

Rachel Tibbetts

From: Andy Selway [REDACTED]
Sent: 03 June 2020 19:45
To: Planning
Cc: Andrew Lewis
Subject: Further comments to be added for 18/00825/HYBRID (2 Hart Walk)

Hi Andrew

I commented on this online; but would like to add more, since speaking to other residents. Please can this be added to our comments online and could you email me back to confirm that this has been included.

I am 2 Hart Walk. (Andy Selway)

It seems clear that many people have either not received the letter in terms of the planning application (so don't even know what's happening or don't know they have an option of commenting), or do not understand what is being proposed, due to the complexity of the supporting documents.

It's also coming to light that the plans are forever changing and people do not know what the original planning looked like and how anything has changed or being proposed. Everyone seems to have a different idea, based on when they moved here of what the final plans look like.

Therefore, there is a lot of confusion and I don't think this is allowing for a meaningful consultation, as people do not know how this is going to affect the community or themselves personally. So, I don't feel that the consultation is very genuine at the moment. I don't also feel this is the right time to be doing this in light of the COVID-19 situation, as people have other things on their minds, so it feels like this is not getting the proper attention that it needs to have. It's almost a little bit stealthy feeling.

A lot of people feel this needs to be addressed at a Townhall type meeting, so that everyone can be given the same information and be able to ask questions and then put forward any objections and comments based on an actual Understanding of the facts. I think that is the fairest way to do this.

I also forgot to mention that we already get sewage smells on our property, from the existing sewage works. Which will only increase with more houses being built. (For Parcel 17) so we object on that basis.

There has also been some confusion over the building restrictions with the radius around the sewage works. I've also noticed and also have additional concerns that if the current field behind our property is turned into a sports pitch, people will start parking on the street, and we already suffer greatly from school parking down Wellington Road, which is very dangerous already due to the narrow road. This is therefore in addition to our objection over increased noise, increased light pollution, increased traffic and a high impact on local wildlife that a sports pitch/centre will have.(for parcel 18)

Please can you include this in your final comments for 2 Hart Walk.

We are also unsure what parcel 34 is going to be used for (proposed) - so cannot unfortunately comment at this time on this.

Kind regards,

Andy Selway

Sent from my iPhone.

> On 2 Jun 2020, at 15:55, planning@cherwell-dc.gov.uk wrote:

>

> Dear Andrew Selway,

> Thank you for your email making the following comments on application number 18/00825/HYBRID:

>

> "We object to floodlighting being used on the proposed playing field (site 18) as this facility will potentially be used all through the evenings till late, with both light and noise pollution directly our property which is adjacent to the field. This will also add to the current high levels of light pollution in the local residential area, plus the increase of noise (both in terms of volume and frequency) will likely include weekends from early morning, until late. It will be non-stop noise, similar to the one at Kingsmere.

>

> I am guessing it will be astroturfed, which as opposed to recreational field space will have a detrimental effect on local wildlife in this area - including wild birds, the many bats and small mammals that use the field and supporting hedges for food - this will totally change the current ecosystem. I also believe that there was an initial plan for a community orchard or allotments (which would make more sense as it is currently farmland) and I believe there was supposed to be a consensus with residents about this being an option for use - which has not been done. Therefore, we feel this option should also be explored prior to any decision being made.

>

> This allotment / community orchard will therefore have a good sustainable use that will benefit the community, the local wildlife and will not contribute to noise or light pollution or attract the level of traffic sports fields will attract. Surely there is land for a sports field away from residential houses?

>

> We also object to the fact that the over 50s retirement properties will be bang next to this, which isn't fair to that demographic. Especially given the fact they were assured that land would not be built upon!

>

> A sports field with added noise, light pollution and additional traffic will benefit Cherwell yes, but us residents will have to bear the brunt of this and I feel will not benefit as much as non-residents and will be to our detriment.

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

> Your comments have been forwarded onto the planning officer dealing with this application, who will take your views into consideration when determining this application. We are sorry but the planning officer will not be able to respond directly back to you regarding any questions which you may have raised in your correspondence.

>

> You can view the progress of this application at <https://planningregister.cherwell.gov.uk/Planning/Display/18/00825/HYBRID>.

>

> Thank you for taking the time and trouble to let us have your views on this planning application.

>

> Planning Support Team

>

>

>

>

> This e-mail (including any attachments) may be confidential and may contain legally privileged information. You should not disclose its contents to any other person. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately.

>

> Whilst the Council has taken every reasonable precaution to minimise the risk of computer software viruses, it cannot accept liability for any damage which you may sustain as a result of such viruses. You should carry out your own virus checks before opening the e-mail (and/or any attachments).

>

> Unless expressly stated otherwise, the contents of this e-mail represent only the views of the sender and does not impose any legal obligation upon the Council or commit the Council to any course of action..