


APPENDIX C

FEEDBACK RESPONSE SUMMARY

A summary of the responses collected via feedback forms at the phase 9 community consultation event on 12th October 2016

QUESTION 1

In the context of the fact that the phase 9 site has been allocated for housing within the Cherwell Local Plan, are you broadly in support of our proposals so far?



COMMENTS

1.1 Yes as it will revamp a neglected area.


1.2 Makes perfect sense and will improve the current appearance.

1.3 Broadly. But my concern is that the temporary dog park will disappear and not be fully replaced by another open, flat, green space for dog walking/people socialising and picnic-ing.

1.4 The Local Plan, as does this proposal, fails to address significant pressure this number of homes will place on local roads, train systems and local towns.

QUESTION 2

Do you think this is the most suitable place to begin the development of the additional 1600 units allocated to Heyford Park in the Cherwell Local Plan?




COMMENTS

2.1 Will redevelop an area currently not utilised.
2.2 Don't know. I moved to the area in July 2014 and am not fully informed on other areas that will eventually be built on (on the airbase).
2.3 Yes. As it is Brownfield land, it is the only place to start.
2.4 Brownfield site is more welcome than green.
2.5 Reluctant to use green fields – there is enough space on Heyford Park for housed required in existing footprint.

QUESTION 3

Do you agree with our revised strategy of distributing the extensive green infrastructure across the parcel?



COMMENTS

3.1 Yes as there needs to be these areas to keep the rural feel.

3.2 Absolutely – the revised plan is aesthetically better. It’s nicer to have green areas in the midst of houses.

3.3 The current temporary ‘Dog Park’ brings people together in one spot, so you see other neighbours out having a stroll, and have the chance to pause and chat. The circular footpath you’ve designed around the houses will likely take people in one of either two directions for their walk, so there’s less chance of bumping into people. Also, the overall feel will be less spacious unless there is a single park area included that matches the size of the dog park. People use the dog park every day, and the space is fully utilised with dog owners throwing their balls for their pet’s right across the park. It is lovely! I’m not currently convinced that present plan for the phase 9 site allows for this.

3.4 Seems a bit wrong to have play areas near a pond unless it is fenced.

QUESTION 4

Using the comment box below, can you give us some ideas regarding what you would like to see included within the allocated large play area to south east of the site. In particular please reference types of equipment and age of play.

COMMENTS

4.1 Water splash park (not like the one at Garth Park. A flat one with slide and water fountains to run through).

Cycle track/area for younger children.

Large slide (the size of the one at Souldern).

See-saw, climbing frame, roundabout, sandpit.

<p>Teenage play park. Basketball court.</p>
<p>4.2 Water/splash park area for toddlers/young kids. Skate park area for teenagers – look at Meridian End model in Peacehaven or Australian model. Sand base like in the pictures. Cycle area/running area. Dog agility area.</p>
<p>4.3 Some outdoor gym equipment for adults. My biggest priority would be adequate seating for picnic-ing/socialising.</p>
<p>4.4 It is very important that a play area can cater for a wide age group within the same fenced area. Toddlers/3-8 years/9-13 years, so you can go as a family. Also, skateboard ramp/park area.</p>
<p>4.5 Play area for all ages in one area, you can't split them across multi-areas.</p>
<p>4.6 All age play equipment that children can enjoy and be challenged by.</p>
<p>4.7 Be good to have play areas, foot paths linking different aspects of the village.</p>
<p>4.8 Aim to replace the tennis court/Basketball court that was opposite Soden Road.</p>

QUESTION 5

Do you have any further comments that you feel we should be aware of?

COMMENTS

<p>5.1 Keep the heritage of the place is it's getting lost and turning more into a small town. Keep the place rural, more village feel and look.</p>
<p>5.2 Allotments Community orchard An alternative to the dog park – even if it's smaller – would be great Agility equipment would be great Love the proposals for phase 9 ie. parks, LEAPs, wide path areas, pond</p>
<p>5.3 I finally hope that 'Affordable' is what it is going to be!</p>
<p>5.4 You indicate the B4030 and B430 are 'Key A Roads'. Besides being incorrect, these roads (and the adjacent routes) are simply not suitable for the number of cars which residents of this development will own. Prior to planning being submitted the transport strategy, along with MCNP needs to be significantly reworked.</p>
<p>5.5 Speed limit signs down Camp Road. Bins and dog mess bins in Hampden Square.</p>
<p>5.6 An orchard is needed for the community and mini allotments.</p>