

From: Jean Conway

Sent: 22 July 2020 16:43

To: Andrew Lewis <Andrew.Lewis@Cherwell-DC.gov.uk>

Cc: cllrrian.corkin@oxfordshire.gov.uk; carmen.griffiths@oxfordshire.gov.uk

Subject: Heyford Park Planning Application - Objection

Dear Andrew

Although I am not against development per se I am absolutely certain Kirtlington does not need any more traffic, either commuter or commercial.

I moved to Kirtlington 18 months ago and was shocked at the amount of traffic on the A4095 through the village. It starts at 6.30 each weekday with commercial HGV's thundering through the village waking up the residents and even making beds shake and pictures move. It just carries on during the day with HGV's bouncing down the road along with huge amounts of commuter traffic and LCV's speeding through the village. Kirtlington is an ancient village and it has a school right on the road.

Stantec have carried out a survey from which they have extrapolated their projection of the effects of Heyford Park on Kirtlington. I have spent a lot of time analysing traffic surveys in Kirtlington from January and June 2017 and the Stantec figures are well below those recorded in 2017 so I would like to question where the survey took place and when? If the figures are not a true representation then there needs to be another survey from which new projections can be calculated and determine whether Kirtlington is eligible for section 106 monies to help with traffic calming.

The Government is promoting Active Travel and OCC/CDC state their visions for the future are to protect history and buildings but what are they doing to achieve this? The road infrastructure is insufficient for current needs even before the huge developments taking place in Upper Heyford, Bicester and Banbury but where is the investment in major arterial roads so commercial vehicles do not use the A4095? Will CDC insist that commercial vehicles staying on major arterial roads? Will CDC encourage OCC to improve arterial roads so that commercial vehicles will stop using the A4095? (Just this morning a huge articulated lorry with a trailer from Poland was lost and looking for an industrial park in Kirtlington!)

Villagers have had enough and are making their views known, take a look at the Kirtlington & A4095 Facebook page. It is time that the balance between people and vehicles is redressed. If this development goes ahead then Kirtlington should be considered for traffic calming funds, finally could you explain the Stantec figures on the planning portal?

Regards

jean conway

The Paddocks

2 Foxtowns Green

Kirtlington OX5 3JW

This e-mail (including any attachments) may be confidential and may contain legally privileged information. You should not disclose its contents to any other person. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately.

Whilst the Council has taken every reasonable precaution to minimise the risk of computer software viruses, it cannot accept liability for any damage which you may sustain as a result of such viruses. You should carry out your own virus checks before opening the e-mail(and/or any attachments).

Unless expressly stated otherwise, the contents of this e-mail represent only the views of the sender and does not impose any legal obligation upon the Council or commit the Council to any course of action..

This e-mail (including any attachments) may be confidential and may contain legally privileged information. You should not disclose its contents to any other person. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately.

Whilst the Council has taken every reasonable precaution to minimise the risk of computer software viruses, it cannot accept liability for any damage which you may sustain as a result of such viruses. You should carry out your own virus checks before opening the e-mail(and/or any attachments).

Unless expressly stated otherwise, the contents of this e-mail represent only the views of the sender and does not impose any legal obligation upon the Council or commit the Council to any course of action..

This e-mail (including any attachments) may be confidential and may contain legally privileged information. You should not disclose its contents to any other person. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately.

Whilst the Council has taken every reasonable precaution to minimise the risk of computer software viruses, it cannot accept liability for any damage which you may sustain as a result of such viruses. You should carry out your own virus checks before opening the e-mail(and/or any attachments).

Unless expressly stated otherwise, the contents of this e-mail represent only the views of the sender and does not impose any legal obligation upon the Council or commit the Council to any course of action..