**From:** Hamer, Katherine - E&E [<mailto:Katherine.Hamer@Oxfordshire.gov.uk>]   
**Sent:** 09 November 2017 16:29  
**To:** Caroline Ford  
**Cc:** White, Joy - E&E  
**Subject:** FW: 16/00465/DISC Bicester Eco Town Exemplar Site Banbury Road Bicester

Hi Caroline,

I understand that Joy has confirmed things with Penny Lane in our bridges team, however, please see email from Mike Smith below too.

Sorry, if any confusion was caused with my response.

Kind regards,

**Kt Hamer**

*Transport Planner (Cherwell and West Locality)*

*Communities Directorate*

*Oxfordshire County Council*

*County Hall*

*New Road*

*Oxford, OX1 1ND*

*Mob: 07775 025229*

[katherine.hamer@oxfordshire.gov.uk](mailto:katherine.hamer@oxfordshire.gov.uk)

**Save money and paper - do you really need to print this email?**

**From:** Smith, Mike - E&E   
**Sent:** 09 November 2017 16:25  
**To:** Hamer, Katherine - E&E  
**Subject:** RE: 16/00465/DISC Bicester Eco Town Exemplar Site Banbury Road Bicester

Hi Kt,

Condition 84 states that the bridge designs should be approved by the local planning authority. I doubt CDC have anyone in house that is qualified to carry out this approval.

Even though the structures are not being adopted by OCC they will be used by the general public, so I would have expected Martin to have reviewed the information as if they were to be adopted, hence his comments.

It looks like Martin has passed on his professional opinion to say the highest standards should still apply.

Regards

**Mike Smith**

Senior Engineer (Road Agreements)

Planning and Place

Communities

County Hall

New Road

Oxford

OX1 1ND

Tel 07881 311704

[www.oxfordshire.gov.uk](http://www.oxfordshire.gov.uk)

**From:** Hamer, Katherine - E&E   
**Sent:** 09 November 2017 14:43  
**To:** Smith, Mike - E&E  
**Subject:** FW: 16/00465/DISC Bicester Eco Town Exemplar Site Banbury Road Bicester

Hi Mike,

I have just had a query over my response to the above planning application to discharge condition 84 of planning app 10/01780/HYBRID.

I think I must have been advised on this by Martin Brain before he left.  Is he correct…should the bridges have been audited, despite us not adopting them?  They have been built now anyway, so it would all be in retrospect now anyhow!

Many thanks,

**Kt Hamer**

*Transport Planner (Cherwell and West Locality)*

*Communities Directorate*

*Oxfordshire County Council*

*County Hall*

*New Road*

*Oxford, OX1 1ND*

*Mob: 07775 025229*

[katherine.hamer@oxfordshire.gov.uk](mailto:katherine.hamer@oxfordshire.gov.uk)

**Save money and paper - do you really need to print this email?**

**From:** Hamer, Katherine - E&E   
**Sent:** 16 February 2017 12:27  
**To:** Caroline Ford  
**Cc:** Planning Consultations - E&E; Cllr Lawrie Stratford  
**Subject:** 16/00465/DISC Bicester Eco Town Exemplar Site Banbury Road Bicester

Hi Caroline,

In reference to the above planning application to discharge conditions 59 and 84 of planning application 10/01780/HYBRID, I have the following comments to make:

**Condition 84:  I cannot recommend discharge until the below is addressed**

Proposed footbridges are substantial structures which require technical approval from a responsible body.

The submitted documents do not make clear where the 2 proposed footbridges are to be situated. On the assumption that they will be on footpaths remote from the highway, then the footbridges will not be adopted by Oxfordshire County Council. However Technical Approval in accordance with BD 2/12 is required for both structures to ensure the safety of the public.  The main water crossings on the spine road have been audited and approved.

* The footbridge drawings submitted show spans of 10.6m and 17.1m. The drawings only show details of the superstructure. Substructure and foundation details are also required.
* Approval in Principle (AIP) documents need to be submitted and approved for both structures in accordance with BD 2/12 “Technical Approval of Highway Structures” prior to any detailed design.
* Apart from the technical aspect of the designs, the AIPs should set out who is going to own, inspect, maintain and pay for any repairs needed during the lifetime of the structures.
* In view of the 3m widths indicated for both footbridges, additional measures are likely to be required to ensure that vehicles cannot be driven onto the bridges. Other railings may also be needed on the approaches to safeguard pedestrians/cyclists.

**Condition 59:  No Objection**

The CTMP has already previously been approved for other phases of this development.

Should you wish to discuss this in more detail, then please do not hesitate to contact me.

Kind regards,

*Kt Hamer*

*Transport Planner*

*Transport Development Control*

*Cherwell and West Localities Team*

*Oxfordshire County Council*

*County Hall*

*New Road*

*Oxford OX1 1ND*

*Mob: 07775 025229*

[katherine.hamer@oxfordshire.gov.uk](mailto:katherine.hamer@oxfordshire.gov.uk)

**Save money and paper - do you really need to print this email?**