# The Planning Inspectorate

### COMMENTS ON CASE (Online Version)

Please note that comments about this case need to be made within the timetable. This can be found in the notification letter sent by the local planning authority or the start date letter. Comments submitted after the deadline may be considered invalid and returned to sender.

# Appeal Reference: APP/C3105/W/17/3174363

DETAILS OF THE CASE		
Appeal Reference	APP/C3105/W/17/3174363	
Appeal By	HARLEQUIN GROUP	
Site Address	Land off B4035 Sibford Gower Oxfordshire OX15 6LL Grid Ref Easting: 435571 Grid Ref Northing: 238982	

SENDER DETAILS	
Name	MR TIM HUCKVALE
Address	2 Mannings Close Sibford Ferris BANBURY OX15 5RA

#### ABOUT YOUR COMMENTS

In what capacity do you wish to make representations on this case?

- Appellant
- Agent
- Mainterested Party / Person
- $\hfill\square$  Land Owner
- 🗌 Rule 6 (6)

What kind of representation are you making?

- □ Final Comments
- □ Proof of Evidence
- □ Statement
- $\hfill\square$  Statement of Common Ground
- ☑ Interested Party/Person Correspondence
- □ Other

#### YOUR COMMENTS ON THE CASE

I write to support the appellant. I am Chair of Sibford Ferris Parish Council, but I write in a personal capacity.

There is no doubt that mobile phone coverage in the Sibfords needs to be improved. Residents are constantly complaining about how bad it is. Every week I have to tell someone not to attempt to call my mobile number or send me a text message. It causes difficulty with some online services, which like to send an authentication code by text. BT are about to remove the public phone boxes from the Sibfords, which will make it more difficult for people out and about in the villages to contact the emergency services quickly.

I do a lot of walking in the beautiful countryside around the Sibfords, but I am prepared to accept what seems to be the relatively low impact of the proposed mast on the scenery. Going by the photographs supplied by the appellants, it will have less visual impact than the existing mast at Sibford Heath (grid reference SP345400), less than a mile away.

My one caveat is that I have seen no explanation of why the existing mast at Sibford Heath cannot be used instead of erecting a new one fairly close by, a question I have been asked. I hope the Inspector will address this point when coming to a decision.