



ARBORICULTURAL REPORT

& Impact Assessment

to **BS5837:2012** at:

'Tapper's Farm'
Oxford Road,
Bodicote,
Banbury,
Oxfordshire
OX16 9HA

Prepared for:
Hollins Strategic Land
Suite 4,
1 King Street,
Manchester
M2 6AW

Date: *May 2018*

Reference: *AWA2120*



Contents

1. Introduction	3
1.1 Instructions and Brief.....	3
1.2 Survey Details.....	3
2. The Site	4
2.1 Location and Description	4
3. The Trees	4
3.1 Legal	4
3.2 Tree Survey Results	5
4. Arboricultural Impact Assessment	7
4.1 Proposed New Development	7
4.2 Direct Impacts.....	7
4.3 Indirect Impacts.....	7
4.4 Suitable Mitigation	8
4.5 Protection of the Retained Trees	8
5. Signature	9
Appendix 1: Authors Qualifications & Experience	11
Appendix 2: Survey Methodology and Limitations of Report	12
Appendix 3: Explanation of Tree Descriptions	13
Appendix 4: Tree Data	14
Appendix 5: Tree Constraints Plan	15
Appendix 6: Tree Impacts Plan	16

1. Introduction

1.1 Instructions and Brief

- 1.1.1 We are instructed by Josh Ramsey of Hollins Strategic Land to visit the site and prepare our findings in a report.
- 1.1.2 The report is required in accordance with *BS 5837:2012 Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction –Recommendations*, to provide detailed, independent, arboricultural advice on the trees present, in the context of potential development.

1.2 Survey Details

- 1.2.1 The survey took place during February 2018.
- 1.2.2 The trees were surveyed visually from the ground using “Visual Tree Assessment” techniques and in accordance with the guiding principles of British Standard 5837:2012.
- 1.2.3 Any additional off-site trees that could impact a new development design have been included in the tree survey parameters.
- 1.2.4 The tree positions were plotted on Ordnance Survey map base-layer using enhanced GPS technology (1-2m accuracy) and laser distance measurer.
- 1.2.5 This report has been prepared by Mr Adam Winson Chartered Arboriculturist, MSc, BSc (Hons), MICFor, MArborA, Principle and Director of AWA Tree Consultants Ltd.
- 1.2.6 The tree survey data collection was carried out by Mr James Brown BSc (Hons) Arboriculture, MArborA, Arboriculturist at AWA Tree Consultants Ltd.
- 1.2.7 Full qualifications and experience are included within **Appendix 1**. Explanatory details regarding the survey methodology are included within **Appendix 2**. A full explanation of the tree data can be found at **Appendix 3**. Full details of all the trees surveyed are found in **Appendix 4**. For tree locations refer to the Tree Constraints Plan at **Appendix 5** and for detail of the impacts of the new development refer to the Tree Impacts Plan at **Appendix 6**.

2. The Site

2.1 Location and Description

- 2.1.1 The site is located on Oxford Road in Bodicote, a village and civil parish south of Banbury, Oxfordshire.
- 2.1.2 The site currently consists of a farm shop with attached large grass field. A neighbouring primary school is situated to the west of the site with residential properties to the south. Roads run along the site's northern and eastern boundaries.

3. The Trees

3.1 Legal

- 3.1.1 Due to the large potential penalties for illegally carrying out work to protected trees, before authorising any tree works a check should be made with the Local Planning Authority to see if the trees are covered by a Tree Preservation Order or if they are within a Conservation Area (unless such works are approved by planning permission). If either applies, then statutory permission is required before any works can take place.
- 3.1.2 When appointing a tree surgeon, only properly qualified and experienced companies should be used, who have adequate Public Liability and Employer's Liability Insurance. All tree work should be carried out according to British Standard 3998: 2010 *Tree Work - Recommendations*.

3.2 Tree Survey Results

- 3.2.1 The tree survey revealed 48 items of woody vegetation, comprised of 41 individual trees and 7 groups of trees or hedges.
- 3.2.2 Of the surveyed trees: 6 trees are retention category 'A', 21 trees are retention category 'B'; and the remaining 21 trees or groups are retention category 'C' (explanatory details regarding the retention categories are included within Appendix 3).
- 3.2.3 Species diversity at the site is relatively good, with several Oak, Beech, Lime, Maple, Horse Chestnut and Ash and occasional Hawthorn, Elder and Holly. Most of the site's trees are mature, with occasional early mature and semi mature trees.
- 3.2.4 The site's most significant trees are the Oak, Horse Chestnut and Beech trees situated in and around the large grass field (T1, T3, T4, T5, T7, T8, T9 and T40). The highest value of these are the Oaks T3, T7, T9, T40 and the Beech T1, which are large mature trees in good overall condition and provide high amenity and arboricultural value.
- 3.2.5 While the Horse Chestnuts T5 and T8 are mature prominent trees with ecological value, they have numerous defects which mean they may be unsuitable for retention close to a new development at the site.
- 3.2.6 Trees T1, T3 and T40 were unable to be fully inspected due to the dense Ivy covering their main stems; it is recommended to sever and remove the Ivy regardless of development at the site, so that detailed inspections of the trees can be undertaken in future.
- 3.2.7 An adjacent row of predominantly Limes with occasional Field Maple borders the site's western boundary (T18 to T23, T25 to T35). The trees are all situated in the grounds of the neighbouring school and so were only given cursory inspections. Collectively they form a high amenity value landscape feature, forming an avenue of trees leading down the site's access drive and provide screening between the site and the school. All trees appear to have had previous minor crown lifting and reduction works over the site's access road.
- 3.2.8 The boundary hedges G2, G17, G24 and G39 are only of relatively low arboricultural value but have been well managed through regular flailing and provide good screening value.

- 3.2.9 An Oak T16 is situated to the south of the site, in the grounds of the neighbouring school. The tree was only given a cursory inspection due to its adjacent location but is a large mature tree which provides high amenity value to the site and the school.
- 3.2.10 A group of semi mature Ash, Sycamore and Field Maple is situated at the site's northern corner (T41 to T47). Although the trees are in prominent roadside positions, many are in relatively poor overall condition, with Ivy covering the majority of the trees' main stems and suppressing many of their crowns and so are only of low amenity value.
- 3.2.11 Some trees were covered in dense Ivy or were inaccessible (as detailed in Appendix 4) in such cases measurements were estimated and the condition values are indicative only.
- 3.2.12 The tree Root Protection Area (RPA) detailed on the Tree Constraints Plan at Appendix 5, has been used as a layout design tool, to inform on the area around a tree where the protection of the roots and soil structure is treated as a priority.
- 3.2.13 Some lower value tree, hedge and shrub groups do not have RPAs detailed on tree plans. The detailed extent and spread of the low value groups, in conjunction with the tree schedule, is sufficient to assess the associated potential constraints.
- 3.2.14 The RPA for each tree has been plotted as a polygon centred on the base of the stem. Due to the presence of roads, structures, topography (and past tree management) the RPA is likely to be a simplified representation of the tree roots actual morphology and disposition. However, detailed modifications to the shape of the RPA would largely be based on conjecture and so have been avoided.

4. Arboricultural Impact Assessment

4.1 Proposed New Development

4.1.1 We have been provided with an indicative illustrative masterplan which outlines a potential proposed residential development at the site. The indicative masterplan informs this arboricultural impact assessment and the Tree Impacts Plan at Appendix 6.

4.2 Direct Impacts

4.2.1 From assessing the indicative development proposals, the potential removal of 2 trees and 2 hedges may be required (T6, T8, G10 and G11).

4.2.2 The removal of the Hawthorn tree T6 and Hawthorn hedges G10 and G11 are of little consequence as they are of low value or have limited long term prospects regardless of potential development at the site.

4.2.3 The potential removal of the Horse Chestnut T8 would mean a moderate loss of visual amenity in the short term; however, the proposed landscape strategy associated with the potential development would more than mitigate for the tree's removal and would improve the site's overall tree cover in the longer term, resulting in a net green infrastructure gain.

4.3 Indirect Impacts

4.3.1 The tree Root Protection Area (RPA) detailed on the Tree Constraints Plan at Appendix 5, has been used as a layout design tool, to inform on the area around a tree where the protection of the roots and soil structure is treated as a priority.

4.3.2 Construction activities are proposed in the vicinity of retained trees. The construction of hard surfaces within the RPA can have negative impacts on tree roots. However, the potential negative impacts can often be overcome or minimised by employing a 'no-dig' type construction methods with a porous final surface.

4.3.3 Construction of structures within the RPA may have negative impacts on tree roots. However, if required, it should be possible to employ special foundation design such as mini/micro pile and suspended beam or a

cantilevered foundation, in order to overcome or minimise any negative impact on the tree roots.

- 4.3.4 The indicative design of the new development has considered tree crown positions in relation to the dwellings. Some shade from trees may be beneficial. In particular, deciduous trees give shade in summer but allow access to sunlight in winter. However, the indicative design proposals avoid excessive shading, and give adequate provision for future tree growth.
- 4.3.5 The buildability of the proposed has been assessed in terms of access, adequate working space and provision for the storage of materials, including topsoil, in relation to the trees.

4.4 Suitable Mitigation

- 4.4.1 The development of the site provides an excellent opportunity to undertake new tree planting throughout the site as part of a soft landscaping scheme. As such, suitable new tree planting has the potential to mitigate for the required tree removals and, in the longer term, has the potential to improve the sites tree cover resulting in a net green infrastructure gain

4.5 Protection of the Retained Trees

- 4.5.1 The retained trees will require protection by fencing in accordance with BS 5837: 2012, during the development phase.
- 4.5.2 If required by the Local Planning Authority, an associated Arboricultural Method Statement, detailing protective fencing specifications and construction methods close to the retained trees can be provided.

5. Signature

I trust this report provides all the required information.

Signed



.....
Adam Winson, Chartered Arboriculturist, MSc, BSc (Hons), MICFor, ACIEEM.

9th May 2018

**AWA Tree Consultants Limited
Union Forge
27 Mowbray Street
Sheffield
S3 8EN**

www.awatrees.com



Institute of
Chartered Foresters
Registered Consultant

Appendix 1: Authors Qualifications and Experience

Appendix 2: Survey Methodology and Limitations

Appendix 3: Explanation of Tree Descriptions

Appendix 4: Tree Data

Appendix 5: Tree Constraints Plan

Appendix 6: Tree Impacts Plan

Appendix 1: Authors Qualifications & Experience

Mr Adam Winson *Chartered Arboriculturist, MSc, BSc (Hons), MICFor, MArborA, ACIEEM, QTRA Registered.*

Adam is the company Director and Principle Consultant. He has a mix of the highest level academic qualifications and relevant work experience. He has worked within the tree care profession for over 20 years, and was awarded an MSc in Arboriculture and Urban Forestry, with distinction. Adam is a Chartered Arboriculturist and a Registered Consultant with the Institute of Chartered Foresters, a Professional Member of the Arboricultural Association and has original research published by the UK Forestry Commission. His work ranges from individual expert tree inspections to managing trees on major multimillion pound housing developments and infrastructure projects. His work often involves trees with preservation orders or litigation, and he has appeared as a tree expert, at planning appeal hearings up to the Crown Court.

Mr James Brown *BSc (Hons) Arboriculture. MArborA.*

James has a BSc (Hons) in Arboriculture, attaining first class honours, as well as being awarded the Institute of Chartered Forester's Student award. He is a Professional Member of the Arboricultural Association and an Associate of the Institute of Chartered Foresters. James previously worked in Europe's largest tree nursery and has experience of Local Authority tree officer work. His main work consists of tree surveys for development projects and preparing Tree Protection Schemes to BS 5837:2012.

Mr Dave Farmer *FdSc (Arb). MArborA. PTI (Lantra).*

Dave has a Foundation Degree in Arboriculture (with Distinction) and is qualified in Professional Tree Inspection. He is a Professional Member of the Arboricultural Association and an Associate of the Institute of Chartered Foresters. Dave has many years of experience within the tree care profession, including lecturing in arboriculture. His work focuses on diagnosing potential tree risk problems, and recommending appropriate treatments and work programmes.

Dr Felicity Stout *Ph.D, MA, BA (Hons), Cert Ed (Forestry), TechArborA.*

Felicity has worked in the tree care profession for the last 10 years. She has a Certificate in Higher Education in Forestry, with a focus on Urban Forestry. She has practical arboricultural contractor experience and is a qualified and experienced Social Forestry practitioner. Felicity has a PhD in History, with a particular interest in the history of woodland and tree management and has published in The Arboricultural Journal on this subject.

Mr Ricky Nos *BSc (Hons), FdSc (Arboriculture), TechArborA.*

Ricky is a trained arborist with 10 years of experience in the private and local authority sectors, taking in all aspects of arboricultural work. He has a Foundation Degree in Arboriculture and a BSc (Honours) in Outdoor Management, and is a technician Member of the Arboricultural Association. His main work consists of tree surveys for development projects, involving tree inspections and the preparation of Tree Reports to BS 5837:2012.

Appendix 2: Survey Methodology and Limitations of Report

The survey was undertaken in accordance with British Standard 5837 (2012) *Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction –Recommendations*. The trees were assessed objectively and without reference to any proposed site layout. The trees were surveyed from the ground using 'Visual Tree Assessment' (VTA) methodology. VTA is appropriate and is endorsed by industry guidance. It is used by arboriculturists to evaluate the structural integrity of a tree, relying on observation of trees biomechanical and physiological features. Measurements are obtained using a diameter tape, clinometer, laser distometer and loggers tape. Where this is not practical measurements are estimated. Tree groups have been identified in instances as defined in BS 5837 (2012). Shrubs and insignificant trees may have been omitted from the survey.

This report represents a BS5837 tree survey and should not be accepted as a detailed tree safety inspection report; however, tree related hazards are recorded and commented upon where observed, yet no guarantee can be given as to the absolute safety or otherwise of any individual tree. All recommended tree work must be to BS 3998: 2010 - '*Tree Work: Recommendations*'.

The findings and recommendations contained within this report are valid for a period of twelve months from the date of survey. The author shall not be responsible for events which happen after this time due to factors which were not apparent at the time, and the acceptance of this report constitutes an agreement with these guidelines and terms.

Appendix 3: Explanation of Tree Descriptions

HEIGHT of the tree is measured from the stem base in metres. Where the ground has a significant slope the higher ground is selected.

CROWN HEIGHT is an indication of the average height at which the crown begins and includes information of the first significant branch and direction of growth.

STEM DIAMETER is measured at 1.5 metres above (higher) ground level. Where the tree is multi-stemmed at this point; the diameter is measured close to ground level or else a combined stem diameter is calculated.

CROWN SPREAD is measured from the centre of the stem base to the tips of the branches in all four cardinal points.

AGE CLASS of the tree is described as young, semi-mature, early-mature, mature, or over-mature.

PHYSIOLOGICAL CONDITION is classed as good, fair, poor, or dead. This is an indication of the health of the tree and takes into account vigour, presence of disease and dieback.

STRUCTURAL CONDITION is classed as good, fair or poor. This is an indication of the structural integrity of the tree and takes into account significant wounds, decay and quality of branch junctions.

LIFE EXPECTANCY is classed as; less than 10 years, 10-20 years, 20-40 years, or more than 40 years. This is an indication of the number of years before removal of the tree is likely to be required.

Retention Categories

A (marked green on Appendix 5) = retention most desirable. These trees are of very high quality and value with a good life expectancy.

B (marked in blue on Appendix 5) = retention desirable. These trees are of good quality and value with a significant life expectancy.

C (marked in grey on Appendix 5) = trees which could be retained. These trees are of low or average quality and value, and are in adequate condition to remain until new planting could be established.

U (marked in red on Appendix 5) = trees for removal. These trees are in such a condition that any existing value would be lost within 10 years.

Appendix 4: Tree Data

Tree ID	Tree Species		Measurements					Crown (m)				Tree Condition						Value		Management		
	Common Name	Latin Name	Maturity	Height (m)	Stems	Stem Dia (mm)	Estimated	Ave Height	N	E	S	W	Roots	Stem	Crown	Comments	Physiology	Structural	Life Expectancy	Amenity	Category	Works
T1	Beech	<i>Fagus sylvatica</i>	Mature	18	1	850	No	4	9.5	11	8.5	10	No visual defects	Single stemmed. Vertical. Bark damage. Ivy covered.	Minor deadwood. Snapped limbs. Old pruning wounds. Ivy covered. Overhanging adjacent land.	Wooden fence nailed to stem and barbed wire fence embedded in stem. Ivy prevented detailed inspection and accurate stem measurement.	Fair	Good	>40 yrs	High	A	Recommended to sever/ remove Ivy regardless of development
G2	Hawthorn. Elder. Ash.	<i>Crataegus sp.</i> <i>Sambucus sp.</i> <i>Fraxinus sp.</i>	Mature	1.5	10+	100	No	0	See plan				No visual defects	Single and Multiple stemmed. Vertical. Slight lean. Old pruning wounds. Stubs. Bark damage. Ivy covered. Tight union. Minor cavities. Minor decay.	Minor dieback. Minor deadwood. Snapped limbs.	Managed roadside hedge. Recently flailed.	Fair	Fair	>40 yrs	Moderate	C	No works
T3	Oak	<i>Quercus robur</i>	Mature	15	1	800	No	4	8.5	6	8	9	No visual defects	Single stemmed. Vertical. Ivy covered.	Snapped limbs. Old pruning wounds. Minor deadwood. Ivy covered. Overhanging adjacent land.	Ivy prevented detailed inspection and accurate stem measurement. Previously reduced from road.	Good	Fair	>40 yrs	High	A	Recommended to sever/ remove Ivy regardless of development
T4	Beech	<i>Fagus sylvatica</i>	Mature	17	1	820	No	3	8.5	8	12	11	Soil compaction. Damage to buttress roots. Exposed roots.	Single stemmed. Slight lean south west. Old pruning wounds. Stubs. Minor cavities. Minor decay. Bark damage.	Old pruning wounds. Minor deadwood. Snapped limbs. Overhanging adjacent land.	Soil compaction and bark damage maybe from livestock	Fair	Fair	>40 yrs	High	B	No works

Tree ID	Tree Species		Measurements					Crown (m)				Tree Condition						Value		Management		
	Common Name	Latin Name	Maturity	Height (m)	Stems	Stem Dia (mm)	Estimated	Ave Height	N	E	S	W	Roots	Stem	Crown	Comments	Physiology	Structural	Life Expectancy	Amenity	Category	Works
T5	Horse Chestnut	<i>Aesculus hippocastanum</i>	Mature	21	1	1190	No	4	8.5	7.5	7	9	Damage to buttress roots. Exposed roots.	Single stemmed. Vertical. Bark damage. Moderate cavities. Moderate decay.	Moderate dieback. Moderate deadwood. Snapped limbs. Considerable snapped limbs in crown.	Several moderate to major cavities with decay to main stem. Extensive bark damage. Ecological value but unsuitable for retention close to residential dwellings.	Fair	Fair	20 to 40 yrs	Moderate	B	No works
T6	Hawthorn	<i>Crataegus monogyna</i>	Mature	7	1	440	No	3	6	5.5	5	4.5	Damage to buttress roots	Single stemmed. Vertical. Old pruning wounds. Stubs. Bark damage. Minor cavities. Major cavity. Major decay.	Old pruning wounds. Minor dieback. Minor deadwood.	Large decayed cavity at base of main stem from 0 to 0.5m. Unsuitable for retention near development.	Fair	Poor	10 to 20 yrs	Moderate	C	Removal potentially required to facilitate development
T7	Oak	<i>Quercus robur</i>	Mature	16	1	820	No	3	7	8	11	9	Damage to buttress roots	Single stemmed. Vertical. Bark damage. Stubs. Minor decay.	Minor dieback. Snapped limbs. Moderate deadwood.	Moderate sized dead limb with decay to main stem on northern side at 2m. Would likely need pruning works for development.	Fair	Fair	>40 yrs	Moderate	A	No works

Tree ID	Tree Species		Measurements					Crown (m)				Tree Condition						Value		Management		
	Common Name	Latin Name	Maturity	Height (m)	Stems	Stem Dia (mm)	Estimated	Ave Height	N	E	S	W	Roots	Stem	Crown	Comments	Physiology	Structural	Life Expectancy	Amenity	Category	Works
T8	Horse Chestnut	<i>Aesculus x carnea</i>	Mature	14	1	740	No	3	5.5	6.5	6	5	Damage to buttress roots. Soil compaction	Single stemmed. Vertical. Epicormic growths. Bark damage. Moderate cavities. Moderate decay.	Minor deadwood. Minor cavities. Cankers.	Numerous cankers in crown. Numerous cavities to stem and in crown. Ecological value but likely unsuitable for retention near development.	Fair	Fair	10 to 20 yrs	Moderate	B	Removal potentially required to facilitate development
T9	Oak	<i>Quercus robur</i>	Mature	21	1	1220	No	4	15	14	15	14	Soil compaction. Exposed roots. Damage to buttress roots.	Single stemmed. Vertical. Old pruning wounds. Stubs. Bark damage.	Moderate deadwood. Snapped limbs.		Good	Fair	>40 yrs	High	A	No works
G10	Hawthorn	<i>Crataegus monogyna</i>	Early-mature	2.5	10+	40	No	0	See plan				No visual defects	Single and Multiple stemmed. Vertical. Stubs. Old pruning wounds. Tight union. Partially included bark. Bark damage.	Old pruning wounds	Managed Hawthorn hedge	Good	Fair	>40 yrs	Low	C	Removal potentially required to facilitate development
G11	Hawthorn	<i>Crataegus monogyna</i>	Early-mature	2.5	10+	40	No	0	See plan				No visual defects	Single and Multiple stemmed. Vertical. Stubs. Old pruning wounds. Tight union. Partially included bark. Bark damage.	Old pruning wounds	Managed Hawthorn hedge	Good	Fair	>40 yrs	Low	C	Removal potentially required to facilitate development

Tree ID	Tree Species		Measurements					Crown (m)				Tree Condition						Value		Management		
	Common Name	Latin Name	Maturity	Height (m)	Stems	Stem Dia (mm)	Estimated	Ave Height	N	E	S	W	Roots	Stem	Crown	Comments	Physiology	Structural	Life Expectancy	Amenity	Category	Works
G12	Prunus	<i>Prunus sp.</i>	Early-mature	4	10+	30	No	0.5	See plan				No visual defects	Single and Multiple stemmed. Vertical. Slight lean. Epicormic growths. Old pruning wounds. Stubs. Bark damage. Ivy covered. Tight union.	Old pruning wounds. Minor dieback. Minor deadwood. Snapped limbs. Ivy covered. Overhanging adjacent land.	Shrubby boundary group. Partially managed.	Fair	Fair	10 to 20 yrs	Low	C	No works
T13	Elder	<i>Sambucus nigra</i>	Mature	7	9	120	Yes	2	2.5	4	4	4	No visual defects	Multiple stemmed at base. Vertical. Old pruning wounds. Stubs. Tight union.	Minor deadwood. Moderate dieback. Overhanging adjacent land.	Situated in adjacent land. No access.	Fair	Fair	10 to 20 yrs	Low	C	No works
T14	Holly	<i>Ilex aquifolium</i>	Semi-mature	7	2	170, 180	No	1	2	2	2	2	Soil compaction	Twin stemmed at base. Vertical. Stubs. Old pruning wounds. Epicormic growths. Tight union.	Normal. Overhanging adjacent land.		Good	Good	>40 yrs	Low	C	No works
T15	Elder	<i>Sambucus nigra</i>	Semi-mature	6	1	110	No	2	2	1	2	1.5	Soil compaction	Single stemmed. Vertical. Stubs. Old pruning wounds. Bark damage.	Normal. Overhanging adjacent land.		Fair	Fair	10 to 20 yrs	Low	C	No works
T16	Oak	<i>Quercus robur</i>	Mature	16	1	800	Yes	2	7	7	7	7	No visual defects	Single stemmed. Slight lean. Bark damage.	Old pruning wounds. Snapped limbs. Minor deadwood.	Situated in adjacent land. No access.	Good	Good	>40 yrs	High	A	No works

Tree ID	Tree Species		Measurements					Crown (m)				Tree Condition						Value		Management		
	Common Name	Latin Name	Maturity	Height (m)	Stems	Stem Dia (mm)	Estimated	Ave Height	N	E	S	W	Roots	Stem	Crown	Comments	Physiology	Structural	Life Expectancy	Amenity	Category	Works
G17	Elder. Hawthorn. Holly.	<i>Sambucus sp.</i> <i>Crataegus sp.</i> <i>Ilex sp.</i>	Early-mature	1.5	10+	30	Yes	0	See plan				No visual defects	Single and Multiple stemmed. Stubs. Old pruning wounds. Bark damage. Minor cavities. Tight union.	Old pruning wounds. Snapped limbs. Minor deadwood. Minor dieback.	Adjacent managed hedge. Occasional gaps.	Fair	Fair	>40 yrs	Low	C	No works
T18	Lime	<i>Tilia sp.</i>	Mature	16	1	700	Yes	2	6	6	6	6	No visual defects	Single stemmed. Vertical. Epicormic growths. Old pruning wounds. Stubs.	Minor deadwood. Old pruning wounds. Overhanging adjacent land.	Situated in adjacent land. No access. Previous minor crown reduction and crown lift.	Fair	Fair	>40 yrs	High	B	No works
T19	Lime	<i>Tilia sp.</i>	Mature	17	1	400	Yes	3	6	6	6	6	No visual defects	Single stemmed. Vertical. Ivy covered.	Old pruning wounds. Minor deadwood. Overhanging adjacent land.	Situated in adjacent land. No access. Previous minor crown reduction and crown lift.	Good	Good	>40 yrs	High	B	No works
T20	Lime	<i>Tilia sp.</i>	Mature	16	1	350	Yes	4	2	4	5	5	No visual defects	Single stemmed. Vertical. Ivy covered.	Minor deadwood. Old pruning wounds. Overhanging adjacent land.	Situated in adjacent land. No access. Previous minor crown reduction and crown lift.	Fair	Good	>40 yrs	High	B	No works
T21	Lime	<i>Tilia sp.</i>	Mature	17	1	500	Yes	3	7	7	7	7	No visual defects	Single stemmed. Vertical. Old pruning wounds. Stubs. Epicormic growths. Ivy covered.	Minor deadwood. Old pruning wounds. Overhanging adjacent land.	Situated in adjacent land. No access. Previous minor crown reduction and crown lift.	Good	Fair	>40 yrs	High	B	No works

Tree ID	Tree Species		Measurements					Crown (m)					Tree Condition						Value		Management	
	Common Name	Latin Name	Maturity	Height (m)	Stems	Stem Dia (mm)	Estimated	Ave Height	N	E	S	W	Roots	Stem	Crown	Comments	Physiology	Structural	Life Expectancy	Amenity	Category	Works
T22	Lime	<i>Tilia sp.</i>	Mature	17	1	400	Yes	5	4	6	6	5	No visual defects	Single stemmed. Vertical.	Minor deadwood. Old pruning wounds. Overhanging adjacent land.	Situated in adjacent land. No access. Previous minor crown reduction and crown lift.	Fair	Good	>40 yrs	High	B	No works
T23	Lime	<i>Tilia sp.</i>	Mature	16	1	400	Yes	5	5	5	5	4	No visual defects	Single stemmed. Vertical. Epicormic growths. Old pruning wounds. Stubs. Ivy covered. Tight union.	Minor deadwood. Old pruning wounds. Overhanging adjacent land.	Situated in adjacent land. No access. Previous minor crown reduction and crown lift.	Good	Fair	>40 yrs	High	B	No works
G24	Elder. Hawthorn.	<i>Sambucus sp.</i> <i>Crataegus sp.</i>	Early-mature	1.5	10+	30	Yes	0	See plan				No visual defects	Single and Multiple stemmed. Stubs. Old pruning wounds. Bark damage. Minor cavities. Tight union.	Old pruning wounds. Snapped limbs. Minor deadwood. Minor dieback.	Adjacent managed hedge	Fair	Fair	>40 yrs	Low	C	No works
T25	Lime	<i>Tilia sp.</i>	Mature	17	1	400	Yes	3	6	6	6	6	No visual defects	Single stemmed. Vertical. Old pruning wounds. Stubs. Epicormic growths. Tight union.	Minor deadwood. Old pruning wounds. Overhanging adjacent land.	Situated in adjacent land. No access. Previous minor crown reduction and crown lift.	Good	Good	>40 yrs	High	B	No works
T26	Maple	<i>Acer campestre</i>	Mature	14	1	350	Yes	5	5	5	3	3	No visual defects	Single stemmed. Vertical. Ivy covered.	Minor deadwood. Old pruning wounds. Overhanging adjacent land.	Situated in adjacent land. No access. Previous minor crown reduction and crown lift.	Fair	Fair	20 to 40 yrs	High	B	No works

Tree ID	Tree Species		Measurements					Crown (m)				Tree Condition						Value		Management		
	Common Name	Latin Name	Maturity	Height (m)	Stems	Stem Dia (mm)	Estimated	Ave Height	N	E	S	W	Roots	Stem	Crown	Comments	Physiology	Structural	Life Expectancy	Amenity	Category	Works
T27	Lime	<i>Tilia sp.</i>	Mature	17	1	350	Yes	5	5	5	5	5	No visual defects	Single stemmed. Vertical. Ivy covered.	Minor deadwood. Old pruning wounds. Overhanging adjacent land.	Situated in adjacent land. No access. Previous minor crown reduction and crown lift.	Good	Good	>40 yrs	High	B	No works
T28	Maple	<i>Acer campestre</i>	Mature	15	1	400	Yes	5	5	5	5	4	No visual defects	Single stemmed. Twin stemmed at 1.5m. Vertical. Tight union. Partially included bark.	Minor deadwood. Old pruning wounds. Overhanging adjacent land.	Situated in adjacent land. No access. Previous minor crown reduction and crown lift. Poor partially included bark union 1m long at junction of two main stems.	Good	Fair	>40 yrs	High	B	No works
T29	Maple	<i>Acer campestre</i>	Mature	14	1	300	Yes	5	6	5	4	5	No visual defects	Single stemmed. Vertical. Ivy covered.	Minor deadwood. Old pruning wounds. Overhanging adjacent land.	Situated in adjacent land. No access. Previous minor crown reduction and crown lift.	Good	Good	>40 yrs	High	B	No works
T30	Lime	<i>Tilia sp.</i>	Mature	17	1	400	Yes	5	5	5	5	5	No visual defects	Single stemmed. Vertical. Epicormic growths. Bark damage.	Minor deadwood. Old pruning wounds. Overhanging adjacent land.	Situated in adjacent land. No access. Previous minor crown reduction and crown lift.	Good	Good	>40 yrs	High	B	No works
T31	Lime	<i>Tilia sp.</i>	Mature	18	1	450	Yes	5	6	6	6	6	No visual defects	Single stemmed. Vertical. Ivy covered.	Minor deadwood. Old pruning wounds. Overhanging adjacent land.	Situated in adjacent land. No access. Previous minor crown reduction and crown lift.	Good	Good	>40 yrs	High	B	No works


Tree Species		Measurements						Crown (m)				Tree Condition						Value		Management		
Tree ID	Common Name	Latin Name	Maturity	Height (m)	Stems	Stem Dia (mm)	Estimated	Ave Height	N	E	S	W	Roots	Stem	Crown	Comments	Physiology	Structural	Life Expectancy	Amenity	Category	Works
T32	Lime	<i>Tilia sp.</i>	Mature	17	1	350	Yes	5	6	6	6	6	No visual defects	Single stemmed. Vertical. Ivy covered.	Minor deadwood. Old pruning wounds. Overhanging adjacent land.	Situated in adjacent land. No access. Previous minor crown reduction and crown lift.	Good	Good	>40 yrs	High	B	No works
T33	Lime	<i>Tilia sp.</i>	Mature	16	1	400	Yes	5	6	6	6	6	No visual defects	Single stemmed. Vertical. Ivy covered.	Minor deadwood. Old pruning wounds. Overhanging adjacent land.	Situated in adjacent land. No access. Previous minor crown reduction and crown lift.	Good	Good	>40 yrs	High	B	No works
T34	Lime	<i>Tilia sp.</i>	Mature	18	1	450	Yes	5	6	6	6	6	No visual defects	Single stemmed. Vertical. Old pruning wounds.	Minor deadwood. Old pruning wounds. Overhanging adjacent land.	Situated in adjacent land. No access. Previous minor crown reduction and crown lift.	Good	Good	>40 yrs	High	B	No works
T35	Maple	<i>Acer campestre</i>	Mature	18	1	550	Yes	5	6.5	6	6	4	No visual defects	Single stemmed. Vertical.	Minor deadwood. Old pruning wounds. Overhanging adjacent land.	Situated in adjacent land. No access. Previous minor crown reduction and crown lift.	Good	Good	>40 yrs	High	B	No works
T36	Horse Chestnut	<i>Aesculus hippocastanum</i>	Early-mature	12	1	300	Yes	7	5	4	5	6	No visual defects	Single stemmed. Vertical. Stubs. Old pruning wounds. Bark damage. Epicormic growths.	25% dead/absent. Small/sparse. Old pruning wounds. Minor deadwood. Minor dieback. Overhanging adjacent land.	Situated in adjacent land. No access. Poorly pruned. Numerous large pruning wounds. Extensive bark damage.	Poor	Fair	<10 yrs	Low	C	No works


Tree Species		Measurements						Crown (m)				Tree Condition							Value		Management	
Tree ID	Common Name	Latin Name	Maturity	Height (m)	Stems	Stem Dia (mm)	Estimated	Ave Height	N	E	S	W	Roots	Stem	Crown	Comments	Physiology	Structural	Life Expectancy	Amenity	Category	Works
G37	Hawthorn. Elder.	<i>Crataegus sp.</i> <i>Sambucus sp.</i>	Early-mature	6	10+	150	Yes	2	See plan				No visual defects	Single and Multiple stemmed. Slight lean. Ivy covered.	Minor deadwood. Minor dieback. Small/ sparse. Ivy covered.	Adjacent Ivy covered group. Numerous leaning stems. Leaning over footpath.	Fair	Fair	10 to 20 yrs	Low	C	No works
T38	Oak	<i>Quercus robur</i>	Early-mature	14	1	540	No	3	8	6.5	7.5	8	No visual defects	Single stemmed. Vertical. Old pruning wounds. Bark damage.	Old pruning wounds. Minor deadwood.	Nails in stem	Good	Good	>40 yrs	High	B	No works
G39	Hawthorn. Elder. Ash.	<i>Crataegus sp.</i> <i>Sambucus sp.</i> <i>Fraxinus sp.</i>	Mature	1.5	10+	100	No	0	See plan				No visual defects	Single and Multiple stemmed. Vertical. Slight lean. Old pruning wounds. Stubs. Bark damage. Ivy covered. Tight union. Minor cavities. Minor decay.	Minor dieback. Minor deadwood. Snapped limbs.	Managed hedge. Recently flailed.	Fair	Fair	>40 yrs	Moderate	C	No works
T40	Oak	<i>Quercus robur</i>	Mature	16	1	800	No	4	10	13	12	10	No visual defects	Single stemmed. Vertical. Ivy covered. Bark damage.	Minor deadwood. Snapped limbs. Ivy covered. Overhanging adjacent land.	Ivy prevented detailed inspection and accurate stem measurement. Fence nailed to main stem.	Good	Good	>40 yrs	High	A	Recommended to sever/ remove Ivy regardless of development
T41	Ash	<i>Fraxinus excelsior</i>	Semi-mature	16	1	350	No	5	4.5	4	3	3	No visual defects	Single stemmed. Vertical. Ivy covered.	Minor deadwood. Ivy covered.	Ivy prevented detailed inspection and accurate stem measurement	Fair	Fair	20 to 40 yrs	Moderate	C	Recommended to sever/ remove Ivy regardless of development

Tree Species		Measurements						Crown (m)				Tree Condition						Value		Management		
Tree ID	Common Name	Latin Name	Maturity	Height (m)	Stems	Stem Dia (mm)	Estimated	Ave Height	N	E	S	W	Roots	Stem	Crown	Comments	Physiology	Structural	Life Expectancy	Amenity	Category	Works
T42	Ash	<i>Fraxinus excelsior</i>	Early-mature	16	6	180	No	4	2	3	7	6.5	No visual defects	Multiple stemmed at base. Slight lean. Old pruning wounds. Stubs. Ivy covered. Tight union.	Minor deadwood	Ivy prevented detailed inspection	Fair	Fair	20 to 40 yrs	Moderate	C	Recommended to sever/ remove Ivy regardless of development
T43	Ash	<i>Fraxinus excelsior</i>	Early-mature	18	3	160, 220, 300	No	6	6	3	4	7	No visual defects	Multiple stemmed at base. Vertical. Ivy covered. Tight union.	Minor deadwood. Snapped limbs.	Ivy prevented detailed inspection and accurate stem measurement. Limb previously snapped out over footpath to north.	Fair	Fair	20 to 40 yrs	Moderate	C	Recommended to sever/ remove Ivy regardless of development
T44	Sycamore	<i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i>	Early-mature	15	6	180	No	4	5	1	5	3	No visual defects	Multiple stemmed at base. Vertical. Ivy covered. Tight union	Minor deadwood	Ivy prevented detailed inspection and accurate stem measurement	Fair	Fair	20 to 40 yrs	Moderate	C	Recommended to sever/ remove Ivy regardless of development
T45	Sycamore	<i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i>	Early-mature	14	3	180, 200, 240	No	4	5.5	5	1	3	No visual defects	Multiple stemmed at base. Vertical. Ivy covered.	Old pruning wounds. Minor deadwood.	Ivy prevented detailed inspection and accurate stem measurement	Fair	Fair	20 to 40 yrs	Moderate	C	Recommended to sever/ remove Ivy regardless of development
T46	Maple	<i>Acer campestre</i>	Early-mature	9	1	250	No	7	1	1	2	5	No visual defects	Single stemmed. Slight lean south. Ivy covered.	75% dead/ absent. Small/ sparse. Moderate dieback. Moderate deadwood. Ivy covered.	Ivy prevented detailed inspection and accurate stem measurement. Ivy suppressing crown.	Poor	Fair	<10 yrs	Low	C	Recommended to sever/ remove Ivy regardless of development

Tree ID	Tree Species		Measurements					Crown (m)				Tree Condition						Value		Management		
	Common Name	Latin Name	Maturity	Height (m)	Stems	Stem Dia (mm)	Estimated	Ave Height	N	E	S	W	Roots	Stem	Crown	Comments	Physiology	Structural	Life Expectancy	Amenity	Category	Works
T47	Maple	<i>Acer campestre</i>	Early-mature	9	1	300	No	5	1	2	7	1	No visual defects	Single stemmed. Slight lean south. Ivy covered.	75% dead/absent. Small/sparse. Moderate dieback. Moderate deadwood. Ivy covered. Overhanging adjacent land.	Ivy prevented detailed inspection and accurate stem measurement. Ivy suppressing crown.	Poor	Fair	<10 yrs	Low	C	Recommended to sever/ remove Ivy regardless of development
T48	Maple	<i>Acer campestre</i>	Early-mature	9	1	300	No	5	3	1	1	2	No visual defects	Single stemmed. Slight lean north. Ivy covered.	75% dead/absent. Small/sparse. Moderate dieback. Moderate deadwood. Ivy covered.	Ivy prevented detailed inspection and accurate stem measurement. Ivy suppressing crown.	Poor	Fair	<10 yrs	Low	C	Recommended to sever/ remove Ivy regardless of development














Appendix 5:
Tree Constraints Plan
 'Tapper's Farm', Oxford Road, Bodicote
 Ref: AWA2120


BRITISH STANDARD 5837:2012
 RETENTION CATEGORIES
 Definitions of these categories can be found in Appendix 4 of this report.





SCALE: 1:500 PAPER: A1

	CATEGORY A: HIGH VALUE RETENTION MOST DESIRABLE
	CATEGORY B: MODERATE VALUE RETENTION DESIRABLE
	CATEGORY C: LOWER VALUE COULD BE RETAINED
	CATEGORY U: FOR REMOVAL
	RPA: ROOT PROTECTION AREA
	TREE STEM






Appendix 6:
Tree Impacts Plan
 'Tapper's Farm', Oxford Road, Bodicote
 Ref: AWAZ120
BRITISH STANDARD 5837:2012
SCALE: 1:500 PAPER: A1

	TREE/HEDGE TO BE RETAINED
	TREE/HEDGE TO BE REMOVED
	RPA: ROOT PROTECTION AREA
	TREE STEM