

# Comment for planning application 18/00825/HYBRID

<b>Application Number</b>	18/00825/HYBRID
<b>Location</b>	Heyford Park Camp Road Upper Heyford Bicester OX25 5HD
<b>Proposal</b>	<p>A hybrid planning application consisting of: • demolition of buildings and structures as listed in Schedule 1; • outline planning permission for up to: 1,175 new dwellings (Class C3); 60 close care dwellings (Class C2/C3); 929 m2 of retail (Class A1); 670 m2 comprising a new medical centre (Class D1); 35,175 m2 of new employment buildings, (comprising up to 6,330 m2 Class B1a, 13,635 m2 B1b/c, 9,250 m2 Class B2, and 5,960 m2 B8); 2,415 m2 of new school building on 2.4 ha site for a new school (Class D1); 925 m2 of community use buildings (Class D2); and 515 m2 of indoor sports, if provided on-site (Class D2); 30m in height observation tower with zipwire with ancillary visitor facilities of up of 100 m2 (Class D1/A1/A3); 1,000 m2 energy facility/infrastructure with a stack height of up to 24m (sui generis); 2,520 m2 additional education facilities (buildings and associated external infrastructure) at Buildings 73, 74 and 583 for education use (Class D1); Creation of areas of Open Space, Sports Facilities, Public Park and other green infrastructure. • the change of use of the following buildings and areas: Buildings 3036, 3037, 3038, 3039, 3040, 3041, and 3042 for employment use (Class B1b/c, B2, B8); Buildings 217, 3052, 3053, 3054, 3055, 3102, and 3136 for employment use (Class B8); Buildings 2010 and 3009 for filming and heritage activities (Sui Generis/Class D1); Buildings 73 and 2004 (Class D1); Buildings 391, 1368, 1443, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008 and 2009 (Class D1/D2 with ancillary A1-A5 use); Building 340 (Class D1, D2, A3); 20.3ha of hardstanding for car processing (Sui Generis); and &gt; 76.6ha for filming activities, including 2.1 ha for filming set construction and event parking (Sui Generis); • the continuation of use of areas, buildings and structures already benefiting from previous planning permissions, as specified in Schedule 2. • associated infrastructure works, including surface water attenuation provision and upgrading Chilgrove Drive and the junction with Camp Road.</p>
<b>Case Officer</b>	Andrew Lewis
<b>Organisation Name</b>	
<b>Name</b>	Andrew Selway
<b>Address</b>	2 Hart Walk, Upper Heyford, Bicester, OX25 5AF
<b>Type of Comment</b>	Objection
<b>Type</b>	neighbour
<b>Comments</b>	<p>We object to floodlighting being used on the proposed playing field (site 18) as this facility will potentially be used all through the evenings till late, with both light and noise pollution directly our property which is adjacent to the field. This will also add to the current high levels of light pollution in the local residential area, plus the increase of noise (both in terms of volume and frequency) will likely include weekends from early morning, until late. It will be non-stop noise, similar to the one at Kingsmere. I am guessing it will be astrotrurfed, which as opposed to recreational field space will have a detrimental effect on local wildlife in this area - including wild birds, the many bats and small mammals that use the field and supporting hedges for food - this will totally change the current ecosystem. I also believe that there was an initial plan for a community orchard or allotments (which would make more sense as it is currently farmland) and I believe there was supposed to be a consensus with residents about this being an option for use - which has not been done. Therefore, we feel this option should also be explored prior to any decision being made. This allotment / community orchard will therefore have a good sustainable use that will benefit the community, the local wildlife and will not contribute to noise or light pollution or attract the level of traffic sports fields will attract. Surely there is land for a sports field away from residential houses? We also object to the fact that the over 50s retirement properties will be bang next to this, which isn't fair to that demographic. Especially given the fact they were assured that land would not be built upon! A sports field with added noise, light pollution and additional traffic will benefit Cherwell yes, but us residents will have to bear the brunt of this and I feel will not benefit as much as non-residents and will be to our detriment.</p>
<b>Received Date</b>	02/06/2020 15:55:29
<b>Attachments</b>	