

Comment for planning application 18/00825/HYBRID

Application Number	18/00825/HYBRID
Location	Heyford Park Camp Road Upper Heyford Bicester OX25 5HD
Proposal	<p>A hybrid planning application consisting of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• demolition of buildings and structures as listed in Schedule 1;• outline planning permission for up to: 1,175 new dwellings (Class C3); 60 close care dwellings (Class C2/C3); 929 m2 of retail (Class A1); 670 m2 comprising a new medical centre (Class D1); 35,175 m2 of new employment buildings, (comprising up to 6,330 m2 Class B1a, 13,635 m2 B1b/c, 9,250 m2 Class B2, and 5,960 m2 B8); 2,415 m2 of new school building on 2.4 ha site for a new school (Class D1); 925 m2 of community use buildings (Class D2); and 515 m2 of indoor sports, if provided on-site (Class D2); 30m in height observation tower with zipwire with ancillary visitor facilities of up of 100 m2 (Class D1/A1/A3); 1,000 m2 energy facility/infrastructure with a stack height of up to 24m (sui generis); 2,520 m2 additional education facilities (buildings and associated external infrastructure) at Buildings 73, 74 and 583 for education use (Class D1); Creation of areas of Open Space, Sports Facilities, Public Park and other green infrastructure.• the change of use of the following buildings and areas: Buildings 3036, 3037, 3038, 3039, 3040, 3041, and 3042 for employment use (Class B1b/c, B2, B8); Buildings 217, 3052, 3053, 3054, 3055, 3102, and 3136 for employment use (Class B8); Buildings 2010 and 3009 for filming and heritage activities (Sui Generis/Class D1); Buildings 73 and 2004 (Class D1); Buildings 391, 1368, 1443, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008 and 2009 (Class D1/D2 with ancillary A1-A5 use); Building 340 (Class D1, D2, A3); 20.3ha of hardstanding for car processing (Sui Generis); and > 76.6ha for filming activities, including 2.1 ha for filming set construction and event parking (Sui Generis);• the continuation of use of areas, buildings and structures already benefiting from previous planning permissions, as specified in Schedule 2.• associated infrastructure works, including surface water attenuation provision and upgrading Chilgrove Drive and the junction with Camp Road.
Case Officer	Andrew Lewis
Organisation Name	
Name	Prim clare
Address	Stone Byre,Water Street,Somerton,Bicester,OX25 6NE
Type of Comment	Comment
Type	neighbour
Comments	<p>Stone Byre, Water Street, Somerton, Bicester, Oxon, OX25 6NE 28th May 2020 Dear Sir / Madam, Re: Dorchester Living Limited Upper Heyford planning application 18/00825/HYBRID</p> <p>Further to the above application our views and observations are: The additional housing, employment and visitors to the heritage areas will mean more traffic going through our small village of Somerton with narrow roads and no pavements. All mitigation measures such as traffic calming and signage perhaps could be paid by the applicant, not by the residents affected. Somerton no longer has any public transport service due to lack of council support. As a mitigation measure to the additional traffic, supporting sustainable travel in the village and at Heyford Park (HP) such as a bus route to meet resident requirements could be part of a solution. I am not sure that a 30m high observation tower and zip wire is really necessary for the development, as it seems to be making HP into a cold war theme park. Views of the former aerodrome from above can be viewed by other means without constructing an observation tower. This will be visible for miles around, making light and noise pollution to the surrounding countryside disturbing those living nearby as well as the wildlife. The proposed leisure routes from HP into Somerton are exploiting existing footpaths at the expense of the parish residents and landowners. Somerton parish does not have the resources to manage large influxes of people to cope with the issues which come with it, such as wear and tear on the footpaths, illegal motorised vehicles, unsocial behaviour, litter etc. This is likely to result in making them unsafe to use with current parish resources, which are voluntary. Somerton parish seems like it is being exploited by HP, for example the large chunk of our parish being incorporated into this new parish, even though Somerton residents objected. This is the opportunity for the parish to receive something of value to the community in perpetuity to compensate for the parish's loss and intrusion of HP's activities. I hope that you consider our views in your decision. Your faithfully Mrs Primrose Clare Mr Neil Clare</p>

Received Date

28/05/2020 23:00:18

Attachments