**From:** Caroline Clapson   
**Sent:** 03 January 2018 14:20  
**To:** Jenny Barker  
**Cc:** Caroline Ford  
**Subject:** RE: 17/02522/M106 - NW Bicester Exemplar - deed of variation proposed to S106

Hi Caroline -  I agree with this -  I am particularly concerned about the delayed provision of the community hall and if we are unsure whether the local centre /  shops will even be viable at 350 dws (based on previous experience, I suspect not), then I think we need to get some larger temporary meeting place secured if possible. There are also CMO ramifications for a delayed permanent meeting place.

Would like to be part of the discussion on this aspect if possible.

Caroline

**From:** Jenny Barker   
**Sent:** 22 December 2017 14:43  
**To:** Caroline Ford  
**Cc:** Battye, Hannah - E&E; Petrides, Christina; Fiona Brown; Michael Forester; Pearcy, Stephen; White, Joy - E&E; Ben Arrowsmith; Caroline Clapson  
**Subject:** RE: 17/02522/M106 - NW Bicester Exemplar - deed of variation proposed to S106

Hi Caroline

I think most of this is in line with what we had anticipated, however I believe more discussion is needed around the local centre provisions. The Bidwells report is probably what you would expect but there is nothing in the supporting information that gives comfort that the scheme is deliverable at 350 dwellings or how residents needs and expectations are to be met in the interim. I therefore think this aspect needs further exploration and meeting would be helpful to explore this issue.

In terms of codes and certification I think we just need to find a practical way of ensuring the buildings are constructed to the appropriate standard and confirmation is received.

Jenny