**From:** Public Access DC Comments   
**Sent:** 17 June 2018 15:18  
**To:** Public Access DC Comments  
**Subject:** Comments for Planning Application 18/00825/HYBRID

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 3:18 PM on 17 Jun 2018 from Mrs Primrose Clare.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Application Summary** | |
| **Address:** | Heyford Park Camp Road Upper Heyford Bicester OX25 5HD |
| **Proposal:** | Demolition of buildings and structures as listed in Schedule 1; Outline planning permission for up to 1,175 new dwellings (Class C3); 60 close care dwellings (Class C2/C3); 929 m2 of retail (Class A1); 670 m2 comprising a new medical centre (Class D1); 35,175 m2 of new employment buildings, (comprising up to 6,330 m2 Class B1a, 13,635 m2 B1b/c, 9,250 m2 Class B2, and 5,960 m2 B8); 2.4 ha site for a new school (Class D1); 925 m2 of community use buildings (Class D2); and 515 m2 of indoor sports, if provided on-site (Class D2); 30m in height observation tower with zip-wire with ancillary visitor facilities of up of 100 m2 (Class D1/A1/A3); 1,000 m2 energy facility/infrastructure with a stack height of up to 24m (sui generis); 2,520 m2 additional education facilities (buildings and associated external infrastructure) at Buildings 73, 74 and 583 for education use (Class D1); creation of areas of Open Space, Sports Facilities, Public Park and other green infrastructure; Change of Use of the following buildings and areas: Buildings 357 and 370 for office use (Class B1a); Buildings 3036, 3037, 3038, 3039, 3040, 3041, and 3042 for employment use (Class B1b/c, B2, B8); Buildings 217, 3102, 3136, 3052, 3053, 3054, and 3055 for employment use (Class B8); Buildings 2010, 3008, and 3009 for filming and heritage activities (Sui Generis/Class D1); Buildings 2004, 2005 and 2006 for education use (Class D1); Buildings 366, 391, 1368, 1443, 2007, 2008 and 2009 (Class D1/D2 with ancillary A1-A5 use); Building 340 (Class D1, D2, A3); 20.3ha of hardstanding for car processing (Sui Generis); and 76.6ha for filming activities (Sui Generis); the continuation of use of areas, buildings and structures already benefiting from previous planning permissions, as specified in Schedule 2; associated infrastructure works including surface water attenuation provision and upgrading Chilgrove Drive and the junction with Camp Road |
| **Case Officer:** | Andrew Lewis |
| [Click for further information](https://www.publicaccess.cherwell.gov.uk/online-applications/centralDistribution.do?caseType=Application&keyVal=P8IT8PEMMSY00) | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Customer Details** | |
| **Name:** | Mrs Primrose Clare |
| **Email:** |  |
| **Address:** | Stone Byre, Water Street, Somerton, Bicester OX25 6NE |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Comments Details** | |
| **Commenter Type:** | Neighbour |
| **Stance:** | Customer objects to the Planning Application |
| **Reasons for comment:** |  |
| **Comments:** | Planning application by Dorchester Living Ltd for 1125 dwellings 18/00825/Hybrid  Dear Sir / Madam, We would like to object to the above planning application, for the following reasons: 1. The Parish Boundary was moved to enlarge the new Parish of Heyford Park against the Somerton resident's objections. This means that the whole development site is now far closer to dwellings in the Parish, and no compensation was given to the Parish for the benefit of the Heyford Park development. 2. The proposed development is 11-12 times larger than Somerton, though no section 106 funds have been given to the village. This is a huge impact on Somerton, for the benefit of the developers, it is unfair. 3. Somerton has very few footpaths alongside the highway. Pedestrians have to use the highway, as there is no verge, but high embankments or walls either side, making it dangerous. With the increase in traffic numbers and speed mostly due to the Heyford Park development, this is getting more dangerous. 4. Somerton is having to pay for it's own traffic calming initiatives, for the developer to gain from developing Heyford Park. This is not fair on Somerton residents, the developer should fund this to support their development. 5. The facilities which will be on the new development, will no longer be accessible to residents who can't drive due to the bus service being removed. This could also be funded by the developers, by providing a bus service.  Regards  Mr N & Mrs P Clare |
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