

Job Name:	Heyford Park
Job No:	39304
Note No:	035 Rev C

Date: 29th June 2020

Prepared By: Phil Rawlins

Subject: Reassessment of the Impacts of Heyford Park and Associated Mitigation on Local Villages

ltem	Subject											
1.	Introduction											
	This Technical Note has been prepared by Stantec on behalf of Dorchester Group to set out an updated assessment of the transport impacts on local villages arising from the Cherwell District Council Local Plan allocation at Heyford Park (Policy Villages 5).											
	An assessment of the impact of development on local villages was set out at Section 10.4 of the original Transport Assessment (TA) Report (Peter Brett Associates, April 2018) for the Heyford Park development allocation submitted in support of the current outline planning application. Since this report was submitted extensive work has been undertaken in liaison with Oxfordshire County Council (OCC) to finalise the mitigation strategy for the development and as part of this further transport modelling has been undertaken using the OCC Bicester SATURN model. On this basis OCC have requested that the analysis of the development impact on local villages be updated and submitted as part of the Transport Assessment Addendum supporting the main application for the Heyford site. It is considered that this will provide an understanding of the wider impacts of the mitigation proposed beyond that set out within the TA Addendum (Stantec, March 2020).											
	OCC have requested that an assessment of impacts be undertaken for the following villages: Fritwell Ardley Bucknell Middleton Stoney Kirtlington Lower Heyford The Bartons North Aston Somerton Upper Heyford Caulcott Chesterton It was agreed with OCC that the assessment should be undertaken utilising data from the Bicester Transport Model that was updated for the purposes of assessing the Heyford Park development and mitigation proposals.											

J:\39304 Heyford Park Tranche 2\Technical\Transport\WP\Technotes\TN035 Rev C Reassessment of Village Impacts ISSUE.docx



ltem	Subject											
2.	Criteria for Assessing Impact on Local Villages											
	impact of the Heyfor	t was agreed with OCC that the links / junctions set out in Table 1 should be used to assess the mpact of the Heyford Park development in each of the village locations. The links / junctions have been assessed based on the most appropriate link / junction data available.										
	Table 1: Links / Jur	nctions Assessed										
	Village	Link / Junction Assessed										
	Fritwell	Fritwell Two-way flow on Ardley Rd west of the B430 / Ardley Rd junction										
		Ardley Total flow at the B430 / Ardley Rd junction										
	Bucknell	Two-way flow on Ardley Rd east of the B430 / Ardley Rd junction										
	Middleton	Total flow at B430 / B4030 (Middleton Stoney) junction and two-way flows										
	Stoney	on individual arms at the B430 / B4030 junction										
	Kirtlington Lower Heyford	Two-way flow on the A4095 Portway south of the A4095 / Portway junctionTotal flow at the B4030 / Station Rd / Freehold St junction										
	The Bartons	Two-way flow on the B4030 west of the A4260 / B4030 (Hopcrofts Holt) junction										
	North Aston	Two-way flow on Somerton Rd east of the A4260 / Somerton Rd junction										
	Somerton	Two-way flow on Somerton Rd east of the A4260 / Somerton Rd junction										
	Upper Heyford	Total flow at the Camp Rd / Station Rd / Somerton Rd junction and Two- way flow on Somerton Rd north of the Camp Rd / Station Rd / Somerton Rd junction.										
	Caulcott	Two way flow on the B4030 east of the B4030 / Portway junction										
	Chesterton	Two way flow on the A4095 east of the A4095 / B430 junction.										
3.	Model Validation											
	on local villages sho has been undertake	DCC that the first step in undertaking the updated assessment of the impact uld be to review the validation of the model in the areas being assessed. This n using data extracted from the Bicester Transport Model: Heyford Park to the Local Model Validation Report (LMVR) (WYG, August 2018).										
	and the model validation	hat the LMVR set out that the model validated well against the required criteria ation was agreed with OCC at the time that the model was prepared. This ers the detailed validation at specific points of the modelled area.										
	model should meet i	MVR sets out the criteria for calibration and validation that the flows in the n order to be considered as acceptable based on WebTAG unit M3.1. For the rcise the most appropriate section of this criteria is replicated within										
	Appendix A. The LMVR sets out the acceptability of individual turning movements at Appendices D and G. This data for has been amalgamated to provide a validation assessment for each link and junction. A summary of the validation of each link / junction is provided at Appendix B and the full calculations are provided at Appendix C of this Technical Note. Data was not available to provide an assessment for the links assessed relating to Fritwell and Bucknell. Data was also not available for the B430 / Ardley Road junction being used to assess the impacts in Ardley, therefore an assessment has been made of the B430 to the north and south of the existing junction in this location.											
		vithin the table at Appendix B validate within the criteria set out at Appendix close to meeting the validation criteria (within 10%). Red cells do not meet a.										

J:\39304 Heyford Park Tranche 2\Technical\Transport\WP\Technotes\TN035 Rev C Reassessment of Village Impacts ISSUE.docx



Item	Subject
	Appendix B demonstrates that the majority of links / junctions considered for assessment purposes either meet or are very close to meeting the identified validation criteria. On this basis it is considered that the model is fit for the purpose of testing the impact of development on the local villages.
	In instances where links or junctions have been identified as not validating well in one peak it is the case that the other peak validates within the defined parameters or close to it. Generally, in these instances the development impacts set out below are similar in the AM and PM peak hours, therefore, it is not considered that this will materially impact the assessment undertaken.
4.	Assessment of Development Impact on Local Villages
	As set out in Section 1 this assessment has been based upon data extracted from the Bicester Transport Model that was updated for the purposes of assessing the mitigation package associated with development at Heyford Park. Flows have been extracted from the model for the following scenarios:
	 2031 Reference Case (RC): This scenario includes background growth and infrastructure improvements to 2031 but excludes the Heyford Park development allocation and associated mitigation. 2031 Do Nothing (DN): This scenario is as the reference case scenario but includes the Heyford Park development allocation (Local Plan Policy Villages 5). There is no mitigation associated with the Heyford Park allocation included in this scenario 2031 Do Something 1 (DS1): This scenario is as the Do Nothing scenario but includes the proposed highway mitigation associated with the Heyford Park development including the proposed two-way bus gate at Middleton Stoney.
	It should be noted that the signalisation of the B430 / Ardley Road junction is not included within the DS1 scenario as mitigation at this junction location was agreed with OCC after the initial SATURN modelling was undertaken. It has been agreed with OCC that the exclusion of mitigation at this location from the model is unlikely to materially impact on the assessment being undertaken within this note.
	Tables 2 and 3 set out the flows extracted for each scenario (RC DN and DS1) along with the forecast impact of the development allocation and its associated mitigation in each location for the 2031 horizon representing the full build out of the development at the end of the adopted Local Plan period. A summary of the impact in each village location is provided below in Tables 2 and 3 representing the AM and PM peak hours respectively.

J:\39304 Heyford Park Tranche 2\Technical\Transport\WP\Technotes\TN035 Rev C Reassessment of Village Impacts ISSUE.docx



 Table 2: Assessment of Development Impact on Local Villages – AM Peak

		AM PEAK										
VILLAGE	LINK / JUNCTION	2031 RC	2031 DN	2031 DN Impact	2031 DN Impact %	2031 DS1	2031 DS1 Impact compared to RC	2031 DS1 Impact compared to RC %	2031 DS1 Impact compared to DN	2031 DS1 Impact compared to DN %		
Fritwell	Ardley Rd west of the B430 / Ardley Rd junction	217	307	90	41.7%	332	115	53.2%	25	8.1%		
Ardley	B430 / Ardley Rd junction	2159	2319	160	7.4%	2225	65	3.0%	-94	-4.1%		
Bucknell	Ardley Rd east of the B430 / Ardley Rd junction	559	625	66	11.8%	600	40	7.2%	-26	-4.1%		
	Ardley Road north of B430 / B4030 junction	1281	1153	-128	-10.0%	2098	817	63.8%	944	81.9%		
Middleton	Bicester Road east of B430 / B4030 junction	895	1007	113	12.6%	1095	200	22.4%	87	8.7%		
Stoney	Oxford Road south of B430 / B4030 junction	1394	1306	-88	-6.3%	1279	-116	-8.3%	-27	-2.1%		
Stoney	Heyford Road west of B430 / B4030 junction	908	1083	175	19.3%	116	-791	-87.2%	-966	-89.3%		
	B430 / B4030 junction	2239	2275	36	1.6%	2294	55	2.5%	19	0.8%		
Kirtlington	A4095 south of the A4095 / Portway junction	801	896	95	11.9%	803	2	0.2%	-93	-10.4%		
Lower Heyford	B4030 / Station Rd / Freehold St junction	626	608	-17	-2.8%	492	-134	-21.4%	-116	-19.1%		
The Bartons	B4030 west of the A4260 / B4030 junction	332	340	8	2.4%	318	-14	-4.2%	-22	-6.5%		
North Aston	Somerton Rd east of the A4260 / Somerton Rd junction	223	306	83	37.3%	355	132	59.4%	49	16.1%		
Somerton	Somerton Rd east of the A4260 / Somerton Rd junction	223	306	83	37.3%	355	132	59.4%	49	16.1%		
	Camp Rd / Station Rd / Somerton Rd junction	426	511	85	20.0%	636	211	49.5%	126	24.6%		
Upper Heyford	Somerton Rd north of the Camp Rd / Station Rd / Somerton Rd junction	321	378	57	17.8%	485	164	51.1%	107	28.3%		
Caulcott	B4030 east of the B4030 / Portway junction	453	361	-92	-20.3%	38	-415	-91.6%	-323	-89.5%		
Chesterton	A4095 east of the A4095 / B430 junction.	466	665	198	42.5%	574	107	23.0%	-91	-13.7%		



Table 3: Assessment of Development Impact on Local Villages – PM Peak

						PM PE	AK			
VILLAGE	LINK / JUNCTION	2031 RC	2031 DN	2031 DN Impact	2031 DN Impact %	2031 DS1	2031 DS1 Impact compared to RC	2031 DS1 Impact compared to RC %	2031 DS1 Impact compared to DN	2031 DS1 Impact compared to DN %
Fritwell	Ardley Rd west of the B430 / Ardley Rd junction	343	410	67	19.6%	356	13	3.7%	-55	-13.3%
Ardley	B430 / Ardley Rd junction	1580	1831	251	15.9%	2082	502	31.8%	251	13.7%
Bucknell	Ardley Rd east of the B430 / Ardley Rd junction	339	571	232	68.3%	438	99	29.1%	-133	-23.3%
	Ardley Road north of B430 / B4030 junction	1041	929	-112	-10.8%	1973	931	89.4%	1044	112.4%
Middleton	Bicester Road east of B430 / B4030 junction	1010	1114	104	10.3%	1135	125	12.4%	5 -55 - % 251 - % -133 - % 1044 1 % 21 - % -903 - % -903 - 5 100 - 5 -23 -	1.9%
Stoney	Oxford Road south of B430 / B4030 junction	1067	1080	13	1.2%	1117	50	4.7%	37	3.4%
Stoney	Heyford Road west of B430 / B4030 junction	872	992	120	13.8%	89	-783	-89.8%	-903	-91.0%
	B430 / B4030 junction	1995	2057	62	3.1%	2157	162	8.1%		4.8%
Kirtlington	A4095 south of the A4095 / Portway junction	811	888	77	9.5%	865	54	6.6%	-23	-2.6%
Lower Heyford	B4030 / Station Rd / Freehold St junction	712	729	16	2.3%	480	-232	-32.6%	-249	-34.1%
The Bartons	B4030 west of the A4260 / B4030 junction	283	315	32	11.2%	254	-30	-10.5%	-62	-19.5%
North Aston	Somerton Rd east of the A4260 / Somerton Rd junction	205	317	112	54.4%	435	230	111.8%	118	37.2%
Somerton	Somerton Rd east of the A4260 / Somerton Rd junction	205	317	112	54.4%	435	230	111.8%	118	37.2%
	Camp Rd / Station Rd / Somerton Rd junction	460	543	84	18.2%	515	55	12.0%	-29	-5.3%
Upper Heyford	Somerton Rd north of the Camp Rd / Station Rd / Somerton Rd junction	366	413	47	12.9%	364	-2	-0.5%	-49	-11.9%
Caulcott	B4030 east of the B4030 / Portway junction	531	477	-54	-10.2%	38	-493	-92.8%	-439	-92.0%
Chesterton	A4095 east of the A4095 / B430 junction.	529	588	59	11.2%	635	107	20.2%	47	8.0%



Fritwell

Flows on the Ardley Road west of the B430 / Ardley Road junction have been used to assess the impact of development on Fritwell.

The modelling undertaken predicts that traffic flows on this link are likely to increase by approximately 40% (90 vehicles two way) in the AM peak hour with development but without highway mitigation and this increases to approximately 50% (115 vehicles two way) when mitigation is included under the DS1 scenario.

In the PM peak an impact of approximately 20% (67 vehicles two way) is predicted with development but without highway mitigation but this reduces to approximately 4% (13 vehicles two way) when the proposed mitigation measures are introduced under the DS1 scenario.

Whilst it is not considered that the quantity of extra traffic predicted (approximately 2 cars per minute in the AM peak) would have a material impact on the operation of the highway in this location, it is considered that this increase may have an impact on the amenity of residents in Fritwell in the AM peak. On this basis it is considered that a contribution towards traffic management measures in this location should be provided.

Ardley

Flows at the B430 / Ardley Road junction have been used to assess the impact of development on Ardley.

The modelling undertaken predicts that traffic flows on this link are likely to increase by approximately 7% (160 vehicles) in the AM peak hour with development but without the proposed highway mitigation but that this reduces to approximately 3% (65 vehicles) when mitigation measures are introduced under the DS1 scenario.

In the PM peak an impact of approximately 16% (250 vehicles) is predicted with development but none of the proposed highway mitigation and this increases to approximately 30% (350 vehicles) when the proposed mitigation measures are introduced under the DS1 scenario.

Highway improvements in the form of traffic signals have been separately identified for the B430 / Ardley Road junction improve the operational performance of the junction under future traffic conditions with the full development of the Heyford Park allocation. On the basis of the predicted impact of development in Ardley in the PM peak it is also considered that a contribution towards traffic management measures in this village location should be provided to compliment the junction specific improvements that are proposed to the B430 / Ardley Road junction.

<u>Bucknell</u>

Flows on the Ardley Road east of the B430 / Ardley Road junction have been used to assess the impact of development on Bucknell.

The modelling undertaken predicts that traffic flows on this link are likely to increase by approximately 12% (65 vehicles two way) with development but no highway mitigation in the AM peak hour. This impact is reduced to approximately 7% (40 vehicles two way) when the full package of mitigation measures is introduced in the DS1 scenario.

In the PM peak an impact of approximately 68% (230 vehicles two-way) is predicted with none of the proposed highway mitigation but this reduces to approximately 30% (100 vehicles) when the proposed mitigation measures are introduced in the DS1 scenario.

It is considered that the highway mitigation measures proposed to support the Heyford Park development (most notably the introduction of the bus gate on the B4030 Heyford Road which is shown to re-assign traffic throughout the local network) are having a beneficial impact in reducing



development related traffic in Bucknell in both peak hours and that the resultant traffic flows of less than 2 vehicles per minute in the PM peak would not have a detrimental impact on the capacity of the highway in this location. It is also considered that the proposed signalisation of the B430 / Ardley Road junction will provide significant benefit to the residents of Bucknell through increasing capacity on the Ardley Road east arm of the junction and allowing vehicles to turn onto the B430 far more easily. On this basis it is not considered that further mitigation measures are required in this location.

Middleton Stoney

Flows at the B430 / B4030 (Middleton Stoney) junction have been used to assess the impact of development on Middleton Stoney. The total flows at the junction have been assessed as well as flows on each arm.

The modelling undertaken indicates that traffic flows at the junction are predicted to increase by approximately 2% (35 vehicles) in the AM peak hour with the development but with no highway mitigation and that this increases to approximately 3% (55 vehicles) when mitigation measures are introduced under the DS1 scenario. When the arms are assessed individually it is predicted that there will be some significant re-assignment of flow with the bus gate operational and the Heyford Road arm closed to through traffic. In the AM peak traffic on the B430 Ardley Road increases by approximately 64% (815 vehicles two way) and increases on the Bicester Road are approximately 22% (200 vehicles two way). Flows are reduced by approximately 87% (-790 vehicles two way) on the B4030 Heyford Road arm and by 8% (-115 vehicles two way) on the B4030 Oxford Road arm.

In the PM peak an impact of approximately 3% (60 vehicles) is predicted without the proposed highway mitigation and this increases to approximately 8% (160 vehicles) when the proposed mitigation measures are introduced under the DS1 scenario. When the arms are assessed individually it is predicted that there will be some significant re-assignment of flow with the bus gate operational and the Heyford Road arm closed to through traffic. In the PM peak traffic on the B430 Ardley Road increases by approximately 90% (930 vehicles two way respectively). Flows on the B4030 Bicester Road arm are predicted to increase by approximately 12% (125 vehicles two way). Flows on the B430 Oxford Road arm are predicted to increase by approximately 5% (50 vehicles two way). Finally flows are reduced by approximately 90% (-780 vehicles two way) on the B4030 Heyford Road arm.

It should be noted that the assessment of flows at the junction has been based on data taken directly from the Bicester SATURN model. This presents a robust assessment of the development impact in this location and doesn't take into account the potential benefits of Travel Plan measures aimed at reducing car borne movement to and from the development as considered in Technical Note 024 Rev D (TN024D) which formed Appendix E of the submitted TA Addendum (Stantec, March 2020). Analysis of the flows set out within TN024D note demonstrates that in the DS1 scenario during the AM peak flows at the junction are predicted to be approximately 5% lower than set out in Table 4. It is considered that if the analysis of the AM peak flows as set out in TN024D were to be replicated in the PM peak predicted flow reductions at this junction in this peak would be similar.

Notwithstanding the above, this assessment demonstrates that there are noticeable changes in movements arising on individual arms at the junction with the introduction of the proposed bus gate on the western arm causing the re-routing of development and background traffic in the wider network. However, the overall changes in total movements through the junction between the RC without development and DN and DS1 (with development and with development and mitigation) scenarios are relatively small representing a change of up to 2 additional movements (two-way) per minute in the PM peak.

Significant measures are proposed by the development that will benefit people living in Middleton Stoney including:

J:\39304 Heyford Park Tranche 2\Technical\Transport\WP\Technotes\TN035 Rev C Reassessment of Village Impacts ISSUE.docx



Implementation of Highway upgrades to the existing junction layout to increase capacity as part of the consented development at Heyford) The introduction of a bus gate on the western arm of the junction which will help to increase overall junction operation in the village an increased frequency bus service (to 15 minutes), between Heyford and Bicester via **Middleton Stoney** the introduction of an off road cycle route between Middleton Stoney and Bicester the introduction of an on road cycle route along quiet roads between Middleton Stoney and the Hevford Park development An HGV restriction on the B4030 Bicester Road. Given the extent of mitigation measures proposed in Middleton Stoney it is not considered that further mitigation measures will be required in this location. Kirtlington Flows on the A4095 south of the A4095 / Portway junction have been used to assess the development impact in Kirtlington. The modelling undertaken predicts that traffic flows on this link are likely to increase by approximately 12% (95 vehicles two way) with development but no highway mitigation in the AM peak hour. This impact is reduced to approximately 0% (2 vehicles two way) when the full package of mitigation measures is introduced under the DS1 scenario. In the PM peak an impact of approximately 10% (75 vehicles two-way) is predicted with development but no highway mitigation and this reduces to approximately 7% (55 vehicles two way) when the proposed mitigation measures are introduced under the DS1 scenario. The impacts of development with proposed mitigation measures in place result in additional traffic movements of less than 1 vehicle per minute (two-way). The impacts of the development are considered negligible and therefore further mitigation measures are not considered to be required in this location. Lower Heyford Flows at the B4030 / Station Road / Freehold Street junction have been used to assess the development impact in Lower Heyford. The modelling undertaken predicts that traffic flows on this link are likely to decrease by approximately 3% (-17 vehicles two way) with development but no highway mitigation in the AM peak hour. The reduction in traffic is further improved when the highway mitigation is added and a decrease of approximately 21% (-135 vehicles two way) is predicted in the DS1 scenario. In the PM peak an impact of approximately 2% (16 vehicles two-way) is predicted with development but no highway mitigation and this is reduced when highway mitigation is added to predict a decrease of approximately 33% (-230 vehicles two way) in the DS1 scenario. The traffic at the B4030 / Station Road / Freehold Street junction is reduced in the AM peak and the impact is low in the PM peak in the DN scenario (with development and no mitigation). Analysis of traffic movements show that development traffic is using this route in the model and that the reductions are attributed to a re-assignment of background traffic, not associated with the development, to an alternative route. This can be brought about due to delay increasing at some point in the network (for example at Middleton Stoney) and traffic is therefore re-allocating to an alternative guicker route through the network. It could also be as a result of re distribution of traffic for example trips that were previously travelling from west of Lower Heyford to Bicester for work may have moved to start their journey at the development and travel to Bicester and are therefore

do not use this route in the Do Nothing scenario.

J:\39304 Heyford Park Tranche 2\Technical\Transport\WP\Technotes\TN035 Rev C Reassessment of Village Impacts ISSUE.docx



In the DS1 scenario the reductions in traffic at the B4030 / Station Road / Freehold Street junction are more pronounced as a consequence of the proposed highway mitigation package (most notably the introduction of the bus gate on the B4030 which effectively closes this road as a through route) which is predicted to reduce background traffic on the B4030 through Lower Heyford significantly.

On the basis that the proposed package of mitigation is providing benefit in Lower Heyford and brings about a reduction in traffic it is not considered that further mitigation measures are required in this location.

The Bartons

Flows on the B4030 west of the A4260 / B4030 (Hopcrofts Holt) junction have been used to assess the development impact on The Bartons.

The modelling undertaken predicts that traffic flows on this link are likely to increase by approximately 2% (8 vehicles two way) with development but no highway mitigation in the AM peak hour. The impact is reduced when highway mitigation is added and a reduction of approximately 4% (-14 vehicles two way) is predicted In the DS1 scenario.

In the PM peak an impact of approximately 11% (32 vehicles two-way) is predicted with development but no highway mitigation. When highway mitigation is added a reduction of approximately 11% (-30 vehicles two way) is predicted in the DS1 scenario.

As the development and its associated highway mitigation package is predicted to reduce traffic through The Bartons due to the bus gate on the B4030 Heyford Road effectively closing this east / west corridor to through traffic it is not considered that further mitigation measures are required in this location.

North Aston and Somerton

Flows on Somerton Road east of the A4260 Somerton Road junction have been used to assess the development impact on North Aston and Somerton.

The modelling undertaken predicts that traffic flows on this link are likely to increase by approximately 37% (83 vehicles two way) with development but no highway mitigation in the AM peak hour. This impact is increased to approximately 59% (130 vehicles two way) when the full package of mitigation measures is introduced in the DS1 scenario.

In the PM peak an impact of approximately 55% (110 vehicles two-way) is predicted with development but no highway mitigation and this is increased to approximately 112% (230 vehicles two way) when the proposed mitigation measures are introduced in the DS1 scenario.

The proportional (%) impact of the development and its associated mitigation package is relatively large in these locations, with the proposed bus gate in the DS1 scenario causing a re-assignment of traffic onto the main highway route through the villages. Whilst it is not considered that the quantity of traffic predicted (approximately an additional 4 cars two-way per minute in the PM peak) would have a material impact on the operation of the highway in these locations, it is considered that this increase may have an impact on the amenity of residents in North Aston and Somerton. On this basis it is considered that a contribution towards the provision of traffic management measures in North Aston and Somerton should be provided. The mitigation proposed in these locations should be focused on discouraging through traffic from using this route.

It is also noted that there will be additional impact on the A4260 / Somerton Road / North Aston Road junction as a result of the proposed mitigation package. The impact at the junction is set out within **Table 4**.

J:\39304 Heyford Park Tranche 2\Technical\Transport\WP\Technotes\TN035 Rev C Reassessment of Village Impacts ISSUE.docx



Table 4: De	velopme	ent impa	ict at A	4260 / So	merton	Road / No	orth Asto	n Road Junction
	2031 RC	2031 DN	2031 DN Impact	2031 DN Impact %	2031 DS1	2031 DS1 Impact compared to RC	2031 DS1 Impact compared to RC %	
AM Peak	<u>1752</u>	1838	86	<u>Ř</u> <u>4.9%</u>	2023	272	<u>15.5%</u>	
PM Peak	1779	1884	105	<u>4.0%</u> 5.9%	<u>1920</u>	141	<u>7.9%</u>	
approximate This impact measures is The modellin	ely 5% (8 is increa introduc	5 vehicle sed to a ed in the taken pr	es) with pproxim e DS1 so edicts th	developm ately 15% cenario. nat traffic t	flows on	no highwa ehicles) w this link a	ay mitigati hen the fu are likely to	ely to increase by on in the AM peak hou Il package of mitigation o increase by
	npact is i	ncrease	d to app	oroximatel	y 8% (14	40 vehicle		tion in the PM peak ne full package of
AM peak an	d 0.23 in hat the in	the PM	peak in	the 2031	test cas	e scenario	o) and the	ty (RFC of 0.36 in the refore it is not t impact on the
Upper Heyfo	ord							
	the Carr	np Road	/ Some	rton Roac				he Somerton Road ve been used to asses
approximate hour with de	ely 20% (velopme s at the j	85 vehic nt but w unction,	les at th ith no hi 165 veł	ne junctior ighway mi	n, 55 veh tigation	iicles two and this ir	way on th ncreases t	o increase by e arm) in the AM peal o approximately 50% way mitigation is
on the arm)	is predic ly 0% - 1	ted with 2% (55	develop vehicles	oment but s at the ju	no hight nction, -2	way mitiga 2 vehicles	ation but t two way	on, 45 vehicles two wan his reduces to betwee on the arm) when the
minute in the location, it is residents in of mitigation	e AM pea conside Upper H such as d as part	ak) would red that eyford. (traffic m of the S	d have a this inci On this b nanagen 106 agr	a material rease may pasis it is nent meas eement fo	impact of have a consider sures in r plannir	on the ope n environi ed that a this location	eration of mental arr contributio on should	ximately 3.5 cars per the highway in this nenity impact on on towards the provisi be provided and this 2446/F that formed pa
Caulcott								
Flows on the development				B4030 / P	ortway ji	unction ha	ave been i	used to assess the
								o decrease by ay mitigation in the A



	peak hour. The impact is further reduced when highway mitigation is included with a reduction of approximately 90% (-415 vehicles two way) predicted in the DS1 scenario.
	In the PM peak a reduction of approximately 10% (-55 vehicles two-way) is predicted with development but no highway mitigation. The impact is reduced further when mitigation is added with a reduction of approximately 90% (-495 vehicles two way) predicted in the DS1 scenario.
	As the development and its associated highway mitigation package (most notably the introduction of the bus gate on the B4030 which effectively closes this road as a through route) is predicted to reduce traffic on the B4030 through Caulcott it is not considered that further mitigation measures are required in this location.
	Chesterton
	Flows on the A4095 east of the A4095 / B430 junction have been used to assess the development impact in Chesterton.
	The modelling undertaken predicts that traffic flows on this link are likely to increase by approximately 43% (200 vehicles two way) with development but no highway mitigation in the AM peak hour. This impact is reduced to approximately 23% (105 vehicles two way) when the full package of mitigation measures is introduced in the DS1 scenario.
	In the PM peak an impact of approximately 11% (60 vehicles two-way) is predicted with development but no highway mitigation and this increases slightly to approximately 20% (105 vehicles two way) when the proposed mitigation measures are introduced in the DS 1 scenario.
	The modelling has predicted that in the AM peak hour, the highway mitigation measures associated with the development are having a significant impact on reducing development related traffic on the A4095 through Chesterton. It is not considered that the quantity of extra traffic predicted (approximately 1.75 vehicles per minute) is within acceptable levels given the A class status of the road and would not have a material impact on the operation of the highway in this location. On this basis it is not considered that mitigation measures are required in this location.
5.	Recommendations and Conclusions
	This Technical Note has set out an assessment of the impact of Heyford Park and its associated highway mitigation measures on the local villages utilising data from the OCC Bicester SATURN Model. The following modelled scenarios have been assessed:
	- 2031 Reference Case (RC): This scenario includes background growth and infrastructure improvements to 2031 but excludes the Heyford Park development allocation and associated mitiantion
	 mitigation. 2031 Do Nothing (DN): This scenario is as the reference case scenario but includes the Heyford Park development allocation. There is no mitigation associated with the Heyford Park allocation included in this scenario
	 2031 Do Something 1 (DS1): This scenario is as the Do Nothing scenario but includes the proposed highway mitigation associated with the Heyford Park development including the proposed two-way bus gate at Middleton Stoney.
	On the basis of the assessment undertaken it is recommended that developer contributions towards village based mitigation measures should be provided in the following locations:
	- Fritwell
	ArdleyNorth Aston
	 Somerton Upper Heyford



It is considered that the mitigation provided should be focused on traffic management with the aim of managing volumes and speed to reduce potential severance effects and improve general safety and amenity in these locations

DOCUMENT ISSUE RECORD

Technical Note No	Rev	Date	Prepared	Checked	Reviewed (Discipline Lead)	Approved (Project Director)
39304/TN035	-	23.06.20	PR	-	-	MW
39304/TN035	Α	25.06.20	PR	-	-	MW
39304/TN035	В	29.06.20	PR	-	-	MW
39304/TN035	С	29.06.20	PR	-	-	MW

This report has been prepared by Stantec UK Limited ('Stantec') on behalf of its client to whom this report is addressed ('Client') in connection with the project described in this report and takes into account the Client's particular instructions and requirements. This report was prepared in accordance with the professional services appointment under which Stantec was appointed by its Client. This report is not intended for and should not be relied on by any third party (i.e. parties other than the Client). Stantec accepts no duty or responsibility (including in negligence) to any party other than the Client and disclaims all liability of any nature whatsoever to any such party in respect of this report. Peter Brett Associates LLP 10 Queen Square Bristol BS1 4NT T: +44 (0)117 332 7840 E: bristol@peterbrett.com



APPENDIX A

J:\39304 Heyford Park Tranche 2\Technical\Transport\WP\Technotes\TN035 Rev C Reassessment of Village Impacts ISSUE.docx

Criteria	Description of Criteria
	Individual flows within 100 v/h of counts for flows of less than 700 v/h
1	Individual flows within 15% of counts for flows of between 700 v/h and 2,700 v/h
	Individual flows within 400 v/h of counts for flows of more than 2,700 v/h
2	GEH less than 5 for individual flows

TN035 Appendix A: Calibration / Validation Acceptability Criteria



APPENDIX B

J:\39304 Heyford Park Tranche 2\Technical\Transport\WP\Technotes\TN035 Rev C Reassessment of Village Impacts ISSUE.docx

TN035 Appendix B: Summary of Model Validation

			AM Peak			PM Peak	
Village	Link / Junction Assessed	Flow Diff (<700 v/h)	% Flow Diff (Flow of 700 – 2700 v/h)	GEH	Flow Diff (<700 v/h)	% Flow Diff (Flow of 700 – 2700 v/h)	GEH
Fritwell	Ardley Rd west of B430 / Ardley Rd junction	Data	not availab	ble for v	alidatior	n assessme	ent
	B430 south of M40, J10		5.3%	1.66		2.2%	0.62
Ardley	B430 north of B430 / Unnamed Rd junction		-20.7%	7.04		-15.2%	4.38
Bucknell	Ardley Rd east of B430 / Ardley Rd junction	Data	not availat	ble for v	alidatior	n assessme	ent
	Ardley Rd north of B430 / B4030 junction		-9.04%	2.58	-129		5.47
Middleton	Bicester Rd east of B430 / B4030 junction	-72		2.92	-8		0.34
Stoney	Oxford Rd south of B430 / B4030 junction		-11.6%	3.28	-125		5.07
	Heyford Rd west of B430 / B4030 junction	-93		5.54	-109		5.06
	B430 / B4030 Junction		-8.8%	3.30		-10.5%	3.74
Kirtlington	A4095 south of A4095 / Portway junction	34		1.73	1		0.05
Lower Heyford	B4030 / Station Rd / Freehold St junction	-202		8.79	-64		2.96
The Bartons	B4030 west of A4260 / B4030 junction	-55		3.00	-15		0.96
North Aston	Somerton Rd east of A4260 / Somerton Rd junction	18		1.54	37		3.28
Somerton	Somerton Rd east of A4260 / Somerton Rd junction	18		1.54	37		3.28
Upper	Somerton Rd north of Camp Rd / Station Rd / Somerton Rd junction	-4		0.33	-8		0.56
Heyford The Bartons North Aston Somerton	Camp Rd / Station Rd / Somerton Rd junction	-33		2.06	-26		1.52
Caulcott	B4030 east of B4030 / Portway junction	-86		5.14	-44		2.66
Chesterton	A4095 east of A4095 / B430 junction	-33		2.31	-67		4.28



APPENDIX C

J:\39304 Heyford Park Tranche 2\Technical\Transport\WP\Technotes\TN035 Rev C Reassessment of Village Impacts ISSUE.docx

TN035: APPENDIX A - REVIEW OF MODEL VALIDATION

		TURN		57014			AM					PM		
LINK / JUNCTION	DATA SOURCE	REFERENCE						% DIFFERENCE	GEH					GEH
	TUDN													2.57
B430 S OF M40,			· · ·											4.71 5.68
J10 (J2c)	With Division			M40 NB On Slip	231	257	26	11.3%	1.66	160	256	96	60.0%	6.66
				B430 S ARM	1027	1081	54	5.3%	1.66	832	850	18	2.2%	0.62
		337	B430 North	B430 South	576	461	-115	-20.0%	5.05	190	163	-27	-14.2%	2.03
B430 N OF ARM	TURN	338	B430 North	Unclassified	154	121	-33	-21.4%	2.81	69	61	-8	-11.6%	0.99
J5	CALIBRATION	339												3.28
		341	Unclassified											1.87 4.38
										I				
			,											3.95 1.45
			,		8		4				9			0.63
		346	Bicester Road	Ardley Road	48	42	-6	-12.5%	0.89	28	26	-2	-7.1%	0.38
				Oxford Road	53	24	-29	-54.7%	4.67	19	13	-6	-31.6%	1.50
				'										0.82 4.81
	CALIBITATION			,					1.23	40	36	-4		0.65
		351	Oxford Road	Heyford Road	7	11	4	57.1%	1.33	7	15	8	114.3%	2.41
STONET (JO)			,	Ardley Road	9	12	3	33.3%	0.93	5	4	-1	-20.0%	0.47
			,									8		0.54
		354	Неутога коаа											2.33 5.47
				BICESTER ROAD ARM		573	-72	-11.2%	2.92	570	562	-8	-1.4%	0.34
				OXFORD ROAD ARM	749	662	-87	-11.6%	3.28	671	546	-125	-18.6%	5.07
				HEYFORD ROAD ARM		235	-93	-28.4%	5.54	519	410	-109	-21.0%	5.06
					1339	1221	-118		3.30	1198	1072	-126		3.74
		474	Port Way	A4095 South	62	57	-5	-8.1%	0.65	48	43	-5	-10.4%	0.74
												_		0.42 0.86
PORTWAT 3 (J19)	CALIBRATION			,								-8		0.88
						403	34	9.2%	1.73	458	459	1	0.2%	0.05
		413	Station Road	B4030 East	30	27	-3	-10.0%	0.56	6	9	3	50.0%	1.10
		414	Station Road	B4030 South	125	45	-80	-64.0%	8.68	86	62	-24	-27.9%	2.79
		415	Station Road	Freehold Street	5	5	0	0.0%	0.00	3	2	-1	-33.3%	0.63
LINK / JUNCTION DATA SOURCE INK / JUNCTION TO A43 East B430 S OF M40, J10 (J2c) TURN VALIDATION 301 A43 East B430 N OF ARM J5 307 B430 North B430 N OF ARM J5 TURN CALIBRATION 337 B430 North B430 N OF ARM J5 TURN CALIBRATION 337 B430 North MIDDLETON STONEY (J6) TURN CALIBRATION 344 Ardley Road MIDDLETON STONEY (J6) TURN CALIBRATION 348 Bicester Road MIDDLETON STONEY (J6) TURN CALIBRATION 348 Bicester Road MIDDLETON STONEY (J6) TURN CALIBRATION 349 Oxford Road MIDDLETON STONEY (J6) TURN CALIBRATION 474 Port Way MIDDLETON STONEY (J6) TURN CALIBRATION 474 Port Way MIDDLETON ST (J13) TURN CALIBRATION 474 Port Way			3	'	4							0.72		
B4030 / STATION	TURN												16.2% -71.4% -27.3% 60.0% 2.2% -14.2% -11.6% -15.9% -16.8% -15.2% -63.0% -11.0% -18.2% -7.1% -31.6% 5.3% -23.0% -10.0% 114.3% -20.0% 3.8% -29.1% -20.8% -1.4% -18.6% -20.7.9% -3.8% -20.7.9% -3.5% -1.4% -1.4% -1.4% -1.1.4% -1.1.4% -20.8% -1.1.7% -66.7% -1.1.7% 66.7% -1.1.7% 66.7% -1.7% -1.7% -1.2.8% -1.3.3% -2.1.4% -2.3.3% -1.3.3% -2.1.4% -2.1.4% <td>0.73 0.53</td>	0.73 0.53
-				Station Road		46		-38.7%	3.73	89	59	-30		3.49
ST (J13)			B4030 South	B4030 East	175	137	-38	-21.7%	3.04	116	114	-2	9 5.1% 1 0.2% 3 50.0% -24 -27.9% -1 -33.3% -3 -15.8% -9 -5.7% -2 -13.3% -30 -33.7% -2 -1.7% 2 66.7% -1 -16.7% 1 100.0% 2 #DIV/0! -64 -12.8% -5 -18.5% -15 -16.3% 9 128.6% 0 0.0% -3 -3.6% -1 -5.3%	0.19
				Freehold Street	2	1	-1	-50.0%	0.82	3	5			1.00
										6	-			0.43 0.82
										0	_	-		2.00
			<u>.</u>	TOTAL JUNCTION	629	427	-202	-32.1%	8.79	501	437	-64		2.96
		439	A4260 Oxford Road	B4030 West	25	27	2	8.0%	0.39	27	22	-5	-18.5%	1.01
				B4030 West	109	92	-17	-15.6%	1.70	92	77			1.63
B4030 WEST				B4030 West	12	1	-11	-91.7%	4.31	7	16	9		2.65
	CALIBRATION													0.00
														0.33 0.23
		110		B4030 W ARM		308	-55	-15.2%	3.00	253	238		16.2%-71.4%-27.3%60.0%2.2%-14.2%-11.6%-15.9%-16.8%-15.2%-63.0%-11.0%-18.2%-7.1%-31.6%5.3%-23.0%-10.0%114.3%-20.0%3.8%-29.1%-20.8%-10.4%3.5%-10.4%3.5%-10.4%3.5%-10.4%3.5%-10.4%3.5%-10.4%3.5%-10.4%3.5%-10.4%3.5%-10.4%3.5%-10.4%3.5%-10.4%3.5%-11.3%-27.9%-33.3%-15.8%-15.8%-15.8%-11.3%-22.0%-13.3%-1.3%-1.3%-1.1%-1.1%-1.1%-1.1%-1.1%-1.1%-1.1%-1.13%-1.13%-1.13%-1.13%-1.13%-1.13%-1.13%-1.13%-1.14%-1.3%-1.13%-1.13%-1.13%-1.13%-1.14%-1.3%-1.13%-1.13%-1.13%-1.13%-1.14%-1.13%-1.14%-1.13% </td <td>0.96</td>	0.96
		425	A4260 Oxford Road North	Somerton Road	17	29	12	70.6%	2 50	29	32	3	10.3%	0.54
				A4260 Oxford Road North	28	20	-8	-28.6%	1.63	46	42	-4		0.60
		429	Somerton Road	A4260 Oxford Road South	24	23	-1	-4.2%	0.21	8	19	11	137.5%	2.99
	CALIBRATION			N Aston Road	26	21	-5	-19.2%	1.03	9	22	13		3.30
								1						3.39 0.82
		455	N ASION NOdu			146	18	14.1%	1.54	109	146	37		3.28
	-	205	Somerton Road	Camp Road	1						46	12		1.90
				Station Road	45	33	-12	-26.7%	1.92	28	24	-4		0.78
-			1	Somerton Road	26	31	5	19.2%	0.94	79	78	-1		0.11
	CALIBRATION		1	Station Road	60	45	-15	-25.0%	2.07	66	50	-16		2.10
ROAD (J11)														1.90 0.39
		400		•						304	278	-26		1.52
		205	Somerton Pood			1	1							1.90
SOMERTON	TURN	presenceprotect<		0.78										
	No. No. <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.11</td>			0.11										
ARM OF (J11)		399	Station Road				_							1.90
				SOMERTON ROAD ARM	153	149	-4	-2.6%	0.33	211	203	-8	-3.8%	0.56
											1			1.41
	TUDN													2.00
					<u> </u>				· · · ·					3.46 1.69
OF (J12)														3.16
				B4030 East				-24.4%	3.25			-8	-7.0%	0.76
				B4030 E ARM	323	237	-86	-26.6%	5.14	295	251	-44	-14.9%	2.66
					3		-3			5	0	-5		3.16
	_,				-									2.83
A4095 EAST ARM		dep matrix by matrix contraction contraction <thcontraction< th=""> contraction</thcontraction<>				-		1.03						
OF (J7)	CALIBRATION													0.20 6.11
I	1	502			-									2.28
		365	A4095 West	A4095 East	56	54	-2	-3.6%	0.27 1	113	90	-23	-20.4%	2.20