**CHERWELL DISTRICT COUNCIL**

**TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1990CONSULTATION - PLANNING APPLICATION**Case officer : Date : 24/06/18

**Application No:** 18/00825/HYBRID **Applicant's Name: Proposal:** Demolition of buildings and structures as listed in Schedule 1; Outline planning permission for up to 1,175 new dwellings (Class C3); 60 close care dwellings (Class C2/C3); 929 m2 of retail (Class A1); 670 m2 comprising a new medical centre (Class D1); 35,175 m2 of new employment buildings, (comprising up to 6,330 m2 Class B1a, 13,635 m2 B1b/c, 9,250 m2 Class B2, and 5,960 m2 B8); 2.4 ha site for a new school (Class D1); 925 m2 of community use buildings (Class D2); and 515 m2 of indoor sports, if provided on-site (Class D2); 30m in height observation tower with zip-wire with ancillary visitor facilities of up of 100 m2 (Class D1/A1/A3); 1,000 m2 energy facility/infrastructure with a stack height of up to 24m (sui generis); 2,520 m2 additional education facilities (buildings and associated external infrastructure) at Buildings 73, 74 and 583 for education use (Class D1); creation of areas of Open Space, Sports Facilities, Public Park and other green infrastructure; Change of Use of the following buildings and areas: Buildings 357 and 370 for office use (Class B1a); Buildings 3036, 3037, 3038, 3039, 3040, 3041, and 3042 for employment use (Class B1b/c, B2, B8); Buildings 217, 3102, 3136, 3052, 3053, 3054, and 3055 for employment use (Class B8); Buildings 2010, 3008, and 3009 for filming and heritage activities (Sui Generis/Class D1); Buildings 2004, 2005 and 2006 for education use (Class D1); Buildings 366, 391, 1368, 1443, 2007, 2008 and 2009 (Class D1/D2 with ancillary A1-A5 use); Building 340 (Class D1, D2, A3); 20.3ha of hardstanding for car processing (Sui Generis); and 76.6ha for filming activities (Sui Generis); the continuation of use of areas, buildings and structures already benefiting from previous planning permissions, as specified in Schedule 2; associated infrastructure works including surface water attenuation provision and upgrading Chilgrove Drive and the junction with Camp **Location: Heyford park, Camp Road Upper heyford Parish: Lower HeyfordExpected Decision Level:**

**\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

Please complete ONE of the following sections and return to Head of Planning and DevelopmentServices at Bodicote House within **21 days** of date of consultation letter :

**Lower Heyford Parish Council wishes to object to the application and makes the following comments :**

The delivery of a vast package of documents for consideration by all parish councillors within a short period of time (even with the requested extension of time) has been an extremely difficult task, particularly in view of the fact that none of our councillors are suitably qualified to comment.

The whole development at Heyford Park is having, and will continue to have, a massive impact on the quality of life of residents of Lower Heyford. One of the major problems is that the infrastructure of the surrounding area is simply not adequate to deal with the influx of traffic, both of construction vehicles and domestic vehicles of the huge number of new residents. Station Road and Rousham Bridge were not designed for large numbers of vehicles and the bridge in particular is suffering as a result and is currently the subject of an investigation by the County Council and English Heritage as it is a listed structure. Many residents are complaining that their properties are damaged as a result of vibrations from HGVs .

The recent failure of Lower Heyford to secure S106 monies in favour of Upper Heyford, which is suffering far less of an impact, is ludicrous and Dorchester Living appear to have little or no consideration of the people who have lived here for years. They are simply interested in making a vast profit out of the development.

Traffic calming must be a prime consideration should this application be permitted, in particular:

* Traffic calming measures on Station Road, Lower Heyford
* Traffic calming measures at the junction of Freehold Street/B4030
* A Roundabout at the junction of Chilgrove Road where the HGV’s are being shown to turn off in future – this is a dangerous junction and therefore a roundabout would lessen  the danger – this is needed with the ever growing volume of traffic.
* A Roundabout also at the junction on the Middleton Stoney Road where Heyford Park traffic meets the B4030 – this has claimed many accidents and with ever growing traffic this also should be addressed.

Signed: Cathy Fleet , Lower Heyford Parish Clerk Date: 24/06/18On behalf of .........LOWER HEYFORD.........................(Parish Council): **C:\docume~l \caroly~ I Mocals-x I \temp\ufm 132.rtf**