unsatisfactory. I believe, and am horrified by the idea, that there could be a 25% increase in car traffic through the village. For many years the community of Middleton Stoney has been detrimentally affected by new development all around it and there is a strong view in the village that enough is enough. Our community has the same right to enjoy a sustainable and environmentally pleasant lifestyle as you require of new developments. I therefore urge you to reject this proposal and work with the Middleton Stoney community to promote a clean, safe, healthy and pleasant environment in our village. Not the slip road to the M 40 it is, and the continual damage it is doing to all of our</p>

properties. Kind regards Judy Hodgson Oak Cottage Ardley Road Middleton Stoney OX25  
4AD

**Received Date**

17/09/2020 16:57:12

**Attachments**