**From:** Dominic Work [mailto:dominicwoodfield@bioscanuk.com]   
**Sent:** 21 March 2018 17:46  
**To:** Becky Bonnett  
**Cc:** Leanne.Palmer@pins.gsi.gov.uk; @virgin.net; @talktalk.net; swheatley@butterfly-conservation.org; Submit Appeal; Tom Plant; haidrunbreith@bbowt.org.uk; David Keene; Peter Chambers  
**Subject:** Re: APP/C3105/W/17/3189611 - Part Land on the North East Side of Gavray Drive, Bicester

Dear Leanne

Although directed at Save Gavray Meadows, who will no doubt respond on the other points, I have noted the reference in this correspondence to an ES addendum being provided "shortly before exchange of proofs".

Could I please request a clearer timescale for release of this document from the appellant?

I am juggling involvement in this inquiry with professional commitments, and other Rule 6 parties are likely to be equally or more stretched in terms of time and personnel resources. The appellant cannot reasonably be suggesting that it is acceptable for them on the one hand to issue a likely large volume of new technical information shortly before the exchange deadline, but then on the other to ask the inspector to refuse to accept any technical evidence filed in response more than a few days later.

I suggest this may require the imposition of a cut off date for technical evidence from PINS. Perhaps three weeks after issue of the addendum ES? In this context it will clearly assist the prospects of keeping to the inquiry timetable for the ES addendum to be available to all parties at the beginning of May.

Many thanks

Dominic Woodfield   
  
Sent from my iPhone