**From:** Public Access DC Comments   
**Sent:** 04 September 2017 16:57  
**To:** Public Access DC Comments  
**Subject:** Comments for Planning Application 17/01466/F

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 4:57 PM on 04 Sep 2017 from Mr Tim Coggins.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Application Summary** | |
| **Address:** | Heyford Park Parcel B2A Camp Road Upper Heyford |
| **Proposal:** | Addition of approximately 310m of metal 'field' style railings painted black (Retrospective) |
| **Case Officer:** | Lewis Bankes-Hughes |
| [Click for further information](https://www.publicaccess.cherwell.gov.uk/online-applications/centralDistribution.do?caseType=Application&keyVal=OSQAJKEMKO700) | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Customer Details** | |
| **Name:** | Mr Tim Coggins |
| **Email:** |  |
| **Address:** | 18 Broad Way, Upper Heyford, Bicester OX25 5AD |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Comments Details** | |
| **Commenter Type:** | Neighbour |
| **Stance:** | Customer made comments neither objecting to or supporting the Planning Application |
| **Reasons for comment:** |  |
| **Comments:** | As a Bovis Homes customer I am unable to object to this planning application as this is a restriction in the deeds. Therefore I would like to clearly state this is neutral comment.   I have spoken to other Bovis Homes customers in this area and I believe the Parish Council's reasons for objection reflect the views of the vast majority of residents who are not allowed to object.  I feel the developer is also causing confusion. The one comment in support of this application (at the time of writing) focuses on the segregation this creates, where it's clear the area should be open, and does not reference safety. The comment also states that the fence "will also be in keeping with the section of railings already in place near to the play area" but in fact these railings are the subject of this planning application.  Before reserving a home in the area we specifically waited for the plans opposite to be be approved and they were, according to the design code, without this fence. It changes the character and the heritage of the conservation area.   On 17th July 2017 A Bovis Homes Director clearly stated to me "I did not state we were putting additional fencing in" but then these plans were published days later. In breach of planning control Bovis Homes continued completing the fence regardless. Developers must not think it is ok to do something then put in retrospective planning as a matter of course. |