

# Comment for planning application 18/00825/HYBRID

<b>Application Number</b>	18/00825/HYBRID
<b>Location</b>	Heyford Park Camp Road Upper Heyford Bicester OX25 5HD
<b>Proposal</b>	<p>A hybrid planning application consisting of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• demolition of buildings and structures as listed in Schedule 1;</li><li>• outline planning permission for up to: 1,175 new dwellings (Class C3); 60 close care dwellings (Class C2/C3); 929 m2 of retail (Class A1); 670 m2 comprising a new medical centre (Class D1); 35,175 m2 of new employment buildings, (comprising up to 6,330 m2 Class B1a, 13,635 m2 B1b/c, 9,250 m2 Class B2, and 5,960 m2 B8); 2,415 m2 of new school building on 2.4 ha site for a new school (Class D1); 925 m2 of community use buildings (Class D2); and 515 m2 of indoor sports, if provided on-site (Class D2); 30m in height observation tower with zipwire with ancillary visitor facilities of up of 100 m2 (Class D1/A1/A3); 1,000 m2 energy facility/infrastructure with a stack height of up to 24m (sui generis); 2,520 m2 additional education facilities (buildings and associated external infrastructure) at Buildings 73, 74 and 583 for education use (Class D1); Creation of areas of Open Space, Sports Facilities, Public Park and other green infrastructure.</li><li>• the change of use of the following buildings and areas: Buildings 3036, 3037, 3038, 3039, 3040, 3041, and 3042 for employment use (Class B1b/c, B2, B8); Buildings 217, 3052, 3053, 3054, 3055, 3102, and 3136 for employment use (Class B8); Buildings 2010 and 3009 for filming and heritage activities (Sui Generis/Class D1); Buildings 73 and 2004 (Class D1); Buildings 391, 1368, 1443, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008 and 2009 (Class D1/D2 with ancillary A1-A5 use); Building 340 (Class D1, D2, A3); 20.3ha of hardstanding for car processing (Sui Generis); and &gt; 76.6ha for filming activities, including 2.1 ha for filming set construction and event parking (Sui Generis);</li><li>• the continuation of use of areas, buildings and structures already benefiting from previous planning permissions, as specified in Schedule 2.</li><li>• associated infrastructure works, including surface water attenuation provision and upgrading Chilgrove Drive and the junction with Camp Road.</li></ul>
<b>Case Officer</b>	Andrew Lewis
<b>Organisation Name</b>	
<b>Name</b>	Ed Devine
<b>Address</b>	8 Wellesley Close, Upper Heyford, Bicester, OX25 5AP
<b>Type of Comment</b>	Objection
<b>Type</b>	neighbour
<b>Comments</b>	<p>I am reluctantly objecting to this application. Whilst the vast majority of the application is very positive; there are some finer details that I feel could have a material impact on the quality of life of those neighbouring the proposed Sports Park that I feel strongly cannot be overlooked. I've listed my concerns and cause for objection below; please note that this is in relation to only the specific area - the Sports Field and the impact on it's neighbouring roads &amp; residents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1) Parking - There doesn't appear to be adequate parking provision at the sports fields to cope with the likely traffic at peak times. (assuming the sports field facility is used to it's capacity) Sports/Playing Fields across the country are often quickly, and rightly, adopted by local sports teams &amp; schools who attract parents, supporters and participants. If adequate parking is not provided on site, patrons will find a nearby alternative and with no parking restrictions on any of the surrounding roads I expect that this will be the likely solution, turning quiet cul-de-sacs into part time car parks which will have a negative impact on the lifestyle of all those living in Wellington Rd, Wellesley Close, Hart Walk, Raven Close &amp; Heyford Leys. This is an issue that needs to be addressed at the planning stage before traffic becomes a real pain for our small quiet village taking the shine off what should be a lovely thing and a brilliant community asset.</li><li>2) Road Access - I don't think there's enough, what is the capacity of the road and how will this cope with the traffic at peak times without causing queues along camp road and into the newly developed space? Has there been a comparison completed at traffic volumes at peak times at Chesterton sports complex, Kingsmere or the Bicester sports centre.</li><li>3) Flood lights - What is the specification of the flood lights being installed? When we bought our home we chose it because of its quiet out of the way location, the light pollution omitted from the floodlights would likely be unacceptable for many of the villagers in the homes neighbouring the sports field.</li></ol>
<b>Received Date</b>	02/06/2020 19:27:36
<b>Attachments</b>	