

# Comment for planning application 18/00825/HYBRID

<b>Application Number</b>	18/00825/HYBRID
<b>Location</b>	Heyford Park Camp Road Upper Heyford Bicester OX25 5HD
<b>Proposal</b>	<p>A hybrid planning application consisting of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• demolition of buildings and structures as listed in Schedule 1;</li><li>• outline planning permission for up to: 1,175 new dwellings (Class C3); 60 close care dwellings (Class C2/C3); 929 m2 of retail (Class A1); 670 m2 comprising a new medical centre (Class D1); 35,175 m2 of new employment buildings, (comprising up to 6,330 m2 Class B1a, 13,635 m2 B1b/c, 9,250 m2 Class B2, and 5,960 m2 B8); 2,415 m2 of new school building on 2.4 ha site for a new school (Class D1); 925 m2 of community use buildings (Class D2); and 515 m2 of indoor sports, if provided on-site (Class D2); 30m in height observation tower with zipwire with ancillary visitor facilities of up of 100 m2 (Class D1/A1/A3); 1,000 m2 energy facility/infrastructure with a stack height of up to 24m (sui generis); 2,520 m2 additional education facilities (buildings and associated external infrastructure) at Buildings 73, 74 and 583 for education use (Class D1); Creation of areas of Open Space, Sports Facilities, Public Park and other green infrastructure.</li><li>• the change of use of the following buildings and areas: Buildings 3036, 3037, 3038, 3039, 3040, 3041, and 3042 for employment use (Class B1b/c, B2, B8); Buildings 217, 3052, 3053, 3054, 3055, 3102, and 3136 for employment use (Class B8); Buildings 2010 and 3009 for filming and heritage activities (Sui Generis/Class D1); Buildings 73 and 2004 (Class D1); Buildings 391, 1368, 1443, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008 and 2009 (Class D1/D2 with ancillary A1-A5 use); Building 340 (Class D1, D2, A3); 20.3ha of hardstanding for car processing (Sui Generis); and &gt; 76.6ha for filming activities, including 2.1 ha for filming set construction and event parking (Sui Generis);</li><li>• the continuation of use of areas, buildings and structures already benefiting from previous planning permissions, as specified in Schedule 2.</li><li>• associated infrastructure works, including surface water attenuation provision and upgrading Chilgrove Drive and the junction with Camp Road.</li></ul>
<b>Case Officer</b>	Andrew Lewis
<b>Organisation Name</b>	
<b>Name</b>	Elizabeth Carter
<b>Address</b>	3 Adams Cottages, Heyford Road, Somerton, Bicester, OX25 6LJ
<b>Type of Comment</b>	Objection
<b>Type</b>	neighbour
<b>Comments</b>	<p>2 Adams Cottages Somerton, Bicester, Oxon OX25 6LJ 3rd June 2020 Dear Sir / Madam, Re: Dorchester Living Limited Upper Heyford planning application 18/00825/HYBRID I'm disappointed to see such development without due care and consideration to the 'visual intrusion' and 'loss of tranquility' of Somerton Village as specified in the MID-CHEWELLNEIGHBOURHOODPLAN 2018-2031. Please find my comments below: Strategic Planting and Noise Management. I would like to see a lot more strategic planting along boundary fences to minimise noise and improve the visual appearance. To be effective this needs to be very dense, ideally this should be happening immediately as it will take time to get established. I also request that you look at other measures to contain noise such as earth berms and sound walls. This should be on the Heyford Park side. Traffic Mitigation. I would like to see the Somerton to Ardley road speed, restricted to 40 MPH. In Somerton village we have already sited a SID (funded by the PC) to reduce speeds and this has reduced average speeds. I would like to see funding for more of these in our village. Most of our village roads have no pavement, which presents its own set of problems for pedestrians' safety, having to navigate the road to the Play Park or the Village Hall with small children for example is a great concern for our residents. With the promotion of a leisure route to Somerton, we only envisage more pedestrians tackling these dangerous roads, while we welcome walkers through the village we would like to seek help from experts in how to address this issue and ask for the funding to support this. This could be paid by the applicant, not by the residents affected. Somerton no longer has any public transport service due to lack of council support. As a mitigation measure to the additional traffic, supporting sustainable travel in the village such as a bus route to meet resident requirements could be part of a solution. I am not sure that a 30m high observation tower and zip wire is really necessary for the development, as it seems to be making Heyford Park into a cold war theme park. Views of the former aerodrome from above can be viewed by other means without constructing an observation tower. This will be visible for miles around, making light</p>

and noise pollution to the surrounding countryside disturbing those living nearby as well as the wildlife. The proposed leisure routes from the Heyford Park into Somerton are exploiting existing footpaths at the expense of the parish and landowners. Somerton does not have the resources to manage large influxes of people to cope with the issues which come with it, such as wear and tear, unsocial behaviour, litter etc. Somerton parish has been exploited by Heyford Park, such as a large chunk of the parish incorporated into the development for the benefit of Heyford Park with no compensation. This is the opportunity for the parish to receive something of value to the community in perpetuity to compensate for this. Filming Area 27; I have concerns with regard to the filming, i.e. Noise and light pollution and excessive traffic of the perimeter road not only during filming, but also during the set-up and taking down, heavy traffic, loud generators and bright lighting. Previous filming activity has already demonstrated enormous effect on the rural nature of the adjacent area. Excessive noise should be kept to a minimum; There should be restrictions placed on filming activities, so that set up times and filming outside of normal working hours is kept to a minimum. Change of use of hangers "26" from English Heritage to Storage: our concerns regarding this relate to the potential for noise and light disturbance. I hope that you consider my views in your decision. Your faithfully Elizabeth Carter

**Received Date**

03/06/2020 19:35:00

**Attachments**