**From:** Michael Forester
**Sent:** 04 January 2018 15:32
**To:** Caroline Ford
**Subject:** RE: 17/02522/M106 - NW Bicester Exemplar - deed of variation proposed to S106

Hi Caroline,

We’ve spoken to Nicola Riley, Shared Community Services Manager, and she has said the following:

‘I can totally understand A2 asking if they can delay the building – it’s all cost and no capital return – but without a space the capacity for the community to coalesce around various activity and develop a sense of themselves and the kind of connectedness we think is important in a new community will be harder to generate later on.  So if we agree to delay it must be with the caveat that the community will need a larger ‘meanwhile’ space than currently available.  In short; I agree with CC!’

**Therefore can we comment that agreeing to the delay will be possible, but only on the basis that the community will need a larger temporary space than is currently available.**

Many thanks,

Michael

**From:** Caroline Ford
**Sent:** 04 January 2018 11:52
**To:** Michael Forester
**Cc:** Thomas Darlington
**Subject:** RE: 17/02522/M106 - NW Bicester Exemplar - deed of variation proposed to S106

Hi Michael,

There is a proposal as part of the modification to the S106 to delay the provision of the community hall from the 250th occupation to the 350th occupation. The community hall forms part of the local centre and sits at first floor so it is linked to that in terms of the commercial implications of delivery the local centre.

I have had some comments from Caroline Clapson, who worked on the original agreement, including the timing of facilities and she does have some concern around delaying the provision of the community space, therefore has suggested a potential need to secure a larger temporary meeting place if possible (currently there is only a house used as a temporary meeting space). Do you have any thoughts on this?

Thanks

Caroline

**Caroline Ford** BA. (Hons) MA MRTPI
Principal Planning Officer – Major Projects Planning Team
Development Management
Cherwell District Council

**From:** Michael Forester
**Sent:** 28 December 2017 11:15
**To:** Caroline Ford
**Cc:** Thomas Darlington
**Subject:** RE: 17/02522/M106 - NW Bicester Exemplar - deed of variation proposed to S106

Hi Caroline,

As there’s no request to modify any Leisure, Art or Community aspects we don’t need to comment.

Kind regards,

Michael

Michael Forester

Policy Development Officer

Leisure Facilities and Projects