## Comment for planning application 18/00825/HYBRID

pplication Numbe	18/00825/HYBRID
------------------	-----------------

Location

Heyford Park Camp Road Upper Heyford Bicester OX25 5HD

Proposal	A hybrid planning application consisting of: • demolition of buildings and structures as listed in Schedule 1; • outline planning permission for up to: > 1,175 new dwellings (Class C3); > 60 close care dwellings (Class C2/C3); > 929 m2 of retail (Class A1); > 670 m2 comprising a new medical centre (Class D1); > 35,175 m2 of new employment buildings, (comprising up to 6,330 m2 Class B1a, 13,635 m2 B1b/c, 9,250 m2 Class B2, and 5,960 m2 B8); > 2,415 m2 of new school building on 2.45 ha site for a new school (Class D1); > 925 m2 of community use buildings (Class D2); and 515 m2 of indoor sports, if provided on-site (Class D2); > 30m in height observation tower with zip-wire with ancillary visitor facilities of up of 100 m2 (Class D1/A1/A3); > 1,000 m2 energy facility/infrastructure (sui generis); > 2,520 m2 additional education facilities (buildings and associated external infrastructure) at Buildings 73, 74 and 583 for education use (Class D1); > creation of areas of Open Space, Sports Facilities, Public Park and other green infrastructure. • the change of use of the following buildings and areas: > Buildings 3036, 3037, 3038, 3039, 3040, 3041, and 3042 for employment use (Class B1b/c, B2, B8); > Buildings 217, 3052, 3053, 3054, 3055, 3102, and 3136 for employment use (Class B1); > 20.3ha of hardstanding for car processing (Sui Generis); and > 76.6ha for filming activities, including 2.1 ha for filming set construction and event parking (Sui Generis); • the continuation of use of areas, buildings and structures already benefiting from previous planning permissions, as specified in Schedule 2. • associated infrastructure works, including surface water attenuation provision and upgrading Chilgrove Drive and the junction with Camp Road.
Case Officer	Andrew Lewis
Organisation	
Name	Eira M Norcross
Address	13 Banks Furlong, Chesterton, Bicester, OX26 1UG
Type of Comment	Objection
Туре	neighbour
Comments	The proposal concerning re-routing traffic, preventing use of the B4030 from Middleton Stoney and directing it along the A4095 instead, is extremely impractical in my opinion for the following reasons: 1. The B4030 is far more suited to taking heavy traffic than the A4095 - there are no difficult bends along it, no villages to drive through after Middleton Stoney and no speed bumps. 2. The A4095, not only passes through a village but drivers would also have to negotiate a blind, 90 degree bend, a 30 mph speed limit and a speed bump. Just ask any HGV driver or police traffic officer which of the two roads they would recommend driving along, given the choice. The A prefix to 4095 is totally misleading. Please consider my objection.
<b>Received Date</b>	13/09/2020 17:04:44
Attachments	