

Subject:	Detailed LinSig Modelling associated with the DS1 SATURN Model Scenario
Prepared By:	Phil Rawlins / Jack Harris
Date:	05 <sup>th</sup> March 2020
Note No:	024 Rev D
Job No:	39304
Job Name:	Heyford Park

ltem	Subject
1.	Introduction
	This Technical Note (TN) has been prepared by Stantec, on behalf of Dorchester Group and Oxfordshire County Council (OCC) and sets out detailed modelling analysis of the Middleton Stoney Signalised junction and Ardley Road / Unnamed Road junction following strategic modelling of the Do Something 1 (DS1) scenario using OCCs Bicester SATURN model.
	By way of background to this modelling exercise, a planning application was submitted for the Heyford Park development in May 2018. A Transport Assessment (TA) accompanied this application and set out that the Middleton Stoney junction was predicted to operate over capacity in the 2031 forecast year scenario both with and without the Heyford Park allocation. A number of scheme options were considered as mitigation however no further improvement scheme was considered deliverable in this location beyond the previously approved S278 scheme associated with delivery of the previously approved 1,075 dwelling scheme.
	On this basis, consideration of a more strategic solution to providing mitigation in this location was requested by OCC and a number of options have been considered as a package of schemes and set out below. These schemes have been assessed through a SATURN Variable Demand Model (VDM).
	<u>Do Something 1 (DS1)</u> – The DS1 scenario is a similar scheme to that proposed as part of the testing that was undertaken to inform the allocation of the site within the Cherwell Local Plan 2011 – 2031 (December 2016). The scheme includes the introduction of a bus gate on the B4030 Heyford Road arm of the Middleton Stoney junction. This bus gate will be located to the west of Heyford Village, allowing access to the Middleton Stoney junction for Middleton Stoney residents but banning through movements associated with both Heyford Park and the wider area from using the arm. In this scenario the Middleton Stoney junction will operate with a reduced number of signal stages with the B4030 Heyford Road arm operating on demand to serve buses and local residential traffic and therefore extra capacity can be created at the junction.
	In this scenario it is considered that the mitigation proposals in the form of traffic signals identified for the B430 Ardley Road / Unnamed Road junction to the north of Middleton Stoney are likely to require amending to increase the flare lengths at the junction in order to accommodate the extra traffic using this junction as a consequence of local re-routing.
	It is considered that this scenario represents an option that is promoting sustainable travel in line with the Cherwell Local Plan Policy Villages 5 objective that states <i>"The settlement should be designed to encourage walking, cycling and use of public transport rather than travel by private car, with the provision of footpaths and cycleways that link to existing networks. Improved access to public transport will be required".</i>

J:\39304 Heyford Park Tranche 2\Technical\Transport\WP\Technotes\TN024 Rev D - 200305\_Middleton Stoney Signalised Junction Mitigation Note.docx





J:\39304 Heyford Park Tranche 2\Technical\Transport\WP\Technotes\TN024 Rev D - 200305\_Middleton Stoney Signalised Junction Mitigation Note.docx





J:\39304 Heyford Park Tranche 2\Technical\Transport\WP\Technotes\TN024 Rev D - 200305\_Middleton Stoney Signalised Junction Mitigation Note.docx



ltem	Subject							
	As the junction was shown to operate over capacity in the PM peak using the mitigation put forward within the TA a further assessment was undertaken with revised flare lengths on two of the arms. These adjustments included:							
	<ul> <li>Increasing the left turn flare length on the B430 south arm from 74m to 85m</li> <li>Increasing the right turn flare length on the Unnamed Road west arm from 29m to 60m</li> </ul>							
	The revised junction design	gn is shown	on <b>Drawin</b>	g 39304/55	01/SK58.			
	The outcomes of this mod at <b>Appendix A</b> .	delling are s	et out withir	n <b>Table 2</b> w	rith full mod	elling outpu	its provided	
	Table 2: B430 Ardley Ro	ad / Unnan	ned Road -	- DS1 Mitig	ation			
			AM Peak			PM Peak		
	LINK	DoS (%)	MMQ	Delay (Secs)	DoS (%)	MMQ	Delay (Secs)	
	B430 Ardley Road (S)	82.0	12	7	89.5	20	8	
	Minor Road	82.2	12	6	88.5	13	9	
	B430 Ardley Road (N)	79.2	16	8	85.8	6	4	
	<b>Table 2</b> demonstrates that in the AM peak hour and performance compared w maximum V/C of 139% in	at the junctic 89.5% in the ith those pro the AM pea	on is predict e PM peak edicted by t ak hour and	ed to opera hour. The r he SATUR 109% in th	ite with a m results shov N modelling ie PM peak	aximum Do v improved j which pred hour.	oS of 82.2% dicted a	
	Table 2 demonstrates thatpredicted to operate withitHeyford Road. These implicationcontrol of Dorchester Group	at the junctic n capacity ir provements up or dedica	on layout illu n a scenario are conside ated as high	ustrated in <b>I</b> o where a b ered to be o way.	<b>Drawing 39</b> us gate is ir deliverable v	304/5501/S ntroduced c within land	<b>6K58</b> is on the B4030 under the	
3.	Junction Operation at M	liddleton St	toney (Jun	ction 6)				
	Reference Case Scenario	<u>)</u>						
	In order to understand ho compared to the Reference Stoney has been tested in SATURN model. This mod allocation but does includ results of this modelling a at <b>Appendix A</b> .	w the Middle ce Case sce n LinSig usir odel does no e the previo re set out w	eton Stoney enario, the c ng flows ext ot include th ously conset ithin <b>Table</b>	/ junction o committed in racted from le current H nted develo 3 below wit	perated in ti mprovemen n the 2031 F leyford Park pment at H ch full mode	he DS1 sce t scheme a Reference ( < developm eyford Park lling output	enario when It Middleton Case ent K. The s provided	

J:\39304 Heyford Park Tranche 2\Technical\Transport\WP\Technotes\TN024 Rev D - 200305\_Middleton Stoney Signalised Junction Mitigation Note.docx



		Subjec	CT					
Table 3: Middleton Stoney 2031 Reference Case								
AM Peak PM P								
Link	DoS (%)	MMQ	Delay (Secs)	DoS (%)	MMQ	Delay (Secs)		
B430 Ardley Road (N)	109.2	67	231	86.0	19	59		
B4030 Bicester Road (E)	109.9	37	265	93.0	21	79		
B430 Oxford Road (S)	75.4	17	43	93.6	24	74		
B4030 Heyford Road (W)	109.7	50	256	92.8	18	88		
Do Minimum Scenario As a further comparison ag scheme at Middleton Stone Minimum SATURN model. development, the Heyford I no mitigation at Middleton S It should be noted that the	of 123% in t gainst the DS by has been This model Park allocati Stoney over Do Minimun	he AM peal S1 mitigatio tested in Li includes th on and high and above n scheme in	n scenario nSig using previous way mitiga the conser includes jund	the committ flows extract y consented tion as set ted S278 in ction improv	ed improve ted improve ted from the d Heyford Fout within the provement ements at	h nour. ne 2031 E Park ne TA bu t scheme the B430		
Do Minimum Scenario As a further comparison ag scheme at Middleton Stone Minimum SATURN model. development, the Heyford I no mitigation at Middleton S It should be noted that the Unnamed Road junction bu DS1 scenario. The results modelling outputs provided Table 4: Middleton Stone	of 123% in t painst the DS by has been This model Park allocati Stoney over Do Minimun at the improv of this model at <b>Append</b>	A mitigatio tested in Li includes th on and high and above n scheme in vements are elling are se <b>ix A</b> .	ATURN mo c hour and n scenario nSig using the previous hway mitigathe the conser includes junce a not as ext to out withir	the committ flows extract y consented tion as set ted S278 in ction improv ensive as in <b>Table 4</b> be	ed improve ted improve ted from the d Heyford F out within the provemen ements at icluded with elow with fu	h nour. me 2031 E Park ne TA bu t scheme the B430 nin the II		
Do Minimum Scenario As a further comparison ag scheme at Middleton Stone Minimum SATURN model. development, the Heyford I no mitigation at Middleton St t should be noted that the Jnnamed Road junction bu DS1 scenario. The results modelling outputs provided	of 123% in t gainst the DS by has been This model Park allocati Stoney over Do Minimun ut the improv of this mode at <b>Append</b> <b>y 2031 Do I</b>	AM Peak	ATURN mo c hour and n scenario nSig using the previous nway mitigathe the conser includes junce e not as ext et out withir	the committ flows extract y consented tion as set ted S278 in ction improv ensive as in <b>Table 4</b> be	ed improve ted improve ted from the d Heyford F out within the provemen ements at included with elow with fu	h nour. ement ne 2031 E Park ne TA bur t scheme the B430 nin the II		
Do Minimum Scenario As a further comparison ag scheme at Middleton Stone Minimum SATURN model. development, the Heyford I no mitigation at Middleton S It should be noted that the Unnamed Road junction bu DS1 scenario. The results modelling outputs provided Table 4: Middleton Stone Link	of 123% in t painst the DS by has been This model Park allocati Stoney over Do Minimun at the improv of this model at <b>Append</b> <b>y 2031 Do I</b> <b>DoS (%)</b>	AM Peak	ATURN mo c hour and n scenario nSig using the previous hway mitigathe conser- ncludes junce a not as ext tet out withir Delay (Secs)	the committ flows extract y consented tion as set ted S278 in ction improv ensive as in <b>Table 4</b> be	ed improve ted improve ted from the d Heyford F out within the provement ements at iccluded with elow with fur PM Peak	h nour. ement ne 2031 I Park ne TA bu t scheme the B430 nin the II Delay (Secs)		
Do Minimum Scenario As a further comparison ag scheme at Middleton Stone Minimum SATURN model. development, the Heyford I no mitigation at Middleton S It should be noted that the Unnamed Road junction bu DS1 scenario. The results modelling outputs provided Table 4: Middleton Stone Link B430 Ardley Road (N)	of 123% in t painst the DS by has been This model Park allocati Stoney over Do Minimun at the improv of this model at <b>Append</b> <b>y 2031 Do I</b> <b>DoS (%)</b> 108.8	61 mitigatio tested in Li includes th on and high and above n scheme ir vements are elling are se ix A. Minimum AM Peak MMQ 67	n scenario nSig using ne previous nway mitiga the conser ncludes junce e not as ext et out withir Delay (Secs) 223	the committed flows extract y consented tion as set of ted S278 in ction improvensive as in a <b>Table 4</b> be <b>DoS (%)</b> 82.8	ed improve ted improve ted from the d Heyford Fout within the provement ements at included with elow with fur PM Peak MMQ 18	h nour. ment ne 2031 I Park ne TA bu t scheme the B430 nin the II Delay (Secs 53		
Do Minimum Scenario As a further comparison ag scheme at Middleton Stone Minimum SATURN model. development, the Heyford I no mitigation at Middleton S It should be noted that the Unnamed Road junction bu DS1 scenario. The results modelling outputs provided Table 4: Middleton Stone Link B430 Ardley Road (N) B4030 Bicester Road (E)	of 123% in t painst the DS by has been This model Park allocati Stoney over Do Minimun ut the improv of this model at <b>Append</b> <b>y 2031 Do I</b> <b>DoS (%)</b> 108.8 106.2	61 mitigatio tested in Li includes th on and high and above n scheme ir vements are elling are se ix A. Minimum AM Peak MMQ 67 30	ATURN mo c hour and n scenario nSig using ie previous hway mitigathe conser includes junce e not as ext et out within Delay (Secs) 223 246	the committe flows extract y consented tion as set of ted S278 in ction improvensive as in <b>Table 4</b> been <b>DoS (%)</b> 82.8 100.9	ed improve cted from the d Heyford F out within the provements at included with elow with fur PM Peak MMQ 18 29	h nour. ement he 2031 I Park ne TA bu t scheme the B430 hin the II Delay (Secs 53 134		
Do Minimum Scenario As a further comparison ag scheme at Middleton Stone Minimum SATURN model. development, the Heyford I no mitigation at Middleton S It should be noted that the Unnamed Road junction bu DS1 scenario. The results modelling outputs provided Table 4: Middleton Stone Link B430 Ardley Road (N) B4030 Bicester Road (E) B430 Oxford Road (S)	of 123% in t painst the DS by has been This model Park allocati Stoney over Do Minimun at the improv of this model at <b>Append</b> <b>y 2031 Do P</b> <b>DoS (%)</b> 108.8 106.2 83.3	AM Peak AM Peak	ATURN mo c hour and n scenario nSig using the previous hway mitigathe conser- ncludes junce e not as extended to out within Delay (Secs) 223 246 47	the committe flows extract y consented tited S278 in ction as set of ted S278 in ction improvensive as in <b>Table 4</b> be <b>DoS (%)</b> 82.8 100.9 100.1	ed improve ted improve ted from the d Heyford Fout within the provement ements at included with elow with fur PM Peak MMQ 18 29 36	h nour. ment ne 2031 I Park ne TA bu t scheme the B430 nin the II Delay (Secs 53 134 110		

J:\39304 Heyford Park Tranche 2\Technical\Transport\WP\Technotes\TN024 Rev D - 200305\_Middleton Stoney Signalised Junction Mitigation Note.docx

	Subject						
	Do Something 1 Scenario						
Flows extracted from the 2031 Do Something 1 (DS1) SATURN model scenario have also been assessed. The DS1 scenario includes all of the elements from the Do Minimum scena with the addition of a bus gate on the Heyford Road west of the junction preventing through traffic from using this arm and further improvements at the B430 / Unnamed Road junction beyond the improvements included in the Do Minimum scenario. Traffic associated with existing development in Middleton Stoney Village can still use this arm however, as can buses. Within LinSig all of the improvements associated with the committed Middleton Store scheme have been included but the Heyford Road arm has only been run every 3 cycles to represent the average operation of this arm with reduced traffic levels.						re also m scenario through unction with can ton Stoney ycles to	
	It is considered that the flow level of flow that is likely to model has the Middleton Si for traffic from a much wide and was a known limitation calculated the number of tri manner:	ws extracted be using the toney zone or area than of the SAT ips that are	d directly fro e B430 Hey accessed d the develop URN model likely to be	m SATURI ford Road a irectly off o oment that o in this area associated	N are likely arm of the j f this arm. can access a. On this b with this ar	to over estir unction beca This zone a directly fror pasis PBA h m in the foll	mate the ause the ccounts n this arm ave owing
	- The number of dwellin	gs that have	e airect acce	ess from the	e Heyford F	Road arm be	etween the
	<ul> <li>The number of dwellin approximate location of calculated from a simp approximately 70 dwel</li> <li>The number of trips generates used within the H</li> <li>The distribution of trips and out of the arm in th</li> <li>3 buses per hour in ear</li> <li>The flows with adjustments and the results are set out of Appendix A.</li> </ul>	gs that have of the propos- ole review of llings with a enerated by Heyford Park is at the junc he SATURN ach direction is made to th within <b>Table</b>	e direct acco sed bus gat f aerial map ccess from 70 dwelling k TA. tion was ca l model. n were adde e Heyford F e 5 below wi	ess from the e and Midd ping. This of this arm. s were calo lculated us d to these f coad arm w ith full mode	e Heyford F lleton Stone determined culated usir ing the dist flows. Pere run thre elling outpu	Road arm be ey have bee that there w ng the sensit ribution of tr bugh the Lin ts provided	etween the n vere iivity trip ips into Sig model at
	<ul> <li>The number of dwellin approximate location of calculated from a simp approximately 70 dwel</li> <li>The number of trips ge rates used within the H</li> <li>The distribution of trips and out of the arm in ti</li> <li>3 buses per hour in ear</li> <li>The flows with adjustments and the results are set out of Appendix A.</li> </ul>	gs that have of the propos- ole review of llings with a enerated by Heyford Parl s at the junc he SATURN ach direction s made to th within <b>Table</b>	e direct acci sed bus gat f aerial map ccess from 70 dwelling k TA. tion was ca l model. n were adde e Heyford F e 5 below with Something AM Peak	ess from the e and Midd ping. This of this arm. s were cald lculated us d to these f Road arm w ith full mode <b>1 Flows</b>	e Heyford F lleton Stone determined culated usir ing the dist flows. ere run thre elling outpu	Road arm be ey have bee that there w ng the sensit ribution of tr bugh the Lin tts provided	etween the n /ere iivity trip ips into Sig model at
	<ul> <li>The number of dwellin approximate location of calculated from a simple approximately 70 dweller. The number of trips generates used within the Ferret of the arm in the subset of th</li></ul>	gs that have of the proposi- ole review of llings with a enerated by Heyford Park is at the junc he SATURN ach direction is made to th within <b>Table</b> y 2031 Do S	e direct acce sed bus gat f aerial map ccess from 70 dwelling k TA. tion was ca l model. h were adde e Heyford R 5 below with Something AM Peak	ess from the e and Midd ping. This of this arm. s were calc lculated us d to these f Road arm w ith full mode <b>1 Flows</b> Delay (Secs)	e Heyford F lleton Stone determined culated usir ing the distr flows. rere run thro elling outpu	Road arm be ey have bee that there w ng the sensit ribution of tr bugh the Lin tts provided PM Peak MMQ	etween the n vere iivity trip ips into Sig mode at Delay (Secs)
	<ul> <li>The number of dwellin approximate location of calculated from a simple approximately 70 dweller. The number of trips generates used within the Here and out of the arm in the flows with adjustments and the results are set out of Appendix A.</li> <li>Table 5: Middleton Stone Link</li> <li>B430 Ardley Road (N)</li> </ul>	gs that have of the propos- ole review of llings with a enerated by Heyford Park is at the junc he SATURN is made to th within <b>Table</b> <b>y 2031 Do S</b> <b>DoS (%)</b> 115.2	e direct acci sed bus gat f aerial map ccess from 70 dwelling k TA. tion was ca h model. h were adde e Heyford F e 5 below with Something AM Peak MMQ 169	ess from the e and Midd ping. This of this arm. s were calc lculated us d to these f Road arm w ith full mode <b>1 Flows</b> <b>Delay</b> (Secs) 375	e Heyford F lleton Stone determined culated usir ing the dist flows. ere run thro elling outpu <b>DoS (%)</b> 89.4	Road arm be ey have bee that there w ng the sensit ribution of tr bugh the Lin tts provided PM Peak MMQ 30	etween the n vere tivity trip ips into Sig mode at Delay (Secs) 41
	<ul> <li>The number of dwellin approximate location of calculated from a simple approximately 70 dwells.</li> <li>The number of trips generates used within the Here of the arm in the second out of the arm in the</li></ul>	gs that have of the propos- ole review of llings with a enerated by Heyford Park is at the junc he SATURN ach direction is made to th within <b>Table</b> <b>y 2031 Do S</b> <b>DoS (%)</b> 115.2 114.3	e direct accesed bus gat f aerial map ccess from 70 dwelling k TA. tion was ca h model. h were adde e Heyford F e 5 below with Something AM Peak MMQ 169 83	ess from the e and Midd ping. This of this arm. s were calconder the series of the ser	e Heyford F lleton Stone determined culated usir ing the dist flows. erer run thro elling outpu <b>DoS (%)</b> 89.4 88.9	Road arm be ey have bee that there w ng the sensit ribution of tr bugh the Lin its provided PM Peak MMQ 30 20	etween the n vere ivity trip ips into Sig mode at Delay (Secs) 41 64
	<ul> <li>The number of dwellin approximate location of calculated from a simple approximately 70 dweller. The number of trips generates used within the Here and out of the arm in the flows with adjustments and the results are set out of Appendix A.</li> <li>Table 5: Middleton Stone Link</li> <li>B430 Ardley Road (N)</li> <li>B430 Oxford Road (S)</li> </ul>	gs that have of the propos- ole review of llings with a enerated by Heyford Park s at the junc he SATURN ach direction s made to th within <b>Table</b> <b>y 2031 Do S</b> <b>DoS (%)</b> 115.2 114.3 75.0	e direct acci sed bus gat f aerial map ccess from 70 dwelling k TA. tion was ca h model. h were adde e Heyford F e 5 below with Something AM Peak MMQ 169 83 9	ess from the e and Midd ping. This of this arm. s were calc lculated us d to these f Road arm w ith full mode <b>1 Flows</b> <b>Delay</b> (Secs) 375 397 30	e Heyford F lleton Stone determined culated usir ing the distr flows. ere run thro elling outpu <b>DoS (%)</b> 89.4 88.9 66.9	Road arm be ey have bee that there w ng the sensit ribution of tr bugh the Lin tts provided PM Peak MMQ 30 20 19	etween the n /ere iivity trip ips into Sig mode at Delay (Secs) 41 64 27

) Stantec

I

			,		/			
	<ul> <li>operate slightly better than the Reference Case scenario with a DoS of 89% compared with 94% in the Reference Case. It also operates better than the Do Minimum scenario in the PM peak hour.</li> <li>When comparing the queues from this scenario with the Reference Case, <b>Table 5</b> shows that the queue lengths are forecast to be a maximum of 169 PCUs during the AM peak compared with 67 in the reference case scenario on the B430 Ardley Road arm. The queue lengths on the B4030 Bicester Road arm are predicted to 83 compared with 37 in the Reference Case scenario. Queues in the PM peak hour are much more comparable between the two scenarios.</li> </ul>							
-	Junction Operation	ation at Middleton S	toney Junction –	PBA TA Trip Rate				
	modelling asses and sustainable undertaken usir higher sensitivit application and the sensitivity tr	development compa development compa ng higher residential p y rates were used in followed through to b ip rates and original F	I residential trip rate rable to Heyford Pa person trip rates tha the subsequent mo re used within the D PBA TA trip rates ar	es based on a typica ark as well as a sen t were agreed with delling analysis to s S1 modelling scena re set out in <b>Table 6</b>	al large, mixed use sitivity test OCC. These support the ario. For reference 5.			
	Table 6: Sensit	tivity and Standard	Trip Rates					
		Time Period	Arrival	Departure	Total			
	Sensitivity	AM Peak	0.147	0.452	0.599			
		PM Peak	0.319	0.165	0.485			
	PBA TA Trip	AM Peak	0.110	0.369	0.479			
	Rate	PM Peak	0.281	0.187	0.469			
	are confirmed to trip generation of cycle infrastruct overall vehicula undertaking the Plan measures reduction betwee It is understood include but are	o be implemented or p of the proposed develoure and the implement r trip generation of He Travel Plan) have m that would be require en the development that full Travel Plans not limited to measure	proposals put forwa opment. This includent tation of the site Theyford Park. Dorch et with OCCs Trave and bicester that is are being prepared es such as:	and that are likely to des the impact of pr ravel Plan, which w ester and consultan el Plan officer to disc ed in order to achiev reflected by the red for the developme	reduce the vehicle roposed walk and ill aim to reduce th the Calibro (who ar cuss the Travel ve the level of trip duction in trip rate. nt and they will			
	<ul> <li>Provision of cycle route</li> <li>Personalise</li> <li>Provision of Provision of Implementa employees</li> <li>Adult and of Public bike</li> </ul>	of Travel Plan welcom s, and bus service loo ed Travel Planning fo of a free 3 month bus ation of a bike hire sc child cycle training wil maintenance and bik	le packs and leaflet cations. r residents and emp pass for residents a heme and one free I be made available ke pump stands will	s including plans sh ployees and employees annual membershi be installed and ma	nowing walk and p for residents and aintained within the			
	developme	nt. ity club will be establi	shed offering leisur	e cycle rides and w	alks around the			
	developme	nt.						

Stantec

J:\39304 Heyford Park Tranche 2\Technical\Transport\WP\Technotes\TN024 Rev D - 200305\_Middleton Stoney Signalised Junction Mitigation Note.docx



ltem	Subject
	<ul> <li>Setting up and management of a car share club for residents and employees</li> <li>The introduction of an electric car club vehicle</li> <li>Twice annual public travel events</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Secure and sheltered employee cycle parking</li> <li>A forum of workplace champions will be established to share knowledge, issues and opportunities</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Where possible the incorporation of showers and drying rooms into commercial buildings</li> <li>Assess with each business the potential to provide car sharer only parking and smart parking</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>A commitment to undertake bike maintenance sessions at travel plan events and have a monthly mobile bike repair service.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>The community cycle club will organise biker breakfasts, buddy schemes and encourage cycle champions from the site to encourage work alongside the TPC.</li> <li>Establish a bike miles scheme where employees can earn points in exchange for yourhere.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Businesses at the site will be able to claim 50% of the cost of installing audio and video conferencing systems at their premises up to £500</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Op to 5 desks with will access will be made available within onices on site to create an informal co-working space to provide an alternative to home working without the need to travel.</li> </ul>
	In addition to these Travel Plan specific measures, there are proposals to increase the bus service that connects Heyford Park with Bicester from one service per hour up to three. This is anticipated to increase the patronage of the bus service and as a result, reduce the vehicle trips generated by Heyford Park, especially for trips travelling to Bicester.
	Furthermore, the provision of a bus gate on the B4030 Heyford Road to the west of Middleton Stoney will improve the travel time and reliability for buses between Heyford park and Bicester due to the removal of through traffic on the link. This is expected to further incentivise public transport trips and reduce vehicle trips.
	It is also anticipated that the implementation of the bus gate will make for a low traffic route along the B4030 to Middleton Stoney and therefore this is likely to encourage people to cycle between the development and Bicester. It is proposed that a contribution be made towards cycle infrastructure between Camp Road and Middleton Stoney as part of the proposed package of measures to support the Middleton Stoney mitigation.
	Therefore, the residential trip rates that were set out within the TA, but not used within the DS1 modelling exercise are considered to be appropriate for use within this assessment. In addition, it is considered that these lower trip rates should be applied to the consented residential development that is also located within Heyford Park as these residents would also benefit from the Travel Plan measures. In addition to the above, the lower trip rates have been applied to the approximately 70 dwellings that are within Middleton Stoney and accessed via Heyford Road. The improved bus service provision is also anticipated to provide a benefit for Middleton Stoney residents and as such the lower trip rates are considered to be appropriate for application here as well.
	It is noted that the currently consented dwellings do not currently benefit from a Travel Plan associated with the development. Notwithstanding this it is considered appropriate that the lower trip rate is applied to the consented dwellings at the development because:
	<ul> <li>All existing residents will benefit from the same increase in provision of services and travel choices as future residents.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Not all of the consented units have been built and occupied at the current time. At the end of 2019 there were 755 occupations on site. On this basis there are approximately 423</li> </ul>

J:\39304 Heyford Park Tranche 2\Technical\Transport\WP\Technotes\TN024 Rev D - 200305\_Middleton Stoney Signalised Junction Mitigation Note.docx

-	units or 36% left to be oc	Subject					
-	units or 36% left to be occupied. The majority of these will benefit from a Travel Plan or day 1						
<ul> <li>day 1.</li> <li>The currently occupied dwellings will experience a level of turn over and therefore future residents of the existing dwellings will also benefit from moving into a property with a Travel Plan in place. In order to provide an estimate of this Dorchester have provided turnover statistics for the Heyford site as set out below: <ul> <li>Open Market Sales – 350 units. Turnover of 15% – 20% every 5 years</li> <li>Open Market Rental – 325 units. Turnover of 20% every year</li> <li>Affordable Rental – 80 units. Turn over of 10% every 5 years.</li> </ul> </li> <li>On the basis of the above the number of units that will have been turned over by 2031 (assuming 10 years) is set out below: <ul> <li>Open Market Sale – 123 units in new ownership (assuming 17.5% turnover)</li> <li>Open market rental – 325 units with new tenants</li> <li>Affordable Rental – 16 units with new tenants</li> <li>On this basis it is likely that 464 of the currently occupied properties will have a new owner / tenant and will benefit from the proposed travel plan by 2031. Once these are added to the new properties, it is likely that there will be 887 (75%) of the total 1178</li> </ul> </li> </ul>							
added to the new properties, it is likely that there will be 887 (75%) of the total 1178 consented units that benefit from moving into a property with an established Travel Plan							
Bas cap rep <b>Tal</b>	sed on the comparison with bacity issues at the junction orted for the remainder of t ble 7.	the Reference during the PM p his report. The t	Case, there are i beak. As such, of otal reduction in	not considere nly the AM pe vehicle trips	ed to be any eak results a is set out in t		
Ba: cap rep <b>Tal</b>	sed on the comparison with bacity issues at the junction orted for the remainder of t ble 7. ble 7: Trip Reductions fro Link	the Reference of during the PM p his report. The to <b>m Sensitivity T</b> Consented Heyford Development	Case, there are in beak. As such, or otal reduction in rip Rates Allocated Heyford Development	not considere nly the AM pe vehicle trips Middleton Stoney Reduction	ed to be any eak results a is set out in t Total		
Ba: cap rep <b>Tal</b>	sed on the comparison with bacity issues at the junction orted for the remainder of t ble 7. ble 7: Trip Reductions fro Link B430 Ardley Road (N)	the Reference of during the PM p his report. The t <b>m Sensitivity T</b> Consented Heyford Development 30	Case, there are in beak. As such, or otal reduction in rip Rates Allocated Heyford Development 38	not considerently the AM pervehicle trips	ed to be any eak results a is set out in t Total 69		
Ba: cap rep Tal Tal	sed on the comparison with pacity issues at the junction orted for the remainder of t ble 7. ble 7: Trip Reductions fro Link B430 Ardley Road (N) B4030 Bicester Road (E)	the Reference of during the PM p his report. The tr m Sensitivity T Consented Heyford Development 30 14	Case, there are in beak. As such, or otal reduction in rip Rates Allocated Heyford Development 38 17	not considerently the AM pervehicle trips	ed to be any eak results a is set out in t Total 69 32		
Ba: cap Tal Tal	sed on the comparison with pacity issues at the junction orted for the remainder of t ble 7. ble 7: Trip Reductions fro Link B430 Ardley Road (N) B4030 Bicester Road (E) B430 Oxford Road (S)	the Reference of during the PM p his report. The term <b>Sensitivity T</b> Consented Heyford Development 30 14 2	Case, there are in beak. As such, or otal reduction in rip Rates Allocated Heyford Development 38 17 3	not considerent nly the AM pervehicle trips Middleton Stoney Reduction 1 1 1	ed to be any eak results a is set out in t Total 69 32 6		
Ba: cap rep Tal Tal	sed on the comparison with bacity issues at the junction orted for the remainder of t ble 7. ble 7: Trip Reductions fro Link B430 Ardley Road (N) B4030 Bicester Road (E) B430 Oxford Road (S) B4030 Heyford Road (W)	the Reference of during the PM p this report. The tr m Sensitivity T Consented Heyford Development 30 14 2 0	Case, there are in the peak. As such, or otal reduction in the reduction in the rip Rates           Allocated         Heyford         Development         38         17         3         0	not considered nly the AM pervehicle trips Middleton Stoney Reduction 1 1 1 6	ed to be any eak results a is set out in t Total 69 32 6 6		

Stantec

J:\39304 Heyford Park Tranche 2\Technical\Transport\WP\Technotes\TN024 Rev D - 200305\_Middleton Stoney Signalised Junction Mitigation Note.docx



	Subject					
Table 8: Middleton Stoney Do So	mething – PBA	TA Trip Rates				
		AM Peak				
Link	DoS (%)	MMQ	Delay (Secs)			
B430 Ardley Road (N)	108.4%	119	246			
B4030 Bicester Road (E)	108.1%	62	273			
B430 Oxford Road (S)	75%	10	31			
B4030 Heyford Road (W)	82.1%	5	353			
<ul> <li><b>Table 8</b> demonstrates that the junction is marginally better in the AR Reference Case, however the queue 62 PCUs on Ardley Road and Bices respectively in the Reference Case.</li> <li>The queue lengths in the Do Minim Reference case and so the queue lengths forecast on Oxford Road har minimum scenario to 10 PCUs in the Road have reduced from 45 / 50 PC scenario set out above. This is precamount of traffic using this route.</li> <li>Impact of Enhanced Public Transport As set out above there are proposal site with Bicester town centre. The permitted the use of the lower trip ratrivel Plan and the potential for a h proposed buses per hour, there is t provided, which would have the potential import to forecast the potential import to forecast the potential import to forecast the potential and the junct patronage due to the additional seriest out in Section 7.4.1 and Table 7 Practical Guide", extract attached a 12% of passengers due to the introprovided at <b>Appendix C</b>.</li> </ul>	tion is predicted to the Reference of AM peak hour with the lengths are hig ster Road in this s and scenario are to ength increase with acreased on Ardle ave reduced from his scenario. Simil CUs in the Refere dominantly due to ort Provision provision of 3 bus ates set out in <b>Ta</b> higher level of cyc the potential that a stential to encourage pact that the prov- tion, an assessme vice. Using a stat 7.5 of TRL Report at <b>Appendix B</b> ) it duction of a 4th b target public trans If use the bus serve by ment target of 2 0 82% for residen	o operate with a r Case scenario the a DoS of 108% of her with a maximus cenario, compare proadly the same hen compared to by Road and Bices 17 / 21 PCUs in t arly, the queues f ence Case / Do Mi the bus gate sign ince Case / Do Mi the bus gate sign of up to 3 buses ses per hour is on <b>ble 7</b> , alongside t sign of additional bar additional bus ge further modal s ision of additional ent has been mad 593 "The Deman is likely that there us. The calculations sport mode share vice. The Travel 1 2.0%. On this bas	maximum DoS of e operation of the compared to 110 um forecast of 11 ed to 67 and 37 F as those recorded this scenario is s ster Road, the qu the Reference cat forecast on Heyfo inimum to 5 PCU hificantly reducing s per hour to con e of the factors the implementation ter. In addition to service could be shift by providing I bus services made on the likely up asticity factor of 0 of for Public Trans e will be an addition on associated will in order to calcu Plan sets a residu- sis it is predicted 1% for employment	108% % in the 9 and PCUs ed in the imilar. eue se / Do ord s in the g the nect the nat has on of the the 3 hy have otake of 0.4 (as sport a onal th this is late the ential that nat trips		

J:\39304 Heyford Park Tranche 2\Technical\Transport\WP\Technotes\TN024 Rev D - 200305\_Middleton Stoney Signalised Junction Mitigation Note.docx

ltem	Subject						
	Table 9: Moda	Shift Due to Extra	Bus Service				
		AM Peak Hour	Inbound	Outboun	id Tot	al	
	Residential	Committed Heyford Development	3	8	11		
	Residential	Allocated Heyford Development	4	11	15	5	
	Employment	Committed Heyford Development	2	0	2		
	Employment	Allocated Heyford Development	2	0	2		
		Total	11	19	30	)	
	model, the resu Appendix A. Table 10: Midd	Its of which are set o	ut in Table 10 w mething – Enha	ith full modelling anced Public Tra AM Peak	outputs provide ansport Provis	d at ion	
		Link –	DoS (%)	MMQ	Delay (Secs)		
	B430 Arc	lley Road (N)	106.5%	99	203		
	B4030 Bic	ester Road (E)	106.4%	53	238		
	B430 Ox	ford Road (S)	75.0%	9	30		
	B4030 Hey	/ford Road (W)	87.0%	5	378		
	<b>Table 10</b> demonstrates that the junction is predicted to operate with a maximum DoS of 10 in the AM peak hour, an improvement over the Reference Case which is predicted to be at 110%. When compared to the Reference Case results, the maximum queue lengths are forecast to increase on the Ardley Road arm, from 67 to 99 PCUs and on the Bicester Road arm from 37 to 53 PCUs. However, there is a reduction in queueing overall at the junction when compared with the reference case scenario with total queuing in the DS1 scenario of 166 PCUs compared with 171 in the reference case scenario. Total queue lengths at the junction are similar when compared with the Do Minimum scenario where total queues at the junction are predicted to be 163 PCUs						

Stantec

J:\39304 Heyford Park Tranche 2\Technical\Transport\WP\Technotes\TN024 Rev D - 200305\_Middleton Stoney Signalised Junction Mitigation Note.docx



ltem	Subject							
	The inclusion of the bus gate, Travel Planning measures, additional bus services and contribution towards cycle infrastructure result in the junction operating with a similar level of capacity and queuing as that set out in the Reference case (Table 3) and Do minimum scenarios (Table 4). It is therefore considered that this scenario is able to effectively mitigate the impact of the Heyford Park development on the Middleton Stoney junction.							
5.	Junction Operation at Middleton Stoney – HGV Restriction on B4030 East							
	In addition to the measures s Stoney Parish council regard Bicester Road to the east of number of HGV trips travellin	set out above OCC had ding the implementation the junction. It was on the junction the village through the village	ave been in discussion on of a HGV restriction considered that this wo and improve air qualit	with Middleton on the B4030 uld help to reduce the y in the area.				
	It is considered that this sche Middleton Stoney as it would Middleton Stoney and is like	eme could be delivere I also help to reduce ly to improve the ope	ed as part of the mitiga the number of trips trav ration of the junction.	tion proposed for the velling through				
	On this basis Select Link An peak DS1 scenario in order to Bicester Road and travelling number of HGV trips using th total HGVs on this link are p	alysis has been unde to determine the num through the Middletc he B4030 Bicester Ro rovided at <b>Appendix</b>	rtaken in the SATURN ber of HGV trips that a on Stoney junction. <b>Ta</b> bad and the SATURN p <b>D</b> .	model for the AM are using the B4030 <b>ble 11</b> sets out the blots setting out the				
	Table 11: HGV Trips using	the B4030 Bicester	Road Junction					
	Direction of Movement on B4030 Bicester Road	Trip Route	Movement at Middleton Stoney	Flow (PCUs) AM Peak DS1				
	Eastbound	M40 to Bicester	B430 North to B4030 East	14				
	Eastbound	Heyford Park to Bicester	B430 North to B4030 East	17				
	Eastbound	Middleton Stoney to Bicester	B4030 West to B4030 East	2				
	Westbound	Bicester to M40	B4030 East to B430 North	25				
	Westbound	Bicester to Heyford Park	B4030 East to B430 North	10				
	Westbound	Bicester to Middleton Stoney	B4030 East to B4030 West	1				
	<ul> <li>If an HGV restriction were to be placed on the B4030 Bicester Road the HGV trips set out in Table 11 would re-assign to other parts of the network in order to avoid the restriction. The following assumptions for this re-assignment have been agreed with OCC.</li> <li>Trips between Bicester and the M40 (and vice-versa) will reassign via the B4100 and Baynards Green Roundabout in order to access the motorway.</li> <li>Trips between Bicester and Heyford Park (and vice-versa) will reassign to the following routes: <ul> <li>50% via the B4100, M40, J10 and B430 / Unnamed Junction (J5) to Camp Road.</li> <li>50% via the A41, A34, B430 and B430 / Unnamed Junction (J5) to Camp Road.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>							
	<ul> <li>Trips between Bicester A34, B430 and Middleto</li> </ul>	and Middleton Stone on Stoney Junction to	y (and vice-versa) wou Heyford Road.	lld reassign to the A41,				

J:\39304 Heyford Park Tranche 2\Technical\Transport\WP\Technotes\TN024 Rev D - 200305\_Middleton Stoney Signalised Junction Mitigation Note.docx

ltem	Subject							
	On the basis of the implementation of a HGV restriction and these assumptions the change in traffic flow at the Middleton Stoney junction in the AM peak DS1 scenario is set out in <b>Table 12</b> .							
	Table 12: Chan	ge in Traffic Flo	ow at Middleto	n Stoney asso	ciated with HGV	/ Restriction		
	on D4050 Dices	B430 North	B4030 East	B430 South	B4030 West	Total		
	B430 North	0	-31	9	0	-22		
	B4030 East	-35	0	0	-1	-36		
	B430 South	5	0	0	1	6		
	B4030 West	0	-2	2	0	0		
	Total	-30	-33	11	0	-52		
	Appendix A. Table 13: Middle HGV Restriction	eton Stoney Do I	Something –	Enhanced Pub	lic Transport Pr	ovision and		
	Li	ink	DoS (%)					
	B430 Ardle	ey Road (N)	102.7%	74	127			
	B4030 Bices	ster Road (E)	102.4%	102.4% 37				
	B430 Oxfo	rd Road (S)	75.0%	9	29			
	B4030 Heyf	ord Road (W)	87.0%	5	378			
	B430 Oxford Road (S)75.0%929B4030 Heyford Road (W)87.0%5378Table 13 demonstrates that the junction is predicted to operate with a maximum DoS of 103' in the AM peak hour which is an improvement over the Reference Case which is predicted to be at 110%. When compared to Reference Case results, the maximum queue lengths are forecast to have a minor increase on the Ardley Road arm, from 67 to 74 PCUs and they remain consistent on the Bicester Road arm with both scenarios having a queue of 37 PCUs There is a reduction in queueing overall at the junction when compared with the Reference Case scenario with total queuing in the DS1 scenario of 125 PCUs compared with 171 in the Reference Case scenario. Total queue lengths at the junction are also predicted to be lower than when compared with the Do Minimum scenario where total queues at the junction are predicted to be 163 PCUsIt should also be noted that the way in which the signals have been modelled means that the 							

Stantec

J:\39304 Heyford Park Tranche 2\Technical\Transport\WP\Technotes\TN024 Rev D - 200305\_Middleton Stoney Signalised Junction Mitigation Note.docx



Item	Subject
	arrive such that a vehicle would be unlikely to wait longer than one cycle or 120 seconds. <b>Table 13</b> shows that the western arm has a delay per vehicle of 378 seconds. In practice we would expect this delay to be approximately 120 seconds.
	On the ground the traffic signals will operate via an adaptive traffic control system called MOVA. The MOVA operation is continuously adapting the signal timing and stage sequence within set parameters to try and reduce the delay at the junction. The system detects traffic travelling towards the junction by sensing cars travelling towards the junction via inductive loops that are cut into the road surface. These sensors are located based on road speed and user behaviour to ensure reliable detection. The system is flexible enough that if an arm did not have any vehicle demand then the associated stage could be skipped and will only be called when there is demand. In this way the junction will not run the stage associated with the western arm if there is no demand but can run this stage at the appropriate time in the cycle if a vehicle is present at the junction.
	The inclusion of the bus gate, HGV restriction on the B4030 east, Travel Plan measures, additional bus services (4 bus per hour) and contribution towards local cycle infrastructure result in the junction operating with a better level of performance and reduced queuing compared with both the Reference Case (Table 3) and Do minimum scenarios (Table 4). It is therefore considered that in this scenario the combination of measures are able to effectively mitigate the impact of the Heyford Park development on the Middleton Stoney junction.
6.	Conclusion
	This Technical Note has tested the impact of the Heyford Park development on the B430 Ardley Road / Unnamed Road junction and Middleton Stoney junction in the DS1 mitigation scenario. The DS1 scenario includes putting a bus gate on the B4030 Heyford Road arm of the Middleton Stoney junction to prevent through traffic and capacity enhancements at the B430 Ardley Road / Unnamed Road junction.
	It was identified that whilst the junction mitigation identified for the B430 Ardley Road / Unnamed Road junction within the Heyford Park TA did not operate within capacity in the DS1 scenario improvements could be made to this design, through increasing flare lengths, that would allow the junction to operate within capacity. The junction could be delivered on land within the control of Dorchester or dedicated as highway.
	The note has also identified that the operation of the Middleton Stoney signalised junction in the DS1 scenario is likely to be similar or better than in the Reference Case scenario (albeit with a slightly different distribution of DoS and queuing across all the arms of the junction) if there is a mode shift away from the private car for trips between Heyford Park and Bicester compared to the Sensitivity Test trips that were assessed within the TA. A summary of the operation of the junction in the different scenarios set out within the note is provided at <b>Table 14</b> .

J:\39304 Heyford Park Tranche 2\Technical\Transport\WP\Technotes\TN024 Rev D - 200305\_Middleton Stoney Signalised Junction Mitigation Note.docx



ltem	n Subject									
	Table 14: Summary of Operation of Middlet	on Stoney J	unction							
		AM P	eak	PM F	eak					
	Link	Worst DoS (%)	Total Queue	Worst DoS (%)	Total Queue					
	2031 Reference Case	109.9	171	93.6	83					
	2031 Do Minimum	108.8	163	100.9	106					
	2031 Do Something 1	115.2	268	89.4	72					
	Do Something 1: PBA TA Trip Rates	108.4	196	-	-					
	Do Something 1: PBA TA Trip Rates and Enhanced Public Transport	106.5	166	-	-					
	-	-								
	<ul> <li>A comprehensive Travel Plan being prepared for the development</li> <li>The introduction of a more frequent bus service to Bicester (up to 4 buses per hour) would encourage a move to public transport trips</li> <li>The introduction of the bus gate on the Heyford Road arm of the junction will improve bus journey times and reliability between the development and Bicester and encourage shift to public transport.</li> <li>The introduction of the bus gate on the Heyford Road arm of the junction will provide for a low traffic environment on the B4030 between the development and Middleton Stoney. This could allow the route to form part of a strategic cycle route to Bicester which is likely to encourage a mode shift to bike and it is proposed that a contribution be made towards cycle infrastructure between Camp Road and Middleton Stoney.</li> </ul>									
	It is also considered that the introduction of a HGV restriction on the B4030 Bicester would reduce the number of HGVs travelling through the junction which would provide an improvement in operation as well as improving the environmental amenity for Middleton Stoney residents. It should be noted that in the DS1 scenario with a reduced trip rate, an additional bus service and the HGV restriction in place the junction is predicted to operate with improved levels of capacity and queuing when compared to the reference case scenario whilst accommodating an increase in person movements through the junction. This increase in person movements is due to higher traffic flows in this scenario and an increase in bus patronage due to the improved service provision and associated benefits for journey times and reliability afforded by the bus gate.									
	In the context of the above, this exercise demo mitigation schemes, proposed public transport set out in this note can adequately mitigate the the Middleton Stoney signalised junction with than in the without development scenario (Ref	onstrates that infrastructur e impacts of t overall junctio erence Case	t the packag e and bus s he propose on performa ).	ge of off-site ervice impro d developme nce operatin	highway vements ent traffic at g better					

J:\39304 Heyford Park Tranche 2\Technical\Transport\WP\Technotes\TN024 Rev D - 200305\_Middleton Stoney Signalised Junction Mitigation Note.docx



ltem	Subject
	The DS1 mitigation measures can be implemented and delivered by the developer and it is therefore considered that they represent a preferred mitigation solution for the development when compared with the DS2 and DS3 scenarios. The DS2 and DS3 scenarios have significant risk and uncertainty associated with delivery due to the requirements for third party land, external funding which is not secured and the additional uncertainty that this causes in terms of timescale.
	On this basis it is considered that the implementation of the following measures would form an appropriate and deliverable package of mitigation measures for Middleton Stoney in combination with other off-site local highway mitigation measures on the local road network as discussed with OCC:
	<ul> <li>a bus gate on the B4030 Heyford Road arm of the Middleton Stoney junction;</li> <li>enhanced improvements at the B430 Ardley Road / Unnamed Road junction;</li> <li>new / revised bus services;</li> <li>a HGV restriction on the B4030 Bicester Road arm; and</li> <li>contributions towards cycle infrastructure between Camp Road and Middleton Stoney,</li> </ul>

#### DOCUMENT ISSUE RECORD

Technical Note No	Rev	Date	Prepared	Checked	Reviewed (Discipline Lead)	Approved (Project Director)
39304/TN024	-	09.01.19	PR / JH	PR	-	MW
39304/TN024	Α	30.01.19	PR	-	-	MW
39304/TN024	В	10.06.19	PR	-	-	MW
39304/TN024	С	29.08.19	PR	-	-	-
39304/TN024	D	06.03.20	RK	-	PR	MW

This report has been prepared by Stantec UK Limited ('Stantec') on behalf of its client to whom this report is addressed ('Client') in connection with the project described in this report and takes into account the Client's particular instructions and requirements. This report was prepared in accordance with the professional services appointment under which Stantec was appointed by its Client. This report is not intended for and should not be relied on by any third party (i.e. parties other than the Client). Stantec accepts no duty or responsibility (including in negligence) to any party other than the Client and disclaims all liability of any nature whatsoever to any such party in respect of this report. Stantec 10 Queen Square Bristol BS1 4NT T: +44 (0)117 332 7840

J:\39304 Heyford Park Tranche 2\Technical\Transport\WP\Technotes\TN024 Rev D - 200305\_Middleton Stoney Signalised Junction Mitigation Note.docx



DRAWINGS

J:\39304 Heyford Park Tranche 2\Technical\Transport\WP\Technotes\TN024 Rev D - 200305\_Middleton Stoney Signalised Junction Mitigation Note.docx

#### NOTES:

1. THE LAYOUT IS SUBJECT TO DETAILED DESIGN, ROAD SAFETY AUDIT, CAPACITY TESTING, GROUND INVESTIGATIONS RESULTS & EARTHWORKS MODELLING, UTILITIES & SERVICES AND CONFIRMATION OF LAND OWNERSHIP;

2. THE DETAILED DESIGN LAYOUT WILL BE DESIGNED IN ACCORDANCE WITH ALL RELEVANT DESIGN GUIDANCE AND STANDARDS;

3. THE LAYOUT HAS BEEN BASED ON THE APPROPRIATE DESIGN SPEED FOR OUR CURRENT PROPOSALS;

4. THIS DRAWING SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH ALL RELEVANT ASSOCIATED DOCUMENTS; AND

5. THE USE OF THE DRAWING DOES NOT ABSOLVE THE CLIENT FROM THEIR RESPONSIBILITIES IN REGARDS TO HEALTH & SAFETY AND CDM REGULATIONS;



- **215M STOPPING SIGHT DISTANCE TO JUNCTION GIVE-WAY LINE IN** ACCORDANCE WITH DMRB FOR A 60MPH ROAD
- JUNCTION INTERVISIBILITY IN ACCORDANCE WITH DMRB
- ← → PRIMARY TRAFFIC SIGNAL HEAD AND POLE
- ← ► SECONDARY TRAFFIC SIGNAL HEAD AND POLE

STOPPING SIGHT DISTANCE TO SIGNAL HEAD OF

215M (NATIONAL SPEED LIMIT) ACHIEVABLE

POSITION OF JUNCTION DETERMINED BY — JUNCTION INTERVISIBILITY AND STOPPING SIGHT DISTANCE ON THE WESTERN ARM WITHIN LAND OWNERSHIP

ROAD WIDENED TO PROVIDE — A LEFT TURN LANE AND RIGHT TURN FLARE OF 60M

THE EXISTING VEGETATION TO BE CUT BACK OR – REMOVED, WHERE ACHIEVABLE, TO ENSURE ADEQUATE SSD VISIBILITY TO THE PROPOSED



- STOPPING SIGHT DISTANCE TO SIGNAL HEAD OF 215M (NATIONAL SPEED LIMIT) ACHIEVABLE

WIDENING OF THE B430 TO PROVIDE AHEAD LANE WITH RIGHT TURN FLARE OF 164M

3

k

3.650

3.650





**APPENDIX A** 

J:\39304 Heyford Park Tranche 2\Technical\Transport\WP\Technotes\TN024 Rev D - 200305\_Middleton Stoney Signalised Junction Mitigation Note.docx

# Full Input Data And Results Full Input Data And Results

#### User and Project Details

Project:	
Title:	
Location:	
File name:	J5 B430 minor rd single lane (possible mit Opt4) V6.lsg3x – TA Geometry
Author:	
Company:	
Address:	
Notes:	

#### Network Layout Diagram



## Phase Diagram



#### Phase Input Data

Phase Name	Phase Type	Assoc. Phase	Street Min	Cont Min
А	Traffic		7	7
В	Filter	А	4	0
С	Traffic		7	7
D	Traffic		7	7
E	Traffic		7	7
F	Filter	E	4	0

### Phase Intergreens Matrix

		St	artii	ng F	Pha	se	
		А	в	С	D	Е	F
	А		-	5	5	5	-
	В	-		-	-	5	-
Terminating Phase	С	5	-		-	-	-
	D	5	-	-		5	6
	Е	5	6	-	5		-
	F	-	-	-	5	-	

#### Phases in Stage

Stage No.	Phases in Stage
1	CE
2	BCD
3	AF

#### Stage Diagram

1 Min >= 7	2 Min >= 6	3 Min >= 6
	<b>B</b>	
	(A)	(A)
T-	ТТ	- T
F E	É É	Ê E

#### Phase Delays

Term. Stage	Start Stage	Phase	Туре	Value	Cont value		
There are no Phase Delays defined							

## Prohibited Stage Change



Full Input Data And Results Give-Way Lane Input Data

Junction: Unnamed Junction

There are no Opposed Lanes in this Junction

# Full Input Data And Results Lane Input Data

Junction: Unnamed Junction												
Lane	Lane Type	Phases	Start Disp.	End Disp.	Physical Length (PCU)	Sat Flow Type	Def User Saturation Flow (PCU/Hr)	Lane Width (m)	Gradient	Nearside Lane	Turns	Turning Radius (m)
1/1 (Unnamed Road)	U	A B	2	3	60.0	Geom	-	3.25	0.00	Y	Arm 4 Left	15.00
1/2 (Unnamed Road)	U	A	2	3	5.0	Geom	-	3.25	0.00	Y	Arm 6 Right	20.00
2/1 (Unnamed Rd exit)	U		2	3	60.0	Inf	-	-	-	-	-	-
3/1 (B430 North entry)	U	С	2	3	60.0	Geom	-	3.65	0.00	Y	Arm 6 Ahead	Inf
3/2 (B430 North entry)	U	D	2	3	28.5	Geom	-	3.65	0.00	Y	Arm 2 Right	15.00
4/1 (B430 North exit)	U		2	3	60.0	Inf	-	-	-	-	-	-
5/1 (B430 South)	U	EF	2	3	12.9	Geom	-	3.65	0.00	Y	Arm 2 Left	10.00
5/2 (B430 South)	U	E	2	3	60.0	Geom	-	3.65	0.00	Y	Arm 4 Ahead	Inf
6/1 (B430 South exit)	U		2	3	60.0	Inf	-	-	-	-	-	-

#### Traffic Flow Groups

Flow Group	Start Time	End Time	Duration	Formula
13: 'SATURN Run DS1 AM'	08:00	09:00	01:00	
14: 'SATURN Run DS1 PM'	17:00	18:00	01:00	

#### Scenario 13: 'SATURN Run DS1 AM' (FG13: 'SATURN Run DS1 AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Traffic Flows, Desired Desired Flow :

		[	Destinatior	ı	
		А	В	С	Tot.
	А	0	319	487	806
Origin	В	301	0	754	1055
	С	476	433	0	909
	Tot.	777	752	1241	2770

#### **Traffic Lane Flows**

Lane	Scenario 13: SATURN Run DS1 AM
Junction: Un	named Junction
1/1 (with short)	806(In) 319(Out)
1/2 (short)	487
2/1	777
3/1 (with short)	1055(ln) 754(Out)
3/2 (short)	301
4/1	752
5/1 (short)	476
5/2 (with short)	909(In) 433(Out)
6/1	1241

## Lane Saturation Flows

Junction: Unnamed Junc	tion								
Lane	Lane Width (m)	Gradient Nearside Lane		Allowed Turns	Turning Radius (m)	Turning Prop.	Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)	Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)	
1/1 (Unnamed Road)	3.25	0.00	Y	Arm 4 Left	15.00	100.0 %	1764	1764	
1/2 (Unnamed Road)	3.25	0.00	Y	Arm 6 Right	20.00	100.0 %	1805	1805	
2/1 (Unnamed Rd exit Lane 1)			Infinite S	aturation Flow			Inf	Inf	
3/1 (B430 North entry)	3.65	3.65 0.00		Arm 6 Ahead	Inf	100.0 %	1980	1980	
3/2 (B430 North entry)	3.65	0.00	Y	Arm 2 Right	15.00	100.0 %	1800	1800	
4/1 (B430 North exit Lane 1)			Infinite S	aturation Flow			Inf	Inf	
5/1 (B430 South)	3.65	0.00	Y	Arm 2 Left	10.00	100.0 %	1722	1722	
5/2 (B430 South)	3.65	0.00	Y	Arm 4 Ahead	Inf	100.0 %	1980	1980	
6/1 (B430 South exit Lane 1)			Infinite S	aturation Flow			Inf	Inf	

Scenario 14: 'SATURN Run DS1 PM' (FG14: 'SATURN Run DS1 PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Traffic Flows, Desired Desired Flow :

		Destination												
		А	В	С	Tot.									
	А	0	367	384	751									
Origin	В	206	0	489	695									
	С	354	765	0	1119									
	Tot.	560	1132	873	2565									

#### Traffic Lane Flows

Lane	Scenario 14: SATURN Run DS1 PM
Junction: Un	named Junction
1/1 (with short)	751(In) 367(Out)
1/2 (short)	384
2/1	560
3/1 (with short)	695(In) 489(Out)
3/2 (short)	206
4/1	1132
5/1 (short)	354
5/2 (with short)	1119(In) 765(Out)
6/1	873

#### Lane Saturation Flows

Junction: Unnamed Junc	Junction: Unnamed Junction														
Lane	Lane Width (m)	ne dth n) Gradient Nearside Lane		Allowed Turns	Turning Radius (m)	Turning Prop.	Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)	Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)							
1/1 (Unnamed Road)	3.25	0.00	Y	Arm 4 Left	15.00	100.0 %	1764	1764							
1/2 (Unnamed Road)	3.25	0.00	Y	Arm 6 Right	20.00	100.0 %	1805	1805							
2/1 (Unnamed Rd exit Lane 1)			Inf	Inf											
3/1 (B430 North entry)	3.65	0.00	Y	Arm 6 Ahead	Inf	100.0 %	1980	1980							
3/2 (B430 North entry)	3.65	0.00	Y	Arm 2 Right	15.00	100.0 %	1800	1800							
4/1 (B430 North exit Lane 1)			Infinite S	aturation Flow			Inf	Inf							
5/1 (B430 South)	3.65	0.00	Y	Arm 2 Left	10.00	100.0 %	1722	1722							
5/2 (B430 South)	3.65	0.00	Y	Arm 4 Ahead	Inf	100.0 %	1980	1980							
6/1 (B430 South exit Lane 1)			Infinite S	aturation Flow			Inf	Inf							

Scenario 13: 'SATURN Run DS1 AM' (FG13: 'SATURN Run DS1 AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Stage Sequence Diagram



#### Stage Timings

Stage	1	2	3
Duration	21	15	37
Change Point	0	26	47

## Signal Timings Diagram



Full Input Data And Results
Network Layout Diagram



#### **Network Results**

Item	Lane	Lane	Controller	Position In	Full Phase	Arrow	Num	Total Green	Arrow	Demand	Sat Flow	Capacity	Deg Sat
	Description	туре	Stream	Fillered Koule		Phase	Greens	(5)	Green (S)	Flow (pcu)	(pcu/Hr)	(pcu)	(%)
Network	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	89.5%
Unnamed Junction	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	89.5%
1/1+1/2	Unnamed Road Left Right	U	N/A	N/A	A	В	1	58:38	20	806	1764:1805	359+548	88.8 : 88.8%
2/1	Unnamed Rd exit	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	777	Inf	Inf	0.0%
3/1+3/2	B430 North entry Right Ahead	U	N/A	N/A	CD		1	42:16	-	1055	1980:1800	946+340	79.7 : 88.5%
4/1	B430 North exit	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	752	Inf	Inf	0.0%
5/2+5/1	B430 South Left Ahead	U	N/A	N/A	E	F	1	21:63	42	909	1980:1722	484+532	89.5 : 89.5%
6/1	B430 South exit	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	1241	Inf	Inf	0.0%
ltem	Arriving (pcu)	Leaving (pcu)	Turners In Gaps (pcu)	Turners When Unopposed (pcu)	Turners In Intergreen (pcu)	Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Rand + Oversat Delay (pcuHr)	Storage Area Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Total Delay (pcuHr)	Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu)	Max. Back of Uniform Queue (pcu)	Rand + Oversat Queue (pcu)	Mean Max Queue (pcu)
Network	-	-	0	0	0	15.7	9.9	0.0	25.6	-	-	-	-
Unnamed Junction	-	-	0	0	0	15.7	9.9	0.0	25.6	-	-	-	-
1/1+1/2	806	806	-	-	-	4.0	3.7	-	7.7	34.3	14.9	3.7	18.6
2/1	777	777	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3/1+3/2	1055	1055	-	-	-	7.1	2.2	-	9.4	32.0	15.7	2.2	17.9
4/1	752	752	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5/2+5/1	909	909	-	-	-	4.6	4.0	-	8.6	34.0	10.5	4.0	14.4
6/1	1241	1241	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		C1	PRC f	for Signalled Lanes (% C Over All Lanes (%):	): 0.6 0.6	Total Delay Total I	, for Signalled Lan Delay Over All Lar	es (pcuHr): 25 nes(pcuHr): 25	.64 Cyc	le Time (s): 90			

### Network Results

ltem	Lane Description	Lane Type	Controller Stream	Position In Filtered Route	Full Phase	Arrow Phase	Num Greens	Total Green	i (s) Sta	art Green	End Green	s) Arro	ow Green	Bonus Green (s	) Den Flov	nand w (pcu)	Sat Flow (pcu/Hr)	, P F (	Max Sat Flow (pcu/Hr)	Capacity (pcu)	Deg (%)	Sat	Arriving (pcu)	Leaving (pcu)
Network	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-	89	9.5%	-	-
Unnamed Junction	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-	89	9.5%	-	-
1/1+1/2	Unnamed Road Left Right	U	N/A	N/A	A	В	1	58:38		32:52	0		20	-		806	1764:18	805	1764	359+548	8 88	8.8 : 8.8%	806	806
2/1	Unnamed Rd exit	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		777	Inf		Inf	Inf	0	).0%	777	777
3/1+3/2	B430 North entry Right Ahead	U	N/A	N/A	CD		1	42:16		5:31	47		-	-		1055	1980:18	800	1980	946+340	7	9.7 : 8.5%	1055	1055
4/1	B430 North exit	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		752	Inf		Inf	Inf	0	0.0%	752	752
5/2+5/1	B430 South Left Ahead	U	N/A	N/A	E	F	1	21:63		5:53	26		42	-		909	1980:17	22	1980	484+532	8 89	9.5 : 9.5%	909	909
6/1	B430 South exit	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		1241	Inf		Inf	Inf	0	).0%	1241	1241
ltem	Turners In Gaps (pcu)	Turners When Unopposed (pcu)	Turners In Intergreen (pcu)	Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Rand + Oversat Delay (pcuHr)	Storage Area Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Total Delay (pcuHr)	Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu)	Uniform Stops (stops)	Av. Unif Stops P (stops/p	form er PCU icu) Bar Re	ck of iform Q / d of d(pcu)	At Max. E Unifor Queue	Back of c rm e (pcu) (1	Rand + Oversat Queue pcu)	Mean Queu (pcu)	Max De e Tł (p	e-slive hresho ocu)	r Avera Exces Queue (pcu)	ge s Wei e Deg	ghted ∣Sat (%)	Weight Total D (pcuHr	ed Igno lelay Rar ) Dela	oring dom iy ?
Network	0	0	0	15.7	9.9	0.0	25.6	-	2247.5		-	-		-	-		-	-		. 8	9.5%	29	.7	-
Unnamed Junction	0	0	0	15.7	9.9	0.0	25.6	-	2247.5		-	-		-	-		-	-		. 8	9.5%	29	.7	-
1/1+1/2	-	-	-	4.0	3.7	-	7.7	34.3	724.5	0	.9	3.9	14	4.9	3.7	18	3.6	-	0.	3 00	38.8 : 8.8%	9.	0	-
2/1	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	.0	-	0	0.0	0.0	0	.0	-	0.	00	0.0%	0.	0	-
3/1+3/2	-	-	-	7.1	2.2	-	9.4	32.0	919.3	0	.9	9.4	1	5.7	2.2	17	7.9	-	0.	3 00	79.7 : 8.5%	11	.0	-
4/1	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	.0	-	0	0.0	0.0	0	.0	-	0.	00	0.0%	0.	0	-
5/2+5/1	-	-	-	4.6	4.0	-	8.6	34.0	603.7	0	.7	7.9	10	0.5	4.0	14	1.4	-	0.	3 00	39.5 : 9.5%	9.	7	-
6/1	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	.0	-	0	0.0	0.0	0	.0	-	0.	00	0.0%	0.	0	-
		C1	PRC for Sig PRC Ove	nalled Lanes (%): er All Lanes (%):	0.6 0.6	Total Delay fo Total De	or Signalled La elay Over All La	anes (pcuHr): anes(pcuHr):	25.64 25.64	Cycle Tim	e (s): 90													

#### Full Input Data And Results Scenario 14: 'SATURN Run DS1 PM' (FG14: 'SATURN Run DS1 PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Stage Sequence Diagram



#### Stage Timings

Stage	1	2	3		
Duration	38	9	26		
Change Point	0	43	58		

#### Signal Timings Diagram





#### **Network Results**

Item	Lane Description	Lane Type	Controller Stream	Position In Filtered Route	Full Phase	Arrow Phase	Num Greens	Total Green (s)	Arrow Green (s)	Demand Flow (pcu)	Sat Flow (pcu/Hr)	Capacity (pcu)	Deg Sat (%)	
Network	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	102.3%	
Unnamed Junction	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	102.3%	
1/1+1/2	Unnamed Road Left Right	U	N/A	N/A	A	В	1	41:27	14	751	1764:1805	366+383	100.4 : 100.4%	
2/1	Unnamed Rd exit	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	560	Inf	Inf	0.0%	
3/1+3/2	B430 North entry Right Ahead	U	N/A	N/A	CD		1	53:10	-	695	1980:1800	1179+220	41.5 : 93.6%	
4/1	B430 North exit	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	1132	Inf	Inf	0.0%	
5/2+5/1	B430 South Left Ahead	U	N/A	N/A	E	F	1	38:69	31	1119	1980:1722	748+346	102.3 : 102.3%	
6/1	B430 South exit	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	873	Inf	Inf	0.0%	
Item	Arriving (pcu)	Leaving (pcu)	Turners In Gaps (pcu)	Turners When Unopposed (pcu)	Turners In Intergreen (pcu)	Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Rand + Oversat Delay (pcuHr)	Storage Area Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Total Delay (pcuHr)	Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu)	Max. Back of Uniform Queue (pcu)	Rand + Oversat Queue (pcu)	Mean Max Queue (pcu)	
Network	-	-	0	0	0	15.4	39.1	0.0	54.4	-	-	-	-	
Unnamed Junction	-	-	0	0	0	15.4	39.1	0.0	54.4	-	-	-	-	
1/1+1/2	751	748	-	-	-	5.3	14.4	-	19.8	94.7	14.0	14.4	28.4	
2/1	560	560	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
3/1+3/2	695	695	-	-	-	3.5	0.5	-	4.0	20.9	6.4	0.5	6.9	
4/1	1113	1113	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
5/2+5/1	1119	1102	-	-	-	6.5	24.1	-	30.6	98.6	24.4	24.1	48.5	
6/1	872	872	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	+5/1       1119       1102       -       -       6.5       24.1       -       30.6       98.6       24.4       24.1       48.5         1       872       872       -       -       0.0       0.0       -       0.0													
### **Network Results**

ltem	Lane Description	Lane Type	Controller Stream	Position In Filtered Route	Full Phase	Arrow Phase	Num Greens	Total Green (s)	Start Green (s)	End Green (s)	Arrow Green (s)	Bonus Green (s)	Demand Flow (pcu)	Sat Flow (pcu/Hr)	Max Sat Flow (pcu/Hr)	Capacity (pcu)	Deg Sat (%)	Arriving (pcu)	Leaving (pcu)
Network	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	102.3%	-	-
Unnamed Junction	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	102.3%	-	-
1/1+1/2	Unnamed Road Left Right	U	N/A	N/A	A	В	1	41:27	49:63	0	14	-	751	1764:1805	1764	366+383	100.4 : 100.4%	751	748
2/1	Unnamed Rd exit	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	560	Inf	Inf	Inf	0.0%	560	560
3/1+3/2	B430 North entry Right Ahead	U	N/A	N/A	CD		1	53:10	5:48	58	-	-	695	1980:1800	1980	1179+220	41.5 : 93.6%	695	695
4/1	B430 North exit	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	1132	Inf	Inf	Inf	0.0%	1113	1113
5/2+5/1	B430 South Left Ahead	U	N/A	N/A	E	F	1	38:69	5:64	43	31	-	1119	1980:1722	1980	748+346	102.3 : 102.3%	1119	1102
6/1	B430 South exit	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	873	Inf	Inf	Inf	0.0%	872	872
ltem	Turners In Gaps (pcu)	Turners When Unopposed (pcu)	Turners In Intergreen (pcu)	Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Rand + Oversat Delay (pcuHr)	Storage Area Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Total Delay (pcuHr)	Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu)	Uniform Stops (stops)	Av. Uniform Stops Per PCU (stops/pcu)	Back of Uniform Q At End of Red(pcu)	Max. Back of Uniform Queue (pcu)	Rand + Oversat Queue (pcu)	Mean Max Queue (pcu)	De-sliver Threshold (pcu)	Average Excess Queue (pcu)	Weighted Deg Sat (%)	Weighted Total Delay (pcuHr)	lgnoring Random Delay ?
Network	0	0	0	15.4	39.1	0.0	54.4	-	2353.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	102.3%	58.7	-
Unnamed Junction	0	0	0	15.4	39.1	0.0	54.4	-	2353.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	102.3%	58.7	-
1/1+1/2	-	-	-	5.3	14.4	-	19.8	94.7	758.3	1.0	4.9	14.0	14.4	28.4	-	0.00	100.4 : 100.4%	21.2	-
2/1	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	0.00	0.0%	0.0	-
3/1+3/2	-	-	-	3.5	0.5	-	4.0	20.9	459.1	0.7	4.6	6.4	0.5	6.9	-	0.00	41.5 : 93.6%	4.9	-
4/1	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	0.00	0.0%	0.0	-
5/2+5/1	-	-	-	6.5	24.1	-	30.6	98.6	1135.9	1.0	10.8	24.4	24.1	48.5	-	0.00	102.3 : 102.3%	32.7	-
6/1	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	0.00	0.0%	0.0	-
		C1	PRC for Sign PRC Over	alled Lanes (%): All Lanes (%):	-13.7 -13.7	Total Delay for Total Dela	Signalled Lan ay Over All Lar	es (pcuHr): nes(pcuHr):	54.44 ( 54.44	Cycle Time (s): 90									

### Full Input Data And Results Full Input Data And Results

### **User and Project Details**

Project:	
Title:	
Location:	
File name:	J5 B430 minor rd single lane (possible mit Opt4) V7 - TEST FOR SATURN MOD_Feb 2020.lsg3x
Author:	
Company:	
Address:	
Notes:	

### **Network Layout Diagram**



# Phase Diagram



### Phase Input Data

Phase Name	Phase Type	Assoc. Phase	Street Min	Cont Min
А	Traffic		7	7
В	Filter	А	4	0
С	Traffic		7	7
D	Traffic		7	7
E	Traffic		7	7
F	Filter	E	4	0

# Phase Intergreens Matrix

	Starting Phase								
		А	В	С	D	Е	F		
	А		-	5	5	5	-		
	В	-		-	-	5	-		
Terminating Phase	С	5	-		-	-	-		
	D	5	-	-		5	6		
	Е	5	6	-	5		-		
	F	-	-	-	5	-			

### Phases in Stage

Stage No.	Phases in Stage
1	CE
2	BCD
3	AF

### Stage Diagram

1 Min >= 7	2 Min >= 6	3 Min >= 6
I II		T T
		-
B	B	B A
	(A)'	
T.▲	ТТ	- τ T
l ÉÉ	l éé	

### Phase Delays

Term. Stage	Start Stage	Phase	Туре	Value	Cont value						
There are no Phase Delays defined											

# Prohibited Stage Change



Full Input Data And Results Give-Way Lane Input Data

Junction: Unnamed Junction

There are no Opposed Lanes in this Junction

# Full Input Data And Results Lane Input Data

Junction: Unnamed Junction												
Lane	Lane Type	Phases	Start Disp.	End Disp.	Physical Length (PCU)	Sat Flow Type	Def User Saturation Flow (PCU/Hr)	Lane Width (m)	Gradient	Nearside Lane	Turns	Turning Radius (m)
1/1 (Unnamed Road)	U	AB	2	3	60.0	Geom	-	3.25	0.00	Y	Arm 4 Left	15.00
1/2 (Unnamed Road)	U	A	2	3	10.4	Geom	-	3.25	0.00	Y	Arm 6 Right	20.00
2/1 (Unnamed Rd exit)	U		2	3	60.0	Inf	-	-	-	-	-	-
3/1 (B430 North entry)	U	С	2	3	60.0	Geom	-	3.65	0.00	Y	Arm 6 Ahead	Inf
3/2 (B430 North entry)	U	D	2	3	28.5	Geom	-	3.65	0.00	Y	Arm 2 Right	15.00
4/1 (B430 North exit)	U		2	3	60.0	Inf	-	-	-	-	-	-
5/1 (B430 South)	U	EF	2	3	14.8	Geom	-	3.65	0.00	Y	Arm 2 Left	10.00
5/2 (B430 South)	U	E	2	3	60.0	Geom	-	3.65	0.00	Y	Arm 4 Ahead	Inf
6/1 (B430 South exit)	U		2	3	60.0	Inf	-	-	-	-	-	-

### Traffic Flow Groups

Flow Group	Start Time	End Time	Duration	Formula
13: 'SATURN Run DS1 AM'	07:45	08:45	01:00	
14: 'SATURN Run DS1 PM'	17:00	18:00	01:00	

### Scenario 13: 'SATURN Run DS1 AM' (FG13: 'SATURN Run DS1 AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Traffic Flows, Desired Desired Flow :

	Destination								
		А	В	С	Tot.				
	А	0	319	487	806				
Origin	В	301	0	754	1055				
	С	476	433	0	909				
	Tot.	777	752	1241	2770				

### **Traffic Lane Flows**

Lane	Scenario 13: SATURN Run DS1 AM								
Junction: Unnamed Junction									
1/1 (with short)	806(In) 319(Out)								
1/2 (short)	487								
2/1	777								
3/1 (with short)	1055(In) 754(Out)								
3/2 (short)	301								
4/1	752								
5/1 (short)	476								
5/2 (with short)	909(In) 433(Out)								
6/1	1241								

# Lane Saturation Flows

Junction: Unnamed Junction										
Lane	Lane Width (m)	Gradient	Nearside Lane	Allowed Turns	Turning Radius (m)	Turning Prop.	Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)	Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)		
1/1 (Unnamed Road)	3.25	0.00	Y	Arm 4 Left	15.00	100.0 %	1764	1764		
1/2 (Unnamed Road)	3.25	0.00	Y	Arm 6 Right	20.00	100.0 %	1805	1805		
2/1 (Unnamed Rd exit Lane 1)			Infinite S		Inf	Inf				
3/1 (B430 North entry)	3.65	0.00	Y	Arm 6 Ahead	Inf	100.0 %	1980	1980		
3/2 (B430 North entry)	3.65	0.00	Y	Arm 2 Right	15.00	100.0 %	1800	1800		
4/1 (B430 North exit Lane 1)			Infinite S	aturation Flow			Inf	Inf		
5/1 (B430 South)	3.65	0.00	Y	Arm 2 Left	10.00	100.0 %	1722	1722		
5/2 (B430 South)	3.65	0.00	Y	Arm 4 Ahead	Inf	100.0 %	1980	1980		
6/1 (B430 South exit Lane 1)			Infinite S		Inf	Inf				

Scenario 14: 'SATURN Run DS1 PM' (FG14: 'SATURN Run DS1 PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Traffic Flows, Desired Desired Flow :

	Destination									
	A B C Tot									
	А	0	367	384	751					
Origin	В	206	0	489	695					
	С	354	765	0	1119					
	Tot.	560	1132	873	2565					

Lane	Scenario 14: SATURN Run DS1 PM				
Junction: Un	named Junction				
1/1 (with short)	751(In) 367(Out)				
1/2 (short)	384				
2/1	560				
3/1 (with short)	695(In) 489(Out)				
3/2 (short)	206				
4/1	1132				
5/1 (short)	354				
5/2 (with short)	1119(In) 765(Out)				
6/1	873				

### Lane Saturation Flows

Junction: Unnamed Junction											
Lane	Lane Width (m)	Gradient	Nearside Lane	Allowed Turns	Turning Radius (m)	Turning Prop.	Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)	Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)			
1/1 (Unnamed Road)	3.25	0.00	Y	Arm 4 Left	15.00	100.0 %	1764	1764			
1/2 (Unnamed Road)	3.25	0.00	Y	Arm 6 Right	20.00	100.0 %	1805	1805			
2/1 (Unnamed Rd exit Lane 1)			Infinite S	Inf	Inf						
3/1 (B430 North entry)	3.65	0.00	Y	Arm 6 Ahead	Inf	100.0 %	1980	1980			
3/2 (B430 North entry)	3.65	0.00	Y	Arm 2 Right	15.00	100.0 %	1800	1800			
4/1 (B430 North exit Lane 1)			Inf	Inf							
5/1 (B430 South)	3.65	0.00	Y	Arm 2 Left	10.00	100.0 %	1722	1722			
5/2 (B430 South)	3.65	0.00	Y	Arm 4 Ahead	Inf	100.0 %	1980	1980			
6/1 (B430 South exit Lane 1)			Inf	Inf							

Scenario 13: 'SATURN Run DS1 AM' (FG13: 'SATURN Run DS1 AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Stage Sequence Diagram



### Stage Timings

Stage	1	2	3
Duration	23	17	33
Change Point	0	28	51

# Signal Timings Diagram



Full Input Data And Results **Network Layout Diagram** 



### **Network Results**

Item	Lane Description	Lane Type	Controller Stream	Position In Filtered Route	Full Phase	Arrow Phase	Num Greens	Total Green (s)	Arrow Green (s)	Demand Flow (pcu)	Sat Flow (pcu/Hr)	Capacity (pcu)	Deg Sat (%)
Network	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	82.2%
Unnamed Junction	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	82.2%
1/1+1/2	Unnamed Road Left Right	U	N/A	N/A	А	В	1	56:34	22	806	1764:1805	388+593	82.2 : 82.2%
2/1	Unnamed Rd exit	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	777	Inf	Inf	0.0%
3/1+3/2	B430 North entry Right Ahead	U	N/A	N/A	CD		1	46:18	-	1055	1980:1800	1034+380	72.9 : 79.2%
4/1	B430 North exit	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	752	Inf	Inf	0.0%
5/2+5/1	B430 South Left Ahead	U	N/A	N/A	E	F	1	23:61	38	909	1980:1722	528+580	82.0 : 82.0%
6/1	B430 South exit	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	1241	Inf	Inf	0.0%
ltem	Arriving (pcu)	Leaving (pcu)	Turners In Gaps (pcu)	Turners When Unopposed (pcu)	Turners In Intergreen (pcu)	Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Rand + Oversat Delay (pcuHr)	Storage Area Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Total Delay (pcuHr)	Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu)	Max. Back of Uniform Queue (pcu)	Rand + Oversat Queue (pcu)	Mean Max Queue (pcu)
Network	-	-	0	0	0	14.6	5.9	0.0	20.5	-	-	-	-
Unnamed Junction	-	-	0	0	0	14.6	5.9	0.0	20.5	-	-	-	-
1/1+1/2	806	806	-	-	-	3.8	2.2	-	6.0	26.9	10.1	2.2	12.4
2/1	777	777	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3/1+3/2	1055	1055	-	-	-	6.3	1.5	-	7.7	26.4	14.5	1.5	15.9
4/1	752	752	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5/2+5/1	909	909	-	-	-	4.5	2.2	-	6.8	26.7	10.1	2.2	12.3
6/1	1241	1241	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		C1	PRC f	or Signalled Lanes (% C Over All Lanes (%):	): 9.5 9.5	Total Delay Total I	for Signalled Lan Delay Over All Lar	es (pcuHr): 20 nes(pcuHr): 20	.51 Cyc .51	cle Time (s): 90			

#### Full Input Data And Results Scenario 14: 'SATURN Run DS1 PM' (FG14: 'SATURN Run DS1 PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Stage Sequence Diagram



### Stage Timings

Stage	1	2	3
Duration	43	10	20
Change Point	0	48	64

### Signal Timings Diagram



Full Input Data And Results **Network Layout Diagram** 



### **Network Results**

Item	Lane Description	Lane Type	Controller Stream	Position In Filtered Route	Full Phase	Arrow Phase	Num Greens	Total Green (s)	Arrow Green (s)	Demand Flow (pcu)	Sat Flow (pcu/Hr)	Capacity (pcu)	Deg Sat (%)
Network	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	89.5%
Unnamed Junction	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	89.5%
1/1+1/2	Unnamed Road Left Right	U	N/A	N/A	А	В	1	36:21	15	751	1764:1805	415+434	88.5 : 88.5%
2/1	Unnamed Rd exit	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	560	Inf	Inf	0.0%
3/1+3/2	B430 North entry Right Ahead	U	N/A	N/A	CD		1	59:11	-	695	1980:1800	1269+240	38.5 : 85.8%
4/1	B430 North exit	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	1132	Inf	Inf	0.0%
5/2+5/1	B430 South Left Ahead	U	N/A	N/A	E	F	1	43:68	25	1119	1980:1722	854+395	89.5 : 89.5%
6/1	B430 South exit	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	873	Inf	Inf	0.0%
Item	Arriving (pcu)	Leaving (pcu)	Turners In Gaps (pcu)	Turners When Unopposed (pcu)	Turners In Intergreen (pcu)	Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Rand + Oversat Delay (pcuHr)	Storage Area Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Total Delay (pcuHr)	Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu)	Max. Back of Uniform Queue (pcu)	Rand + Oversat Queue (pcu)	Mean Max Queue (pcu)
Network	-	-	0	0	0	13.0	8.0	0.0	21.0	-	-	-	-
Unnamed Junction	-	-	0	0	0	13.0	8.0	0.0	21.0	-	-	-	-
1/1+1/2	751	751	-	-	-	5.5	3.6	-	9.1	43.6	9.2	3.6	12.8
2/1	560	560	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3/1+3/2	695	695	-	-	-	3.1	0.4	-	3.5	18.2	5.3	0.4	5.7
4/1	1132	1132	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5/2+5/1	1119	1119	-	-	-	4.4	4.0	-	8.4	27.1	16.4	4.0	20.4
6/1	873	873	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		C1	PRC f PR	or Signalled Lanes (% C Over All Lanes (%):	): 0.5 0.5	Total Delay Total I	for Signalled Lan Delay Over All Lar	es (pcuHr): 21 nes(pcuHr): 21	.01 Cyc .01	le Time (s): 90			

# Full Input Data And Results Full Input Data And Results

### User and Project Details

Project:	Heyford Park
Title:	Middleton Stoney Junction
Location:	
File name:	Middleton Stoney Signalised Junction_Consented V4 Bus Gate Test.lsg3x
Author:	ekeen
Company:	Peter Brett Associates
Address:	10 Queen Square
Notes:	Existing Layout

### Network Layout Diagram



# Phase Diagram



### Phase Input Data

Phase Name	Phase Type	Assoc. Phase	Street Min	Cont Min
А	Traffic		7	7
В	Traffic		7	7
С	Traffic		7	7
D	Traffic		7	7

### **Phase Intergreens Matrix**

	_				
	St	arti	ng F	Pha	se
		А	В	С	D
	А		-	5	8
Terminating Phase	В	-		8	5
	С	5	7		8
	D	7	5	8	

# Phases in Stage

Stage No.	Phases in Stage
1	АВ
2	D
3	С



### **Phase Delays**

Term. Stage	Start Stage	Phase	Туре	Value	Cont value	
1	2	В	Losing	3	3	

# Prohibited Stage Change

	To Stage					
From Stage		1	2	3		
	1		8	8		
	2	7		8		
	3	7	8			

### Full Input Data And Results Give-Way Lane Input Data

Junction: Unnamed Junction											
Lane	Movement	Max Flow when Giving Way (PCU/Hr)	Min Flow when Giving Way (PCU/Hr)	Opposing Lane	Opp. Lane Coeff.	Opp. Mvmnts.	Right Turn Storage (PCU)	Non-Blocking Storage (PCU)	RTF	Right Turn Move up (s)	Max Turns in Intergreen (PCU)
2/2 (B430 Oxford Road (S))	5/1 (Right)	1439	0	4/1	1.09	To 5/1 (Left) To 6/1 (Ahead)	2.00	-	0.50	2	2.00
4/1 (B430 Ardley Road (N))	7/1 (Right)	1439	0	2/1	1.09	To 7/1 (Left) To 8/1 (Ahead)	1.00	1.00	0.50	1	1.00

# Full Input Data And Results Lane Input Data

Junction: Unnamed Junction												
Lane	Lane Type	Phases	Start Disp.	End Disp.	Physical Length (PCU)	Sat Flow Type	Def User Saturation Flow (PCU/Hr)	Lane Width (m)	Gradient	Nearside Lane	Turns	Turning Radius (m)
1/1											Arm 6 Left	13.00
(B4030 Bicester Road	U	D	2	3	60.0	Geom	-	3.00	0.00	Y	Arm 7 Ahead	30.00
(\vv/B))											Arm 8 Right	30.00
2/1 (P.420 Ovford		Р		2	60.0	Coom		2.00	0.00	v	Arm 7 Left	30.00
Road (S))	0	D	2	3	00.0	Geom	-	3.00	0.00	T	Arm 8 Ahead	Inf
2/2 (B430 Oxford Road (S))	О	В	2	3	5.0	Geom	-	3.00	0.00	Ν	Arm 5 Right	10.00
3/1											Arm 5 Ahead	30.00
(B4030 Heyford Road	U	С	2	3	60.0	Geom	-	3.00	0.00	Y	Arm 6 Right	30.00
(E/B))											Arm 8 Left	7.00
											Arm 5 Left	12.00
4/1 (B430 Ardley Road (N))	ο	А	2	3	60.0	Geom	-	3.32	0.00	Y	Arm 6 Ahead	Inf
											Arm 7 Right	8.00
5/1 (B4030 Bicester Road EX (E/B))	U		2	3	60.0	Inf	-	-	-	-	-	-
6/1 (B430 Arldey Road EX (S))	U		2	3	60.0	Inf	-	-	-	-	-	-
7/1 (B4030 Heyford Road EX (W/B))	U		2	3	60.0	Inf	-	-	-	-	-	-
8/1 (B430 Oxford Road EX (N))	U		2	3	60.0	Inf	-	_	-	-	-	-

### **Traffic Flow Groups**

Flow Group	Start Time	End Time	Duration	Formula
21: 'SATURN Modelling RC AM'	07:30	08:30	01:00	
22: 'SATURN Modelling RC PM'	17:00	18:00	01:00	
23: 'SATURN Run DS1 Middleton Stoney Amend AM'	07:30	08:30	01:00	
24: 'SATURN Run DS1 Middleton Stoney Amend PM'	17:00	18:00	01:00	
25: 'SATURN Run DS1 Mid Stoney Amend - Low TR AM'	07:30	08:30	01:00	
26: 'SATURN Modelling DM AM'	07:30	08:30	01:00	
27: 'SATURN Modelling DM PM'	17:00	18:00	01:00	
28: 'SATURN Run DS1 Mid Stoney Amend - Low TR - Extra BusAM'	07:30	08:30	01:00	

### Scenario 21: 'SATURN Modelling RC AM' (FG21: 'SATURN Modelling RC AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Traffic Flows, Desired Desired Flow :

		Destination							
		A B C D Tot.							
	А	0	23	755	14	792			
Origin	В	59	0	60	278	397			
Ongin	С	454	20	0	84	558			
	D	14	490	44	0	548			
	Tot.	527	533	859	376	2295			

Lane	Scenario 21: SATURN Modelling RC AM
Junction: Un	named Junction
1/1	397
2/1 (with short)	558(ln) 538(Out)
2/2 (short)	20
3/1	548
4/1	792
5/1	533
6/1	859
7/1	376
8/1	527

### Lane Saturation Flows

Junction: Unnamed Junction	Junction: Unnamed Junction							
Lane	Lane Width (m)	Gradient	Nearside Lane	Allowed Turns	Turning Radius (m)	Turning Prop.	Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)	Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)
		0.00		Arm 6 Left	13.00	15.1 %		
1/1 (B4030 Bicester Road (W/B))	3.00		Y	Arm 7 Ahead	30.00	70.0 %	1807	1807
				Arm 8 Right	30.00	14.9 %		
2/4		0.00		Arm 7 Left	30.00	15.6 %		
(B430 Oxford Road (S))	3.00		Y	Arm 8 Ahead	Inf	84.4 %	1900	1900
2/2 (B430 Oxford Road (S))	3.00	0.00	Ν	Arm 5 Right	10.00	100.0 %	1787	1787
2/4	3.00	0.00	Y	Arm 5 Ahead	30.00	89.4 %	1817	1817
(B4030 Heyford Road (E/B))				Arm 6 Right	30.00	8.0 %		
				Arm 8 Left	7.00	2.6 %		
		0.00	Y	Arm 5 Left	12.00	2.9 %	1934	
4/1 (B430 Ardley Road (N))	3.32			Arm 6 Ahead	Inf	95.3 %		1934
				Arm 7 Right	8.00	1.8 %		
5/1 (B4030 Bicester Road EX (E/B) Lane 1)		Infinite Saturation Flow					Inf	Inf
6/1 (B430 Arldey Road EX (S) Lane 1)		Infinite Saturation Flow					Inf	Inf
7/1 (B4030 Heyford Road EX (W/B) Lane 1)		Infinite Saturation Flow					Inf	Inf
8/1 (B430 Oxford Road EX (N) Lane 1)			Infinite Sa	aturation Flow			Inf	Inf

#### Scenario 22: 'SATURN Modelling RC PM' (FG22: 'SATURN Modelling RC PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Traffic Flows, Desired Desired Flow :

		Destination							
		A	В	С	D	Tot.			
	А	0	89	418	10	517			
Origin	В	41	0	25	427	493			
Ongin	С	488	72	0	42	602			
	D	5	368	35	0	408			
	Tot.	534	529	478	479	2020			

### **Traffic Lane Flows**

Lane	Scenario 22: SATURN Modelling RC PM						
Junction: Unnamed Junction							
1/1	493						
2/1 (with short)	602(In) 530(Out)						
2/2 (short)	72						
3/1	408						
4/1	517						
5/1	529						
6/1	478						
7/1	479						
8/1	534						

# Lane Saturation Flows

Junction: Unnamed Junction								
Lane	Lane Width (m) Gradient Nearside Lane Allowed Turns Radius (m) Turning Prop.		Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)	Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)				
		0.00		Arm 6 Left	13.00	5.1 %		
1/1 (B4030 Bicester Road (W/B))	3.00		Y	Arm 7 Ahead	30.00	86.6 %	1818	1818
				Arm 8 Right	30.00	8.3 %		
2/4				Arm 7 Left	30.00	7.9 %		
(B430 Oxford Road (S))	3.00	0.00	Y	Arm 8 Ahead	Inf	92.1 %	1907	1907
2/2 (B430 Oxford Road (S))	3.00	0.00	N	Arm 5 Right	10.00	100.0 %	1787	1787
2/4	3.00	0.00	Y	Arm 5 Ahead	30.00	90.2 %		
(B4030 Heyford Road (E/B))				Arm 6 Right	30.00	8.6 %	1820	1820
				Arm 8 Left	7.00	1.2 %		
				Arm 5 Left	12.00	17.2 %		
4/1 (B430 Ardley Road (N))	3.32	0.00	Y	Arm 6 Ahead	Inf	80.9 %	1899	1899
				Arm 7 Right	8.00	1.9 %		
5/1 (B4030 Bicester Road EX (E/B) Lane 1)		Infinite Saturation Flow					Inf	Inf
6/1 (B430 Arldey Road EX (S) Lane 1)		Infinite Saturation Flow					Inf	Inf
7/1 (B4030 Heyford Road EX (W/B) Lane 1)	Infinite Saturation Flow Inf Inf					Inf		
8/1 (B430 Oxford Road EX (N) Lane 1)		Infinite Saturation Flow Inf Inf					Inf	

Scenario 23: 'SATURN Run Modelling DS1 Middleton Stoney Amend AM' (FG23: 'SATURN Run DS1 Middleton Stoney Amend AM', Plan 2: 'Bus Gate Heyford Road') Traffic Flows, Desired Desired Flow :

Desireu	FIOW .								
		Destination							
		А	В	С	D	Tot.			
	А	0	464	757	4	1225			
Origin	В	500	0	76	10	586			
Ongin	С	388	45	0	2	435			
	D	10	17	11	0	38			
	Tot.	898	526	844	16	2284			

Lane	Scenario 23: SATURN Run Modelling DS1 Middleton Stoney Amend AM						
Junction: Unnamed Junction							
1/1	586						
2/1 (with short)	435(In) 390(Out)						
2/2 (short)	45						
3/1	38						
4/1	1225						
5/1	526						
6/1	844						
7/1	16						
8/1	898						

# Lane Saturation Flows

Junction: Unnamed Junction											
Lane	Lane Width (m)	Lane Width (m) Gradient Nearside Lane Allowed Turns Turning Radius (m) Turning Prop. Sa		Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)	Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)						
		0.00		Arm 6 Left	13.00	13.0 %					
1/1 (B4030 Bicester Road (W/B))	3.00		Y	Arm 7 Ahead	30.00	1.7 %	1809	1809			
				Arm 8 Right	30.00	85.3 %					
2/4				Arm 7 Left	30.00	0.5 %					
(B430 Oxford Road (S))	3.00	0.00	Y	Arm 8 Ahead	Inf	99.5 %	1915	1915			
2/2 (B430 Oxford Road (S))	3.00	0.00	Ν	Arm 5 Right	10.00	100.0 %	1787	1787			
2/4	3.00	0.00	Y	Arm 5 Ahead	30.00	44.7 %	1752	1752			
(B4030 Heyford Road (E/B))				Arm 6 Right	30.00	28.9 %					
				Arm 8 Left	7.00	26.3 %					
	3.32	0.00	Y	Arm 5 Left	12.00	37.9 %	1858	1858			
4/1 (B430 Ardley Road (N))				Arm 6 Ahead	Inf	61.8 %					
				Arm 7 Right	8.00	0.3 %					
5/1 (B4030 Bicester Road EX (E/B) Lane 1)			Infinite Sa		Inf	Inf					
6/1 (B430 Arldey Road EX (S) Lane 1)		Infinite Saturation Flow						Inf			
7/1 (B4030 Heyford Road EX (W/B) Lane 1)		Infinite Saturation Flow						Inf			
8/1 (B430 Oxford Road EX (N) Lane 1)			Infinite Sa	aturation Flow			Inf	Inf			

# Scenario 24: 'SATURN Run Modelling DS1 Middleton Stoney Amend PM' (FG24: 'SATURN Run DS1 Middleton Stoney Amend PM', Plan 2: 'Bus Gate Heyford Road') Traffic Flows, Desired

Desired Flow : Destination В С D Tot. А 7 А 0 519 336 862 В 466 0 38 16 520 Origin С 642 87 0 5 734 D 3 0 18 11 4 Tot. 1111 617 378 28 2134

Lane	Scenario 24: SATURN Run Modelling DS1 Middleton Stoney Amend PM			
Junction: Un	named Junction			
1/1	520			
2/1 (with short)	734(In) 647(Out)			
2/2 (short)	87			
3/1	18			
4/1	862			
5/1	617			
6/1	378			
7/1	28			
8/1	1111			

# Lane Saturation Flows

Junction: Unnamed Junction											
Lane	Lane Width (m)	Gradient	Nearside Lane	Allowed Turns	Turning Radius (m)	Turning Prop.	Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)	Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)			
				Arm 6 Left	13.00	7.3 %					
1/1 (B4030 Bicester Road (W/B))	3.00	0.00	Y	Arm 7 Ahead	30.00	3.1 %	1816	1816			
				Arm 8 Right	30.00	89.6 %					
0/4				Arm 7 Left	30.00	0.8 %					
(B430 Oxford Road (S))	3.00	0.00	Y	Arm 8 Ahead	Inf	99.2 %	1914	1914			
2/2 (B430 Oxford Road (S))	3.00	0.00	Ν	Arm 5 Right	10.00	100.0 %	1787	1787			
2/4	3.00 0	0.00	Y	Arm 5 Ahead	30.00	61.1 %	1777	1777			
(B4030 Heyford Road (E/B))				Arm 6 Right	30.00	22.2 %					
				Arm 8 Left	7.00	16.7 %					
	3.32	0.00	Y	Arm 5 Left	12.00	60.2 %	1808	1808			
4/1 (B430 Ardley Road (N))				Arm 6 Ahead	Inf	39.0 %					
				Arm 7 Right	8.00	0.8 %					
5/1 (B4030 Bicester Road EX (E/B) Lane 1)			Infinite Sa		Inf	Inf					
6/1 (B430 Arldey Road EX (S) Lane 1)		Infinite Saturation Flow						Inf			
7/1 (B4030 Heyford Road EX (W/B) Lane 1)		Infinite Saturation Flow						Inf			
8/1 (B430 Oxford Road EX (N) Lane 1)			Infinite Sa	aturation Flow			Inf	Inf			

# Scenario 25: 'SATURN Run Modelling DS1 Mid Stoney Amend - Low TR AM' (FG25: 'SATURN Run DS1 Mid Stoney Amend - Low TR AM', Plan 2: 'Bus Gate Heyford Road') Traffic Flows, Desired Desired Flow :

Destination Tot. В С D А А 0 401 753 3 1157 В 469 0 76 9 554 Origin С 383 45 0 1 429 D 8 15 9 0 32 Tot. 860 461 838 13 2172

Lane	Scenario 25: SATURN Run Modelling DS1 Mid Stoney Amend - Low TR AM
Junction: Un	named Junction
1/1	554
2/1 (with short)	429(In) 384(Out)
2/2 (short)	45
3/1	32
4/1	1157
5/1	461
6/1	838
7/1	13
8/1	860

### Lane Saturation Flows

Junction: Unnamed Junction											
Lane	Lane Width (m)	Lane Width (m) Gradient Nearside Lane Allowed Turns Turning Radius (m) Turning Prop. Sa (P		Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)	Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)						
		0.00		Arm 6 Left	13.00	13.7 %					
1/1 (B4030 Bicester Road (W/B))	3.00		Y	Arm 7 Ahead	30.00	1.6 %	1808	1808			
				Arm 8 Right	30.00	84.7 %					
2/4				Arm 7 Left	30.00	0.3 %					
(B430 Oxford Road (S))	3.00	0.00	Y	Arm 8 Ahead	Inf	99.7 %	1915	1915			
2/2 (B430 Oxford Road (S))	3.00	0.00	Ν	Arm 5 Right	10.00	100.0 %	1787	1787			
0/4	3.00	0.00	Y	Arm 5 Ahead	30.00	46.9 %	1755	1755			
(B4030 Heyford Road (E/B))				Arm 6 Right	30.00	28.1 %					
				Arm 8 Left	7.00	25.0 %					
		0.00	Y	Arm 5 Left	12.00	34.7 %	1865	1865			
4/1 (B430 Ardley Road (N))	3.32			Arm 6 Ahead	Inf	65.1 %					
				Arm 7 Right	8.00	0.3 %					
5/1 (B4030 Bicester Road EX (E/B) Lane 1)			Infinite Sa		Inf	Inf					
6/1 (B430 Arldey Road EX (S) Lane 1)		Infinite Saturation Flow						Inf			
7/1 (B4030 Heyford Road EX (W/B) Lane 1)		Infinite Saturation Flow						Inf			
8/1 (B430 Oxford Road EX (N) Lane 1)			Infinite Sa	aturation Flow			Inf	Inf			

#### Scenario 26: 'SATURN Modelling DM AM' (FG26: 'SATURN Modelling DM AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Traffic Flows, Desired Desired Flow :

	Destination											
		A	В	С	D	Tot.						
А	А	0	123	661	25	809						
Origin	В	30	0	36	277	343						
Ongin	С	269	20	0	331	620						
	D	13	506	34	0	553						
	Tot.	312	649	731	633	2325						

### **Traffic Lane Flows**

Lane	Scenario 26: SATURN Modelling DM AM
Junction: Un	named Junction
1/1	343
2/1 (with short)	620(In) 600(Out)
2/2 (short)	20
3/1	553
4/1	809
5/1	649
6/1	731
7/1	633
8/1	312

# Lane Saturation Flows

Junction: Unnamed Junction											
Lane	Lane Width (m)	Gradient	Nearside Lane	Allowed Turns	Turning Radius (m)	Turning Prop.	Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)	Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)			
				Arm 6 Left	13.00	10.5 %					
1/1 (B4030 Bicester Road (W/B))	3.00	0.00	Y	Arm 7 Ahead	30.00	80.8 %	1812	1812			
				Arm 8 Right	30.00	8.7 %					
2/4				Arm 7 Left	30.00	55.2 %					
(B430 Oxford Road (S))	3.00	0.00	Y	Arm 8 Ahead	Inf	44.8 %	1864	1864			
2/2 (B430 Oxford Road (S))	3.00	0.00	Ν	Arm 5 Right	10.00	100.0 %	1787	1787			
3/1 (B4030 Heyford Road (E/B))	3.00 (	0.00	Y	Arm 5 Ahead	30.00	91.5 %	1817	1817			
				Arm 6 Right	30.00	6.1 %					
				Arm 8 Left	7.00	2.4 %					
	3.32	0.00	Y	Arm 5 Left	12.00	15.2 %					
4/1 (B430 Ardley Road (N))				Arm 6 Ahead	Inf	81.7 %	1900	1900			
				Arm 7 Right	8.00	3.1 %					
5/1 (B4030 Bicester Road EX (E/B) Lane 1)		Infinite Saturation Flow						Inf			
6/1 (B430 Arldey Road EX (S) Lane 1)		Infinite Saturation Flow						Inf			
7/1 (B4030 Heyford Road EX (W/B) Lane 1)	Infinite Saturation Flow Inf Inf						Inf				
8/1 (B430 Oxford Road EX (N) Lane 1)			Infinite Sa	aturation Flow			Inf	Inf			

Scenario 27: 'SATURN Modelling DM PM' (FG27: 'SATURN Modelling DM PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') **Traffic Flows, Desired** Desired Flow :

	Destination											
	A B C D T											
	А	0	207	301	14	522						
Origin	В	11	0	16	478	505						
Ongin	С	559	43	0	80	682						
	D	6	381	34	0	421						
	Tot.	576	631	351	572	2130						

Lane	Scenario 27: SATURN Modelling DM PM
Junction: Un	named Junction
1/1	505
2/1 (with short)	682(In) 639(Out)
2/2 (short)	43
3/1	421
4/1	522
5/1	631
6/1	351
7/1	572
8/1	576

### Lane Saturation Flows

Junction: Unnamed Junction											
Lane	Lane Width (m)	Lane Width (m) Gradient Nearside Lane Allowed Turns Turning Radius (m) Turning Prop. Sa		Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)	Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)						
		0.00		Arm 6 Left	13.00	3.2 %					
1/1 (B4030 Bicester Road (W/B))	3.00		Y	Arm 7 Ahead	30.00	94.7 %	1820	1820			
				Arm 8 Right	30.00	2.2 %					
2/4				Arm 7 Left	30.00	12.5 %					
(B430 Oxford Road (S))	3.00	0.00	Y	Arm 8 Ahead	Inf	87.5 %	1903	1903			
2/2 (B430 Oxford Road (S))	3.00	0.00	Ν	Arm 5 Right	10.00	100.0 %	1787	1787			
0/4	3.00	0.00	Y	Arm 5 Ahead	30.00	90.5 %	1820	1820			
(B4030 Heyford Road (E/B))				Arm 6 Right	30.00	8.1 %					
				Arm 8 Left	7.00	1.4 %					
		0.00	Y	Arm 5 Left	12.00	39.7 %	1846	1846			
4/1 (B430 Ardley Road (N))	3.32			Arm 6 Ahead	Inf	57.7 %					
				Arm 7 Right	8.00	2.7 %					
5/1 (B4030 Bicester Road EX (E/B) Lane 1)			Infinite Sa		Inf	Inf					
6/1 (B430 Arldey Road EX (S) Lane 1)		Infinite Saturation Flow						Inf			
7/1 (B4030 Heyford Road EX (W/B) Lane 1)		Infinite Saturation Flow						Inf			
8/1 (B430 Oxford Road EX (N) Lane 1)			Infinite Sa	aturation Flow			Inf	Inf			

# Scenario 28: 'SATURN Run Modelling DS1 Mid Stoney Amend - Low TR - Extra Bus AM' (FG28: 'SATURN Run DS1 Mid Stoney Amend - Low TR - Extra BusAM', Plan 2: 'Bus Gate Heyford Road') Traffic Flows, Desired

Desired Flow :

	Destination										
		D	Tot.								
А	0	382	753	3	1138						
Origin	В	458	0	76	11	545					
Ongin	С	383	45	0	1	429					
	D	8	17	9	0	34					
	Tot.	849	444	838	15	2146					

Lane	Scenario 28: SATURN Run Modelling DS1 Mid Stoney Amend - Low TR - Extra Bus AM
Junction: Unnamed Junction	
1/1	545
2/1 (with short)	429(In) 384(Out)
2/2 (short)	45
3/1	34
4/1	1138
5/1	444
6/1	838
7/1	15
8/1	849
#### Lane Saturation Flows

Junction: Unnamed Junction								
Lane	Lane Width (m)	Gradient	Nearside Lane	Allowed Turns	Turning Radius (m)	Turning Prop.	Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)	Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)
				Arm 6 Left	13.00	13.9 %		
1/1 (B4030 Bicester Road (W/B))	3.00	0.00	Y	Arm 7 Ahead	30.00	2.0 %	1808	1808
				Arm 8 Right	30.00	84.0 %		
2/1				Arm 7 Left	30.00	0.3 %		
(B430 Oxford Road (S))	3.00	0.00	Y	Arm 8 Ahead	Inf	99.7 %	1915	1915
2/2 (B430 Oxford Road (S))	3.00	0.00	Ν	Arm 5 Right	10.00	100.0 %	1787	1787
2/4				Arm 5 Ahead	30.00	50.0 %		
(B4030 Heyford Road (E/B))	3.00	0.00	Y	Arm 6 Right	30.00	26.5 %	1759	1759
				Arm 8 Left	7.00	23.5 %		
				Arm 5 Left	12.00	33.6 %		
4/1 (B430 Ardley Road (N))	3.32	0.00	Y	Arm 6 Ahead	Inf	66.2 %	1868	1868
				Arm 7 Right	8.00	0.3 %		
5/1 (B4030 Bicester Road EX (E/B) Lane 1)			Infinite Sa	aturation Flow			Inf	Inf
6/1 (B430 Arldey Road EX (S) Lane 1)				Inf	Inf			
7/1 (B4030 Heyford Road EX (W/B) Lane 1)				Inf	Inf			
8/1 (B430 Oxford Road EX (N) Lane 1)	Infinite Saturation Flow Inf Inf							

Scenario 21: 'SATURN Modelling RC AM' (FG21: 'SATURN Modelling RC AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1')
Stage Sequence Diagram



# Stage Timings

Stage	1	2	3
Duration	42	23	32
Change Point	0	49	80







Item	Lane Description	Lane Type	Controller Stream	Position In Filtered Route	Full Phase	Arrow Phase	Num Greens	Total Green (s)	Arrow Green (s)	Demand Flow (pcu)	Sat Flow (pcu/Hr)	Capacity (pcu)	Deg Sat (%)
Network: Middleton Stoney Junction	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	109.9%
Unnamed Junction	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	109.9%
1/1	B4030 Bicester Road (W/B) Left Ahead Right	U	N/A	N/A	D		1	23	-	397	1807	361	109.9%
2/1+2/2	B430 Oxford Road (S) Right Left Ahead	U+O	N/A	N/A	В		1	45	-	558	1900:1787	740	75.4%
3/1	B4030 Heyford Road (E/B) Ahead Right Left	U	N/A	N/A	С		1	32	-	548	1817	500	109.7%
4/1	B430 Ardley Road (N) Left Ahead Right	0	N/A	N/A	А		1	44	-	792	1934	725	109.2%
5/1	B4030 Bicester Road EX (E/B)	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	533	Inf	Inf	0.0%
6/1	B430 Arldey Road EX (S)	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	859	Inf	Inf	0.0%
7/1	B4030 Heyford Road EX (W/B)	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	376	Inf	Inf	0.0%
8/1	B430 Oxford Road EX (N)	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	527	Inf	Inf	0.0%

Item	Arriving (pcu)	Leaving (pcu)	Turners In Gaps (pcu)	Turners When Unopposed (pcu)	Turners In Intergreen (pcu)	Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Rand + Oversat Delay (pcuHr)	Storage Area Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Total Delay (pcuHr)	Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu)	Max. Back of Uniform Queue (pcu)	Rand + Oversat Queue (pcu)	Mean Max Queue (pcu)
Network: Middleton Stoney Junction	-	-	13	15	5	34.1	91.2	0.2	125.5	-	-	-	-
Unnamed Junction	-	-	13	15	5	34.1	91.2	0.2	125.5	-	-	-	-
1/1	397	361	-	-	-	7.0	22.3	-	29.2	265.0	14.4	22.3	36.7
2/1+2/2	558	558	0	15	5	4.9	1.5	0.1	6.6	42.5	15.8	1.5	17.3
3/1	548	500	-	-	-	10.0	28.9	-	38.9	255.8	21.0	28.9	49.9
4/1	792	725	13	0	0	12.2	38.5	0.0	50.7	230.5	28.6	38.5	67.1
5/1	488	488	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6/1	786	786	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
7/1	350	350	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
8/1	520	520	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		C1	PRC for Sign PRC Ove	nalled Lanes (%): er All Lanes (%):	-22.1 -22.1	Total Delay for S Total Delay	Signalled Lanes () / Over All Lanes()	pcuHr): 125.47 pcuHr): 125.47	Cycle	Time (s): 120			

#### Full Input Data And Results Scenario 22: 'SATURN Modelling RC PM' (FG22: 'SATURN Modelling RC PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Stage Sequence Diagram



#### Stage Timings

Stage	1	2	3
Duration	35	34	28
Change Point	0	42	84







Item	Lane Description	Lane Type	Controller Stream	Position In Filtered Route	Full Phase	Arrow Phase	Num Greens	Total Green (s)	Arrow Green (s)	Demand Flow (pcu)	Sat Flow (pcu/Hr)	Capacity (pcu)	Deg Sat (%)
Network: Middleton Stoney Junction	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	93.6%
Unnamed Junction	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	93.6%
1/1	B4030 Bicester Road (W/B) Left Ahead Right	U	N/A	N/A	D		1	34	-	493	1818	530	93.0%
2/1+2/2	B430 Oxford Road (S) Right Left Ahead	U+O	N/A	N/A	В		1	38	-	602	1907:1787	643	93.6%
3/1	B4030 Heyford Road (E/B) Ahead Right Left	U	N/A	N/A	С		1	28	-	408	1820	440	92.8%
4/1	B430 Ardley Road (N) Left Ahead Right	Ο	N/A	N/A	А		1	37	-	517	1899	601	86.0%
5/1	B4030 Bicester Road EX (E/B)	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	529	Inf	Inf	0.0%
6/1	B430 Arldey Road EX (S)	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	478	Inf	Inf	0.0%
7/1	B4030 Heyford Road EX (W/B)	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	479	Inf	Inf	0.0%
8/1	B430 Oxford Road EX (N)	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	534	Inf	Inf	0.0%

Item	Arriving (pcu)	Leaving (pcu)	Turners In Gaps (pcu)	Turners When Unopposed (pcu)	Turners In Intergreen (pcu)	Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Rand + Oversat Delay (pcuHr)	Storage Area Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Total Delay (pcuHr)	Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu)	Max. Back of Uniform Queue (pcu)	Rand + Oversat Queue (pcu)	Mean Max Queue (pcu)
Network: Middleton Stoney Junction	-	-	61	15	5	22.7	18.7	0.4	41.7	-	-	-	-
Unnamed Junction	-	-	61	15	5	22.7	18.7	0.4	41.7	-	-	-	-
1/1	493	493	-	-	-	5.7	5.2	-	10.8	79.1	15.9	5.2	21.1
2/1+2/2	602	602	52	15	5	6.5	5.7	0.3	12.5	74.7	18.7	5.7	24.4
3/1	408	408	-	-	-	5.0	4.9	-	9.9	87.7	13.3	4.9	18.2
4/1	517	517	10	0	0	5.5	2.9	0.1	8.5	58.9	16.1	2.9	19.0
5/1	529	529	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6/1	478	478	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
7/1	479	479	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
8/1	534	534	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		C1	PRC for Sig PRC Ove	nalled Lanes (%): r All Lanes (%):	-4.0 -4.0	Total Delay for S Total Delay	Signalled Lanes ( y Over All Lanes(	pcuHr): 41.73 pcuHr): 41.73	Cycle	Time (s): 120			

Scenario 23: 'SATURN Run Modelling DS1 Middleton Stoney Amend AM' (FG23: 'SATURN Run DS1 Middleton Stoney Amend AM', Plan 2: 'Bus Gate Heyford Road')

#### Stage Sequence Diagram



#### **Stage Timings**

Stage	1	2	3	1	2	1	2
Duration	46	28	7	96	43	59	28
Change Point	0	53	89	104	207	258	324







Item	Lane Description	Lane Type	Controller Stream	Position In Filtered Route	Full Phase	Arrow Phase	Num Greens	Total Green (s)	Arrow Green (s)	Demand Flow (pcu)	Sat Flow (pcu/Hr)	Capacity (pcu)	Deg Sat (%)
Network: Middleton Stoney Junction	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	115.2%
Unnamed Junction	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	115.2%
1/1	B4030 Bicester Road (W/B) Left Ahead Right	U	N/A	N/A	D		3	99	-	586	1809	513	114.3%
2/1+2/2	B430 Oxford Road (S) Right Left Ahead	U+O	N/A	N/A	В		3	214	-	435	1915:1787	580	75.0%
3/1	B4030 Heyford Road (E/B) Ahead Right Left	U	N/A	N/A	С		1	7	-	38	1752	39	97.6%
4/1	B430 Ardley Road (N) Left Ahead Right	0	N/A	N/A	А		3	203	-	1225	1858	1063	115.2%
5/1	B4030 Bicester Road EX (E/B)	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	526	Inf	Inf	0.0%
6/1	B430 Arldey Road EX (S)	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	844	Inf	Inf	0.0%
7/1	B4030 Heyford Road EX (W/B)	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	16	Inf	Inf	0.0%
8/1	B430 Oxford Road EX (N)	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	898	Inf	Inf	0.0%

Item	Arriving (pcu)	Leaving (pcu)	Turners In Gaps (pcu)	Turners When Unopposed (pcu)	Turners In Intergreen (pcu)	Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Rand + Oversat Delay (pcuHr)	Storage Area Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Total Delay (pcuHr)	Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu)	Max. Back of Uniform Queue (pcu)	Rand + Oversat Queue (pcu)	Mean Max Queue (pcu)
Network: Middleton Stoney Junction	-	-	3	15	30	70.6	129.2	0.6	200.5	-	-	-	-
Unnamed Junction	-	-	3	15	30	70.6	129.2	0.6	200.5	-	-	-	-
1/1	586	513	-	-	-	24.3	40.4	-	64.6	397.0	42.2	40.4	82.6
2/1+2/2	435	435	0	15	30	1.5	1.5	0.6	3.6	29.5	7.5	1.5	9.0
3/1	38	38	-	-	-	1.9	2.9	-	4.7	446.6	3.8	2.9	6.6
4/1	1225	1063	3	0	0	43.0	84.5	0.0	127.6	374.9	84.8	84.5	169.3
5/1	465	465	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6/1	734	734	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
7/1	14	14	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
8/1	835	835	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		C1	PRC for Sig PRC Ove	nalled Lanes (%): er All Lanes (%):	-28.0 -28.0	Total Delay for S Total Delay	Signalled Lanes ( y Over All Lanes(	pcuHr): 200.46 pcuHr): 200.46	Cycle	Time (s): 360			

Scenario 24: 'SATURN Run Modelling DS1 Middleton Stoney Amend PM' (FG24: 'SATURN Run DS1 Middleton Stoney Amend PM', Plan 2: 'Bus Gate Heyford Road')

#### Stage Sequence Diagram



#### **Stage Timings**

Stage	1	2	3	1	2	1	2
Duration	65	31	7	53	41	69	41
Change Point	0	72	111	126	186	235	311







	Juito												
Item	Lane Description	Lane Type	Controller Stream	Position In Filtered Route	Full Phase	Arrow Phase	Num Greens	Total Green (s)	Arrow Green (s)	Demand Flow (pcu)	Sat Flow (pcu/Hr)	Capacity (pcu)	Deg Sat (%)
Network: Middleton Stoney Junction	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	89.4%
Unnamed Junction	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	89.4%
1/1	B4030 Bicester Road (W/B) Left Ahead Right	U	N/A	N/A	D		3	113	-	520	1816	585	88.9%
2/1+2/2	B430 Oxford Road (S) Right Left Ahead	U+O	N/A	N/A	В		3	200	-	734	1914:1787	1097	66.9%
3/1	B4030 Heyford Road (E/B) Ahead Right Left	U	N/A	N/A	С		1	7	-	18	1777	39	45.6%
4/1	B430 Ardley Road (N) Left Ahead Right	0	N/A	N/A	A		3	189	-	862	1808	964	89.4%
5/1	B4030 Bicester Road EX (E/B)	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	617	Inf	Inf	0.0%
6/1	B430 Arldey Road EX (S)	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	378	Inf	Inf	0.0%
7/1	B4030 Heyford Road EX (W/B)	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	28	Inf	Inf	0.0%
8/1	B430 Oxford Road EX (N)	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	1111	Inf	Inf	0.0%

Item	Arriving (pcu)	Leaving (pcu)	Turners In Gaps (pcu)	Turners When Unopposed (pcu)	Turners In Intergreen (pcu)	Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Rand + Oversat Delay (pcuHr)	Storage Area Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Total Delay (pcuHr)	Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu)	Max. Back of Uniform Queue (pcu)	Rand + Oversat Queue (pcu)	Mean Max Queue (pcu)
Network: Middleton Stoney Junction	-	-	61	12	21	16.1	8.9	0.8	25.8	-	-	-	-
Unnamed Junction	-	-	61	12	21	16.1	8.9	0.8	25.8	-	-	-	-
1/1	520	520	-	-	-	5.6	3.6	-	9.2	63.5	16.8	3.6	20.3
2/1+2/2	734	734	54	12	21	3.7	1.0	0.8	5.5	26.8	17.7	1.0	18.7
3/1	18	18	-	-	-	0.9	0.4	-	1.3	254.6	1.8	0.4	2.2
4/1	862	862	7	0	0	6.0	3.9	0.0	9.9	41.4	26.3	3.9	30.3
5/1	617	617	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6/1	378	378	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
7/1	28	28	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
8/1	1111	1111	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		C1	PRC for Sign PRC Ove	nalled Lanes (%): er All Lanes (%):	0.7 0.7	Total Delay for S Total Delay	Signalled Lanes ( y Over All Lanes(	pcuHr): 25.82 pcuHr): 25.82	Cycle	Time (s): 360			

Scenario 25: 'SATURN Run Modelling DS1 Mid Stoney Amend - Low TR AM' (FG25: 'SATURN Run DS1 Mid Stoney Amend - Low TR AM', Plan 2: 'Bus Gate Heyford Road')

#### Stage Sequence Diagram



#### **Stage Timings**

Stage	1	2	3	1	2	1	2
Duration	63	33	7	95	53	43	13
Change Point	0	70	111	126	228	289	339







Item	Lane Description	Lane Type	Controller Stream	Position In Filtered Route	Full Phase	Arrow Phase	Num Greens	Total Green (s)	Arrow Green (s)	Demand Flow (pcu)	Sat Flow (pcu/Hr)	Capacity (pcu)	Deg Sat (%)
Network: Middleton Stoney Junction	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	108.4%
Unnamed Junction	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	108.4%
1/1	B4030 Bicester Road (W/B) Left Ahead Right	U	N/A	N/A	D		3	99	-	554	1808	512	108.1%
2/1+2/2	B430 Oxford Road (S) Right Left Ahead	U+O	N/A	N/A	В		3	214	-	429	1915:1787	572	75.0%
3/1	B4030 Heyford Road (E/B) Ahead Right Left	U	N/A	N/A	С		1	7	-	32	1755	39	82.1%
4/1	B430 Ardley Road (N) Left Ahead Right	Ο	N/A	N/A	А		3	203	-	1157	1865	1067	108.4%
5/1	B4030 Bicester Road EX (E/B)	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	461	Inf	Inf	0.0%
6/1	B430 Arldey Road EX (S)	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	838	Inf	Inf	0.0%
7/1	B4030 Heyford Road EX (W/B)	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	13	Inf	Inf	0.0%
8/1	B430 Oxford Road EX (N)	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	860	Inf	Inf	0.0%

Item	Arriving (pcu)	Leaving (pcu)	Turners In Gaps (pcu)	Turners When Unopposed (pcu)	Turners In Intergreen (pcu)	Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Rand + Oversat Delay (pcuHr)	Storage Area Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Total Delay (pcuHr)	Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu)	Max. Back of Uniform Queue (pcu)	Rand + Oversat Queue (pcu)	Mean Max Queue (pcu)
Network: Middleton Stoney Junction	-	-	3	15	30	47.5	79.8	0.6	128.0	-	-	-	-
Unnamed Junction	-	-	3	15	30	47.5	79.8	0.6	128.0	-	-	-	-
1/1	554	512	-	-	-	15.9	26.2	-	42.0	273.2	35.7	26.2	61.9
2/1+2/2	429	429	0	15	30	1.6	1.5	0.6	3.7	31.0	8.7	1.5	10.2
3/1	32	32	-	-	-	1.6	1.6	-	3.1	352.6	3.2	1.6	4.8
4/1	1157	1067	3	0	0	28.5	50.6	0.0	79.1	246.2	68.7	50.6	119.4
5/1	430	430	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6/1	774	774	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
7/1	12	12	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
8/1	825	825	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		C1	PRC for Sign PRC Ove	nalled Lanes (%): er All Lanes (%):	-20.5 -20.5	Total Delay for S Total Delay	Signalled Lanes () y Over All Lanes()	pcuHr): 127.99 pcuHr): 127.99	Cycle	Time (s): 360			

#### Full Input Data And Results Scenario 26: 'SATURN Modelling DM AM' (FG26: 'SATURN Modelling DM AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Stage Sequence Diagram



#### Stage Timings

Stage	1	2	3
Duration	44	20	33
Change Point	0	51	79







	Juito												
Item	Lane Description	Lane Type	Controller Stream	Position In Filtered Route	Full Phase	Arrow Phase	Num Greens	Total Green (s)	Arrow Green (s)	Demand Flow (pcu)	Sat Flow (pcu/Hr)	Capacity (pcu)	Deg Sat (%)
Network: Middleton Stoney Junction	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	108.8%
Unnamed Junction	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	108.8%
1/1	B4030 Bicester Road (W/B) Left Ahead Right	U	N/A	N/A	D		1	20	-	343	1812	317	108.2%
2/1+2/2	B430 Oxford Road (S) Right Left Ahead	U+O	N/A	N/A	В		1	47	-	620	1864:1787	744	83.3%
3/1	B4030 Heyford Road (E/B) Ahead Right Left	U	N/A	N/A	С		1	33	-	553	1817	515	107.4%
4/1	B430 Ardley Road (N) Left Ahead Right	0	N/A	N/A	А		1	46	-	809	1900	744	108.8%
5/1	B4030 Bicester Road EX (E/B)	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	649	Inf	Inf	0.0%
6/1	B430 Arldey Road EX (S)	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	731	Inf	Inf	0.0%
7/1	B4030 Heyford Road EX (W/B)	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	633	Inf	Inf	0.0%
8/1	B430 Oxford Road EX (N)	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	312	Inf	Inf	0.0%

Item	Arriving (pcu)	Leaving (pcu)	Turners In Gaps (pcu)	Turners When Unopposed (pcu)	Turners In Intergreen (pcu)	Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Rand + Oversat Delay (pcuHr)	Storage Area Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Total Delay (pcuHr)	Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu)	Max. Back of Uniform Queue (pcu)	Rand + Oversat Queue (pcu)	Mean Max Queue (pcu)
Network: Middleton Stoney Junction	-	-	22	15	5	32.8	82.9	0.2	115.9	-	-	-	-
Unnamed Junction	-	-	22	15	5	32.8	82.9	0.2	115.9	-	-	-	-
1/1	343	317	-	-	-	5.9	17.8	-	23.7	248.8	12.3	17.8	30.1
2/1+2/2	620	620	0	15	5	5.6	2.4	0.1	8.1	47.1	18.3	2.4	20.7
3/1	553	515	-	-	-	9.3	24.7	-	34.0	221.3	20.5	24.7	45.2
4/1	809	744	22	0	0	12.0	38.0	0.1	50.1	223.0	29.1	38.0	67.2
5/1	604	604	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6/1	673	673	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
7/1	610	610	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
8/1	309	309	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		C1	PRC for Sig PRC Ove	nalled Lanes (%): er All Lanes (%):	-20.9 -20.9	Total Delay for S Total Delay	Signalled Lanes () y Over All Lanes()	pcuHr): 115.93 pcuHr): 115.93	Cycle	Time (s): 120			

#### Full Input Data And Results Scenario 27: 'SATURN Modelling DM PM' (FG27: 'SATURN Modelling DM PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Stage Sequence Diagram



#### Stage Timings

Stage	1	2	3
Duration	38	32	27
Change Point	0	45	85







Notwork Rec	Jano												
Item	Lane Description	Lane Type	Controller Stream	Position In Filtered Route	Full Phase	Arrow Phase	Num Greens	Total Green (s)	Arrow Green (s)	Demand Flow (pcu)	Sat Flow (pcu/Hr)	Capacity (pcu)	Deg Sat (%)
Network: Middleton Stoney Junction	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	100.9%
Unnamed Junction	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	100.9%
1/1	B4030 Bicester Road (W/B) Left Ahead Right	U	N/A	N/A	D		1	32	-	505	1820	501	100.9%
2/1+2/2	B430 Oxford Road (S) Right Left Ahead	U+O	N/A	N/A	В		1	41	-	682	1903:1787	681	100.1%
3/1	B4030 Heyford Road (E/B) Ahead Right Left	U	N/A	N/A	С		1	27	-	421	1820	425	99.1%
4/1	B430 Ardley Road (N) Left Ahead Right	0	N/A	N/A	A		1	40	-	522	1846	631	82.8%
5/1	B4030 Bicester Road EX (E/B)	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	631	Inf	Inf	0.0%
6/1	B430 Arldey Road EX (S)	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	351	Inf	Inf	0.0%
7/1	B4030 Heyford Road EX (W/B)	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	572	Inf	Inf	0.0%
8/1	B430 Oxford Road EX (N)	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	576	Inf	Inf	0.0%

Item	Arriving (pcu)	Leaving (pcu)	Turners In Gaps (pcu)	Turners When Unopposed (pcu)	Turners In Intergreen (pcu)	Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Rand + Oversat Delay (pcuHr)	Storage Area Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Total Delay (pcuHr)	Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu)	Max. Back of Uniform Queue (pcu)	Rand + Oversat Queue (pcu)	Mean Max Queue (pcu)
Network: Middleton Stoney Junction	-	-	40	1	16	24.3	37.3	0.3	61.9	-	-	-	-
Unnamed Junction	-	-	40	1	16	24.3	37.3	0.3	61.9	-	-	-	-
1/1	505	501	-	-	-	6.3	12.4	-	18.7	133.5	17.0	12.4	29.4
2/1+2/2	682	681	40	1	2	7.4	13.2	0.2	20.8	109.6	22.4	13.2	35.7
3/1	421	421	-	-	-	5.4	9.4	-	14.7	126.1	13.9	9.4	23.3
4/1	522	522	0	0	14	5.3	2.3	0.1	7.7	52.9	15.9	2.3	18.3
5/1	631	631	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6/1	351	351	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
7/1	568	568	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
8/1	575	575	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		C1	PRC for Sig PRC Ove	nalled Lanes (%): r All Lanes (%):	-12.1 -12.1	Total Delay for S Total Delay	Signalled Lanes ( y Over All Lanes(	pcuHr): 61.91 pcuHr): 61.91	Cycle	Time (s): 120			

Scenario 28: 'SATURN Run Modelling DS1 Mid Stoney Amend - Low TR - Extra Bus AM' (FG28: 'SATURN Run DS1 Mid Stoney Amend - Low TR - Extra BusAM', Plan 2: 'Bus Gate Heyford Road')

#### Stage Sequence Diagram



#### Stage Timings

Stage	1	2	3	1	2	1	2
Duration	50	27	7	81	46	70	26
Change Point	0	57	92	107	195	249	326







Item	Lane Description	Lane Type	Controller Stream	Position In Filtered Route	Full Phase	Arrow Phase	Num Greens	Total Green (s)	Arrow Green (s)	Demand Flow (pcu)	Sat Flow (pcu/Hr)	Capacity (pcu)	Deg Sat (%)
Network: Middleton Stoney Junction	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	106.5%
Unnamed Junction	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	106.5%
1/1	B4030 Bicester Road (W/B) Left Ahead Right	U	N/A	N/A	D		3	99	-	545	1808	512	106.4%
2/1+2/2	B430 Oxford Road (S) Right Left Ahead	U+O	N/A	N/A	В		3	214	-	429	1915:1787	572	75.0%
3/1	B4030 Heyford Road (E/B) Ahead Right Left	U	N/A	N/A	С		1	7	-	34	1759	39	87.0%
4/1	B430 Ardley Road (N) Left Ahead Right	0	N/A	N/A	А		3	203	-	1138	1868	1069	106.5%
5/1	B4030 Bicester Road EX (E/B)	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	444	Inf	Inf	0.0%
6/1	B430 Arldey Road EX (S)	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	838	Inf	Inf	0.0%
7/1	B4030 Heyford Road EX (W/B)	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	15	Inf	Inf	0.0%
8/1	B430 Oxford Road EX (N)	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	849	Inf	Inf	0.0%

Item	Arriving (pcu)	Leaving (pcu)	Turners In Gaps (pcu)	Turners When Unopposed (pcu)	Turners In Intergreen (pcu)	Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Rand + Oversat Delay (pcuHr)	Storage Area Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Total Delay (pcuHr)	Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu)	Max. Back of Uniform Queue (pcu)	Rand + Oversat Queue (pcu)	Mean Max Queue (pcu)
Network: Middleton Stoney Junction	-	-	3	15	30	39.5	67.2	0.6	107.3	-	-	-	-
Unnamed Junction	-	-	3	15	30	39.5	67.2	0.6	107.3	-	-	-	-
1/1	545	512	-	-	-	13.6	22.4	-	36.0	237.8	30.3	22.4	52.8
2/1+2/2	429	429	0	15	30	1.4	1.5	0.6	3.5	29.6	7.5	1.5	9.0
3/1	34	34	-	-	-	1.7	1.9	-	3.6	377.6	3.4	1.9	5.3
4/1	1138	1069	3	0	0	22.8	41.4	0.0	64.2	203.1	57.4	41.4	98.9
5/1	421	421	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6/1	788	788	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
7/1	14	14	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
8/1	821	821	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		C1	PRC for Sig PRC Ove	nalled Lanes (%): r All Lanes (%):	-18.3 -18.3	Total Delay for S Total Delay	Signalled Lanes () / Over All Lanes()	pcuHr): 107.31 pcuHr): 107.31	Cycle	Time (s): 360			

# Full Input Data And Results Full Input Data And Results

#### User and Project Details

Project:	Heyford Park
Title:	Middleton Stoney Junction
Location:	
File name:	190517 Middleton Stoney Signalised Junction_Consented V5.lsg3x – HGV Restriction
Author:	ekeen
Company:	Peter Brett Associates
Address:	10 Queen Square
Notes:	Existing Layout

# Network Layout Diagram



# Phase Diagram



# Phase Input Data

Phase Name	Phase Type	Assoc. Phase	Street Min	Cont Min
А	Traffic		7	7
В	Traffic		7	7
С	Traffic		7	7
D	Traffic		7	7

#### **Phase Intergreens Matrix**

	_						
	Starting Phase						
		А	В	С	D		
	А		-	5	8		
Terminating Phase	В	-		8	5		
	С	5	7		8		
	D	7	5	8			

# Phases in Stage

Stage No.	Phases in Stage
1	АВ
2	D
3	С



### **Phase Delays**

Term. Stage	Start Stage	Phase	Туре	Value	Cont value
1	2	В	Losing	3	3

# Prohibited Stage Change

	To Stage					
		1	2	3		
From	1		8	8		
Stage	2	7		8		
	3	7	8			
#### Full Input Data And Results Give-Way Lane Input Data

Junction: Unnamed Junction											
Lane	Movement	Max Flow when Giving Way (PCU/Hr)	Min Flow when Giving Way (PCU/Hr)	Opposing Lane	Opp. Lane Coeff.	Opp. Mvmnts.	Right Turn Storage (PCU)	Non-Blocking Storage (PCU)	RTF	Right Turn Move up (s)	Max Turns in Intergreen (PCU)
2/2 (B430 Oxford Road (S))	5/1 (Right)	1439	0	4/1	1.09	To 5/1 (Left) To 6/1 (Ahead)	2.00	-	0.50	2	2.00
4/1 (B430 Ardley Road (N))	7/1 (Right)	1439	0	2/1	1.09	To 7/1 (Left) To 8/1 (Ahead)	1.00	1.00	0.50	1	1.00

# Full Input Data And Results Lane Input Data

Junction: Unnamed Junction												
Lane	Lane Type	Phases	Start Disp.	End Disp.	Physical Length (PCU)	Sat Flow Type	Def User Saturation Flow (PCU/Hr)	Lane Width (m)	Gradient	Nearside Lane	Turns	Turning Radius (m)
1/1											Arm 6 Left	13.00
(B4030 Bicester Road	U	D	2	3	60.0	Geom	-	3.00	0.00	Y	Arm 7 Ahead	30.00
(\vv/B))											Arm 8 Right	30.00
2/1 (P.420 Ovford		В	2	2	60.0	Coom		2.00	0.00	V	Arm 7 Left	30.00
(B430 Oxford Road (S))	U	В	2	3	60.0	Geom	-	3.00	0.00	Ŷ	Arm 8 Ahead	Inf
2/2 (B430 Oxford Road (S))	о	В	2	3	5.0	Geom	-	3.00	0.00	Ν	Arm 5 Right	10.00
3/1											Arm 5 Ahead	30.00
(B4030 Heyford Road	U	С	2	3	60.0	Geom	-	3.00	0.00	Y	Arm 6 Right	30.00
(E/B))											Arm 8 Left	7.00
											Arm 5 Left	12.00
4/1 (B430 Ardley Road (N))	ο	А	2	3	60.0	Geom	-	3.32	0.00	Y	Arm 6 Ahead	Inf
											Arm 7 Right	8.00
5/1 (B4030 Bicester Road EX (E/B))	U		2	3	60.0	Inf	-	-	-	-	-	-
6/1 (B430 Arldey Road EX (S))	U		2	3	60.0	Inf	-	-	-	-	-	-
7/1 (B4030 Heyford Road EX (W/B))	U		2	3	60.0	Inf	-	-	-	-	-	-
8/1 (B430 Oxford Road EX (N))	U		2	3	60.0	Inf	-	-	-	-	-	-

## **Traffic Flow Groups**

Flow Group	Start Time	End Time	Duration	Formula
1: 'SATURN Run DS1 Mid Stoney Amend - Low TR - Extra BusAM'	07:30	08:30	01:00	

Scenario 1: 'SATURN Run Modelling DS1 Mid Stoney Amend - Low TR - Extra Bus AM' (FG1: 'SATURN Run DS1 Mid Stoney Amend - Low TR - Extra BusAM', Plan 2: 'Bus Gate Heyford Road') Traffic Flows, Desired

Desired Flow :

	Destination										
		А	В	С	D	Tot.					
	А	0	351	762	3	1116					
Origin	В	423	0	76	10	509					
Ongin	С	388	45	0	2	435					
	D	8	15	11	0	34					
	Tot.	819	411	849	15	2094					

\_\_\_\_\_

# Traffic Lane Flows

Lane	Scenario 1: SATURN Run Modelling DS1 Mid Stoney Amend - Low TR - Extra Bus AM
Junction: Un	named Junction
1/1	509
2/1 (with short)	435(In) 390(Out)
2/2 (short)	45
3/1	34
4/1	1116
5/1	411
6/1	849
7/1	15
8/1	819

### Lane Saturation Flows

Junction: Unnamed Junction										
Lane	Lane Width (m)	Gradient	Nearside Lane	Allowed Turns	Turning Radius (m)	Turning Prop.	Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)	Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)		
				Arm 6 Left	13.00	14.9 %				
1/1 (B4030 Bicester Road (W/B))	3.00	0.00	Y	Arm 7 Ahead	30.00	2.0 %	1807	1807		
				Arm 8 Right	30.00	83.1 %				
2/1				Arm 7 Left	30.00	0.5 %				
(B430 Oxford Road (S))	3.00	0.00	Y	Arm 8 Ahead	Inf	99.5 %	1915	1915		
2/2 (B430 Oxford Road (S))	3.00	0.00	Ν	Arm 5 Right	10.00	100.0 %	1787	1787		
2/4				Arm 5 Ahead	30.00	44.1 %				
(B4030 Heyford Road (E/B))	3.00	0.00	Y	Arm 6 Right	30.00	32.4 %	1759	1759		
				Arm 8 Left	7.00	23.5 %				
				Arm 5 Left	12.00	31.5 %				
4/1 (B430 Ardley Road (N))	3.32	0.00	Y	Arm 6 Ahead	Inf	68.3 %	1872	1872		
				Arm 7 Right	8.00	0.3 %				
5/1 (B4030 Bicester Road EX (E/B) Lane 1)			Infinite Sa	aturation Flow			Inf	Inf		
6/1 (B430 Arldey Road EX (S) Lane 1) Infinite Saturation Flow						Inf	Inf			
7/1 (B4030 Heyford Road EX (W/B) Lane 1)	Infinite Saturation Flow						Inf	Inf		
8/1 (B430 Oxford Road EX (N) Lane 1)			Infinite Sa	aturation Flow			Inf	Inf		

Scenario 1: 'SATURN Run Modelling DS1 Mid Stoney Amend - Low TR - Extra Bus AM' (FG1: 'SATURN Run DS1 Mid Stoney Amend - Low TR - Extra BusAM', Plan 2: 'Bus Gate Heyford Road')



# Stage Timings

Stage	1	2	3	1	2	1	2
Duration	60	28	7	78	35	66	33
Change Point	0	67	103	118	203	246	319

# Signal Timings Diagram



Full Input Data And Results
Network Layout Diagram



#### **Network Results**

Item	Lane Description	Lane Type	Controller Stream	Position In Filtered Route	Full Phase	Arrow Phase	Num Greens	Total Green (s)	Arrow Green (s)	Demand Flow (pcu)	Sat Flow (pcu/Hr)	Capacity (pcu)	Deg Sat (%)
Network: Middleton Stoney Junction	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	102.7%
Unnamed Junction	-	-	N/A	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	102.7%
1/1	B4030 Bicester Road (W/B) Left Ahead Right	U	N/A	N/A	D		3	96	-	509	1807	497	102.4%
2/1+2/2	B430 Oxford Road (S) Right Left Ahead	U+O	N/A	N/A	В		3	217	-	435	1915:1787	580	75.0%
3/1	B4030 Heyford Road (E/B) Ahead Right Left	U	N/A	N/A	С		1	7	-	34	1759	39	87.0%
4/1	B430 Ardley Road (N) Left Ahead Right	ο	N/A	N/A	А		3	206	-	1116	1872	1087	102.7%
5/1	B4030 Bicester Road EX (E/B)	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	411	Inf	Inf	0.0%
6/1	B430 Arldey Road EX (S)	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	849	Inf	Inf	0.0%
7/1	B4030 Heyford Road EX (W/B)	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	15	Inf	Inf	0.0%
8/1	B430 Oxford Road EX (N)	U	N/A	N/A	-		-	-	-	819	Inf	Inf	0.0%

Item	Arriving (pcu)	Leaving (pcu)	Turners In Gaps (pcu)	Turners When Unopposed (pcu)	Turners In Intergreen (pcu)	Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Rand + Oversat Delay (pcuHr)	Storage Area Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Total Delay (pcuHr)	Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu)	Max. Back of Uniform Queue (pcu)	Rand + Oversat Queue (pcu)	Mean Max Queue (pcu)
Network: Middleton Stoney Junction	-	-	3	15	30	26.2	43.6	0.6	70.4	-	-	-	-
Unnamed Junction	-	-	3	15	30	26.2	43.6	0.6	70.4	-	-	-	-
1/1	509	497	-	-	-	9.4	14.7	-	24.1	170.8	22.6	14.7	37.3
2/1+2/2	435	435	0	15	30	1.4	1.5	0.6	3.5	28.6	7.5	1.5	9.0
3/1	34	34	-	-	-	1.7	1.9	-	3.6	377.6	3.4	1.9	5.3
4/1	1116	1087	3	0	0	13.7	25.5	0.0	39.3	126.7	48.0	25.5	73.5
5/1	402	402	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6/1	827	827	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
7/1	15	15	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
8/1	809	809	-	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		C1	PRC for Sigr PRC Ove	nalled Lanes (%): r All Lanes (%):	-14.1 -14.1	Total Delay for S Total Delay	Signalled Lanes () / Over All Lanes()	pcuHr): 70.45 pcuHr): 70.45	Cycle	Time (s): 360			

**TECHNICAL NOTE** 



**APPENDIX B** 

J:\39304 Heyford Park Tranche 2\Technical\Transport\WP\Technotes\TN024 Rev D - 200305\_Middleton Stoney Signalised Junction Mitigation Note.docx

significant, correct sign and plausible variations in the values of time have been estimated. Compared to other findings with relatively simple tabulations, the model provided a significant advance. It should be noted that this model is estimated using the dataset in the above mentioned meta-analysis.

Based on the estimated model, the author provides illustrative figures for a range of circumstances for the money value of in vehicle time and weighting of walk time, wait time and headway. The walk time weighting is reported in Table 7.3, while other values are reported in the following sections of the chapter. It should be noted that Table 7.1 reports the average value in the database, while Table 7.3 reports the value implied by the model, which is estimated using the same database.

#### Table 7.3 Walk time weightings implied by the quantitative model (in units of in-vehicle time)

Time (mins)	Distance (miles)	Car	Bus	Rail	Under- ground
2	2	2.18	1.68	1.28	1.5
5		2.79	2.15	1.65	1.93
10		3.37	2.59	1.99	2.33
20		4.07	3.13	2.4	2.82
2	10	1.72	1.49	1.14	1.33
5		2.2	1.91	1.46	1.71
10		2.66	2.3	1.77	2.08
20		3.21	2.78	2.13	2.5
2	25	1.5	1.39	1.07	1.25
5		1.92	1.79	1.37	1.6
10		2.32	2.16	1.65	1.94
20		2.8	2.6	1.99	2.34
2	50	1.35	1.32	1.02	1.18
5		1.74	1.7	1.3	1.52
10		2.09	2.05	1.57	1.84
20		2.53	2.47	1.9	2.23
2	100	1.22	1.26	0.97	1.13
5		1.57	1.61	1.24	1.45
10		1.89	1.95	1.49	1.75
20		2.28	2.35	1.8	2.12
2	200	1.1	1.2	0.92	1.07
5		1.41	1.53	1.18	1.38
10 🛒		1.71	1.85	1.42	1.66
20		2.06	2.23	1.71	2.01

Source: Wardman (2001)

In the table, the first two columns refers to the assumed walk time and distance travelled. The next four columns report the walk time weighting by each user type in different mode (e.g. how bus users value walk time for bus mode). The most noticeable feature of the IVT values of walk is that they vary considerably. In part this is because of differences in the money value of IVT by user type and mode, but there are other strong influences at work. The increase in the IVT values of walk time as the levels of walk time increase is quite clear, as is the fall in the values as distance increases.

Wardman *et al.* (2001b) provide a valuation of walk time in relation to interchange facilities, based on stated

preference analysis. The attribute weights held by users of different modes are shown in Table 7.4.

# Table 7.4 Walk values in association with interchange attributes, Edinburgh

Attribute	Users	Value (IVT mins / trip)	95% confidence interval
Walk time at interchange	Bus	1.6	27%
Walk time to bus	Car	1.3	40%
Between stations walk time	Rail	3.7	32%

Source: Wardman et al. (2001b)

#### 7.4 Effect of service intervals

The effect of service intervals can be measured in a number of ways: total vehicle kilometres or hours, frequency, headway/service interval, wait time and schedule delay. Evidence is a mixture of elasticity and attribute value measures.

#### 7.4.1 Elasticity based evidence

The dominant indicator is vehicle kilometres. Table 7.5 indicates that bus demand is relatively insensitive to service change with a short-run elasticity of approximately 0.4 and a long run elasticity of 0.7.

# Table 7.5 Service elasticities, with range and standard deviation according to average values – Bus

Run	Elasticity	Range	Standard deviation	No of measure- ments
Short run	0.38	0.10 to 0.74	0.135	27
Long run	0.66	0.22 to 1.04	0.275	23

Sources: Appendix to Chapter 7

Table 7.6 shows that urban rail may be more sensitive than bus to service change but the evidence is limited to a small number of short-run estimates.

# Table 7.6 Service elasticity, with range and standard deviation according to average values - Rail

				No of measure- ments
Run	Elasticity	Range	Standard deviation	
Run not stated* -0.49		-0.33 to -0.65	0.23	2
Short run	0.75	0.65 to 0.90	0.13	3

\* Based on headway.

Sources: Appendix to Chapter 7.

The importance of service quality to meeting the needs of public transport customers and decreasing reliance on the car is indicated by the findings of Arsenio's (2000) examination of railway demand in Spanish cities (Table 7.7) **TECHNICAL NOTE** 



**APPENDIX C** 

J:\39304 Heyford Park Tranche 2\Technical\Transport\WP\Technotes\TN024 Rev D - 200305\_Middleton Stoney Signalised Junction Mitigation Note.docx

# Heyford Bus Service Elasticity Calculation

The formu	la used	is as	follows:	
	D2	=	D1 x power (S2/S1, e)	
Where	D1	=	original demand with original service level, say =	100
	D2	=	new demand with new service level	?
	S1	=	original service level (3 buses per hour)	3
	S2	=	new service level (4 buses per hour)	4
	е	=	elasticity factor of 0.4	0.4
Substitutin	g the v	alues	s into the formula:	
	D2	=	100 x power (4/3, 0.4)	
		=	112.1955145	
		=	12% increase on D1	

**TECHNICAL NOTE** 



**APPENDIX D** 

J:\39304 Heyford Park Tranche 2\Technical\Transport\WP\Technotes\TN024 Rev D - 200305\_Middleton Stoney Signalised Junction Mitigation Note.docx



#### SATURN

Atkins Ltd / DVV / ITS

Network.UFS 2031\_DS1\_AM\_

Scale 94944

Link Annot:

S.L.A.

Bandwidths = 50./mm

Selected Link Assignment Thru links: 96030 40215

Total	De	mand
Flow	=	36

Network	fixd
Flow =	20

User Cl. 6

25- 4-19 WHITE YOUNG



#### SATURN

Atkins Ltd / DVV / ITS

Network.UFS 2031\_DS1\_AM\_

Scale 94944

Link Annot:

S.L.A.

Bandwidths =
50./mm

Selected Link Assignment Thru links: 40215 96030

Total	. De	mand
Flow	=	37

Network	fixd
Flow =	9

User Cl. 6

25- 4-19 WHITE YOUNG