

Method Statement for Works within 500 m of Wendlebury Meads and Mansmoor Closes SSSI - East West Rail Core Works

Carillion-Buckingham Joint Venture

Project No. 855375





RSK GENERAL NOTES

Project No.: 855375-00

- Title:Method Statement for Works within 500 m of Wendlebury Meads and Mansmoor
Closes SSSI East West Rail Core Works
- Client: Carillion-Buckingham Joint Venture

Date: May 2014

- Office: Hemel Hempstead
- Status: Final

Author	Tanith Cook	Technical reviewer	Richard Carter
	From		D. Carte
Signature	- Chan	Signature	
Date:	16 th May 2014	Date:	16 th May 2014

RSK Environment has prepared this report for the sole use of the client, showing reasonable skill and care, for the intended purposes as stated in the agreement under which this work was completed. The report may not be relied upon by any other party without the express agreement of the client and RSK. No other warranty, expressed or implied, is made as to the professional advice included in this report.

Where any data supplied by the client or from other sources have been used, it has been assumed that the information is correct. No responsibility can be accepted by RSK for inaccuracies in the data supplied by any other party. The conclusions and recommendations in this report are based on the assumption that all relevant information has been supplied by those bodies from whom it was requested.

No part of this report may be copied or duplicated without the express permission of RSK and the party for whom it was prepared.

Where field investigations have been carried out, these have been restricted to a level of detail required to achieve the stated objectives of the work.

This work has been undertaken in accordance with the quality management system of RSK Environment.

Carillion-Buckingham Joint Venture

Method Statement for Works within 500 m of Wendlebury Meads and Mansmoor Closes SSSI – East West Rail Core Works 855375 - 00



CONTENTS

1	ΙΝΤΙ	RODUC	CTION	2
	1.1	Purpo	se of Method Statement	2
	1.2	Develo	opment Proposals	2
	1.3	Desigr	nated Site: Wendlebury Meads and Mansmoor Closes SSSI	3
		1.3.1	Qualifying Interest Features	3
2	DES	SCRIPT	ION OF PROJECT	5
	2.1	Purpo	se of Works	5
		2.1.1	Holts Farm Overbridge	5
		2.1.2	New Trackbed (existing rail corridor)	5
		2.1.3	New Bridleway	5
	2.2	Locati	on of Works	6
	2.3	Timeta	able of Works	6
	2.4	Notific	ations / Licenses	6
	2.5	Revisi	ons	7
3	SUN	/MARY	OF CONSTRUCTION IMPACTS	8
4	POT	ENTIA	L IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES	9
	4.1	Dust		9
		4.1.1	Potential Impact	9
		4.1.2	Mitigation Measures	9
	4.2	Vehicl	e Movements	.10
		4.2.1	Potential Impact	.10
		4.2.2	Mitigation Measures	.10
	4.3	Habita	t Loss	.10
		4.3.1	Potential Impact	.10
		4.3.2	Mitigation Measures	.11
	4.4	Groun	d Water and Surface Water Pollution and Drainage	.11
		4.4.1	Potential Impact	.11
		4.4.2	Mitigation Measures	.12
	4.5	Invasiv	ve Species	.12
		4.5.1	Potential Impact	.12
		4.5.2	Mitigation Measures	.12
	4.6	Protec	ted Species	.13
		4.6.1	Potential Impact	.13
		4.6.2	Mitigation Measures	.13
	4.7	Lightir	ig and Noise	.14
		4.7.1	Potential Impact	.14
		4.7.2	Mitigation Measures	.14
5	DES	SCRIPT	ION OF ACTIVITIES, RISKS AND MITIGATION	.16
6	REF	ERENO	CES	.20
AP	PEN	DIX 1 F	IGURES	.21
AP	PEN	DIX 2 R	EPTILE METHOD STATEMENT	.22



1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Purpose of Method Statement

RSK Environment Ltd has been commissioned by Carillion-Buckingham Joint Venture (CBJV) to produce a Method Statement to discharge East West Rail (EWR) Phase 1, *Planning Condition 16 Protection of National and European Designated Sites*. Planning Condition 16 states that no development connected with EWR Phase 1 works can commence within 500m of Wendlebury Meads and Mansmoor Closes Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) until a Method Statement for the works is approved by the local planning authority (LPA) and Natural England.

This Method Statement sets out working methods and materials to be used in the EWR core works that will be located within 500m of Wendlebury Meads and Mansmoor Closes SSSI (see *Figure 1* in *Appendix 1*).

The Method Statement sets out good working practices and specific safeguard measures to ensure that impacts on ecology are either avoided or minimised, and that the qualifying interest features of the SSSI are maintained (as specified in *Environmental Statement (ES) Volume 2,* Environmental Resources Management (ERM) 2009 and *Chiltern Railways (Bicester to Oxford Improvements) Order Code of Construction Practice (CoCP) Version 6* (Environmental Resources Management 2013)).

The Method Statement will be used by contractors and will be kept on site at all times.

It is understood that a Method Statement for the Advanced Works (RSK 2014) has been approved by Natural England (personal communication between O. Euesden, Natural England and L.Griffiths, Cherwell District Council, April 1st, 2014).

1.2 Development Proposals

Carillion plc and Buckingham Group Contracting Ltd (a joint venture (JV)) will design and build a short connecting railway just south of Bicester, where Chiltern Railways' London to Birmingham Moor Street line crosses over the Bletchly to Oxford line. The latter will be upgraded from just east of Bicester Town station to Oxford, including restoring the double track that was removed in the 1970s and installing new signalling and safety systems. Bicester Town and Islip stations will be rebuilt and additional platforms provided at Oxford; and a new station will be constructed near Water Eaton, called Water Eaton Parkway, to serve Kidlington and North Oxford.

A Transport and Works Act Order (TWAO) application (to construct the Scheme) was submitted to the Secretary of State for Transport in January 2010. Public Inquiries were held in November 2010 and May 2012. The Chiltern Railways (Bicester to Oxford Improvements) Order (the Order) was approved by the Secretary of State on 17th October 2012. The completed scheme will allow the operation of passenger services



between London and Oxford in addition to existing freight services and provide additional capacity for services forming part of the East-West Rail (EWR) scheme, which will link Oxford to Milton Keynes, Bedford and beyond.

The details in this method statement relate specifically to the core works that will be located within 500m of Wendlebury Meads and Mansmoor Closes SSSI. These works will include the construction of a new overbridge at Holts Farm to replace an existing level crossing, a new track bed on the existing rail corridor, and a new bridleway (see *Figures 1 and 2* in *Appendix 1*).

1.3 Designated Site: Wendlebury Meads and Mansmoor Closes SSSI

1.3.1 Qualifying Interest Features

The following information has been taken from the Natural England SSSI citation document for the SSSI¹.

'The 73.2 ha Wendlebury Meads and Mansmoor Closes SSSI in Oxfordshire (SP 562 175) is notified under Section 28 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981. It contains a series of traditionally-managed unimproved meadows that support a complex variety of plant communities including excellent examples of some of the few surviving calcareous clay pasture communities in southern England. The flora is exceptionally diverse with more than 160 plant species present, many of which are widely distributed and intricately mixed throughout the site.'

Habitats	Species present
Grassland (ridges)	Agrostis capillaris (Common Bent), Festuca rubra (Red Fescue), Cynosurus cristatus (Crested Dog's-tail), Anthoxanthum odoratum (Sweet Vernal-grass) and Briza media (Quaking-grass) with a number of herbs including Rhinanthus minor (Yellow-rattle), Centaurea nigra (Common Knapweed), Silaum silaus (Pepper-saxifrage) and Succisa pratensis (Devil's-bit Scabious).
Hayfields	Achillea ptarmica (Sneezewort), Dactylorhiza fuchsii (Common Spotted-orchid), Filipendula vulgaris (Dropwort), Ophioglossum vulgatum (Adder's-tongue), Anacamptis morio (Green-winged Orchid) and Serratula tinctoria (Saw-wort).
Grazed fields	Plants confined to the grazed field are fewer in number and include species typical of poached ground such as <i>Achillea millefolium</i> (Yarrow), <i>Bellis perennis</i> (Daisy) and <i>Cirsium arvense</i> (Creeping Thistle).

Table A A MALER II.				6
Table 1.1: wendlebur	y meads and mansmoor C	Joses SSSI 9	special interest	reatures

¹ <u>http://www.sssi.naturalengland.org.uk/citation/citation_photo/1001141.pdf</u>

Carillion-Buckingham Joint Venture

Method Statement for Works within 500 m of Wendlebury Meads and Mansmoor Closes SSSI – East West Rail Core Works 855375 - 00



Sedge-rich meadow	<i>Carex paniculata</i> (Greater Tussock-sedge), <i>Carex flacca</i> (Glaucous Sedge), <i>Carex disticha</i> (Brown Sedge), <i>Carex caryophyllea</i> (Spring-sedge) and <i>Carex hostiana</i> (Tawny Sedge) occurring with <i>Danthonia decumbens</i> (Heath-grass) and <i>Cirsium dissectum</i> (Meadow Thistle).
Hedges	<i>Crataegus monogyna</i> (Hawthorn), <i>Prunus spinosa</i> (Blackthorn) and <i>Rosa sp.</i> (Rose species). A total of 14 woody species have been recorded in hedges bordering the Mansmoor Closes and alongside the parish boundary.
Fauna	Species present
Birds	The bird fauna includes breeding <i>Gallinago gallinago</i> (Snipe) and <i>Numenius arquata</i> (Curlew). Other species include <i>Pluvialis apricaria</i> (Golden Plover), <i>Sylvia communis</i> (Whitethroat), <i>Sylvia curruca</i> (Lesser Whitethroat), <i>Emberiza schoeniclus</i> (Reed Bunting), <i>Locustella naevia</i> (Grasshopper Warbler), <i>Milvus milvus</i> (Red Kite), <i>Falco columbarius</i> (Merlin), <i>Pyrrhula pyrrhula</i> (Bullfinch), <i>Emberiza calandra</i> (Corn Bunting), <i>Locustrella naevia</i> (Grasshopper Warbler), <i>Perix perdix</i> (Grey Partridge), <i>Passer domesticus</i> (House Sparrow), <i>Carduelis cannabina</i> (Linnet), <i>Emberiza schoeniclus</i> (Reed Bunting), <i>Sturna vulgaris</i> (Starling), <i>Alauda arvensis</i> (Skylark), <i>Vanellus vanellus</i> (Lapwing), <i>Turdus philomelos</i> (Song Thrush), <i>Cuculus canorus</i> (Cuckoo), <i>Passer montanus</i> (Tree Sparrow), <i>Emberiza citronella</i> (Yellowhammer) and <i>Tyto alba</i> (Barn Owl).
Invertebrates	The meadows support large numbers of common butterflies, including <i>Maniola jurtina</i> (Meadow Brown), <i>Pyronia tithonus</i> (Gatekeeper), <i>Lycaena phlaeas</i> (Small Copper), <i>Polyommatus icarus</i> (Common Blue), <i>Pieris</i> <i>napi</i> (Green-veined White) and <i>Melanargia galathea</i> (Marbled White).



2 DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT

2.1 Purpose of Works

The EWR core works (see *Figures 1 and 2* in *Appendix 1*) will come to within 500 m of the Wendlebury Meads and Mansmoor Closes SSSI. The core works will include the construction of a new overbridge, a new trackbed in the existing rail corridor, and a new bridleway.

2.1.1 Holts Farm Overbridge

- Topsoil stripped and stockpiled for re-use on batters;
- Soft alluvial layers dug out and replaced with engineering fill;
- Diversion of existing ditches and installation of new drainage to outfall so as not to impact upon the SSSI;
- Construction of reinforced earth abutments;
- Construction of new approach embankments using site-won or imported engineering fill to achieve the required profile;
- Installation of new bridge deck;
- Installation of bridge parapets; and
- Surfacing to new access road.

2.1.2 New Trackbed (existing rail corridor)

- Removal of existing rail line and sleepers;
- Removal of existing rail ballast for processing and re-use;
- Re-profiling of railway corridor to achieve new trackbed levels;
- Construction of new top-surface to railway to act as haul road for traffic or for placement of bottom ballast;
- Construction of new trackbed to include the installation of geotextile separator and 300mm layer of bottom ballast;
- Installation of new permanent way (i.e. new sleepers and rail to form two new rail lines); and
- Installation of minor signalling infrastructure to facilitate the signalling works associated with the new railway.

2.1.3 New Bridleway

Replacement private rights of way and public rights of way are required to enable the landowners to continue their agricultural operations and to ensure continued proper management of the SSSI.

The new bridleway/footpath (see *Figure 2* in *Appendix 1*) will be located on existing arable/improved grassland field and will be approximately 3 m wide, formed from an



unmade field path to provide access into the Berks, Bucks and Oxon Wildlife Trust (BBOWT) Reserve. Gravel or similar material will be laid around access gates where necessary. Access is required by BBOWT for their farm machinery for hay cutting and hedging. The bridleway will lie to the west of an existing double hedge and north of a single hedge that forms the north-west boundary to the SSSI.

The creation of the bridleway will require the removal of three sections of hedgerow (see *Figure 2* in *Appendix 1*). Two 9.9 m sections of the double hedge will need to be removed for a vehicle gate, a bridleway gate, and 1 or 2 culverts over the drainage ditches. Mature trees will be retained. A small section (3.5 m gap) of a hedgerow that runs west away from the SSSI will also require removal.

2.2 Location of Works

The location of works is shown in *Figure 1 and 2* in *Appendix 1*. The new overbridge will be approximately 0.4 km west and south of the SSSI. The new trackbed will be immediately adjacent to the SSSI. The new bridleway will be approximately 10-15 m to the west of the SSSI, but will be separated by a double hedge and ditches. The new bridleway to the north of the SSSI will be separated by a narrower hedge and tree line, but will still be outside the SSSI.

2.3 Timetable of Works

Works within 500 m of the SSSI are scheduled to commence from 29th May 2014. They will start with earthworks, which will include track access up to and under the M40 motorway only (from 5th June to 1st July 2014). Potential culvert works and earthworks from Holts Farm to the M40 are scheduled from late July; and cable trough, equipment bases, and bottom ballast works are scheduled from early September to early October 2014.

The new overbridge works are scheduled to start from early June and should be complete by early November 2014. The overbridge and new footpaths should be open by 18th November 2014.

The works will be undertaken during daylight hours only (e.g. 0700 – 1700 hours).

The timeframe of works in relation to protected species is set out in Section 3.

2.4 Notifications / Licenses

There are three ponds referred to as ponds 74, 75 and 80 in the *Great Crested Newt Mitigation Plan* (Environmental Resources Management 2011; *Figures 3* and 4 in *Appendix 1*). Great Crested Newts were recorded in these ponds. Therefore, a



European Protected Species Mitigation (EPSM) licence has been granted (EPSM 2012-5337:H) to legally allow development works within 100m of the ponds to proceed.

A key part of the EPSM licence is to exclude the newts from the development area. Therefore, a translocation programme, relocating newts from the railway corridor is underway, 30 capture days have been exceeded and translocation will stop once no newts have been recorded over five days. The newts have been relocated to a receptor site, a protected area outside of the development area. The receptor sites are one meter strips between the licensed works area boundary and the newt exclusion fencing, either side of the rail line.

Habitat within 0 to 50 m of a great crested newt waterbody is described as 'core habitat' considered of high importance. Habitat within 50 - 250 m of a great crested newt waterbody is described as 'intermediate habitat', and is considered to be of moderate importance for great crested newt. And habitat within 250 - 500 m of a great crested newt waterbody is described as 'distant habitat' and is considered to be of low importance. Great Crested Newts have been found to move over considerable distances, but newts commonly move between ponds that are within 250 m of each other.

Ponds 74 and 75 are within the SSSI boundary and are located next to and within 50 m of the rail track, respectively. Ponds 74 and 75 are over 500 m from the overbridge works area, Pond 80 is outside of the SSSI boundary and is within 100 m of the track and approximately 350 m from the overbridge works area. Therefore, the track works are located within 'intermediate habitat. Although the track within 100 meters of ponds 74, 75 and 80 will have had newts excluded from the works area, there is potential for newts to be present within track habitat outside of this 100 m. This is because newts are known to disperse more than 250 m as detailed above. Therefore, it has been agreed as a precautionary approach that the track works within 250 m of ponds 74, 75 and 80 will be carried out after a destructive and/or hand search of the track has been completed. This will involve vegetation removal, soil strip, and dismantling railway infrastructure under supervision of an ecologist. This is to ensure that no newts are unintentionally killed, or injured during the works.

Any newts found during the above works or incidentally found during works within 500 m of the ponds will be translocated by a trained member of staff to the receptor site in accordance with the existing provisions of the licence.

2.5 Revisions

Should changes to the works be required, the LPA and Natural England will be consulted to determine whether the changes pose a potential threat to the nature conservation interest of the SSSI.

Any amendments to the work activity will be fed back into the Method Statement.



3 SUMMARY OF CONSTRUCTION IMPACTS

In summary the construction activities comprise:

- the construction of a new overbridge;
- the construction of a new trackbed; and
- the construction of a new bridleway.

There will be no direct impact on the Wendlebury Meads and Mansmoor Closes SSSI from the EWR Core Works. The new overbridge is approximately 0.4 km west and south of the SSSI and, therefore, too far away for direct impact. Furthermore, the new bridleway will simply be an unmade field margin involving no construction as such. . However, the construction of the new trackbed will involve high numbers of construction vehicles and associated works for approximately 110 days and will be located immediately adjacent to the SSSI boundary. Therefore, the SSSI is potentially susceptible to impacts from these activities during the construction period. These impacts were identified in the *Environmental Statement Volume 2 (Chapters 5 -156)* (Environmental Resources Management 2009) as follows:

- disturbance and damage from construction works within or immediately adjacent to the boundary of the SSSI;
- ground water/ surface water pollution and change to hydrological regime;
- dust smothering; and
- the introduction of invasive species.



4 POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

The following headings cover the potential impacts and the mitigation measures. Providing that mitigation measures are adhered to, no significant impact on the SSSI is predicted (Environmental Resources Management 2009). This Method Statement will be kept on site at all times and the contractors must be given ecological awareness training prior to the onset of construction. This will inform them of the location and sensitivity of the adjacent SSSI and the importance of implementing the mitigation measures described here.

Should any re-siting of works be required, the ecologist should be contacted immediately to ensure that the working corridor or associated infrastructure will be moved only to areas of habitat with equal or lower nature conservation value, and will not be moved to any areas that may increase the impact of the scheme. Natural England may need to be contacted for approval.

4.1 Dust

4.1.1 Potential Impact

Dust from the construction of the new trackbed and overbridge may impact on plant and animal species in the SSSI. Dust may have physical effects on plants (e.g. smothering, blockage and damage to stomata, shading, abrasion of leaf surface or cuticle) and cumulative effects (e.g. stress on already stressed plants). Long-term damage can result from chemical changes in the soil, e.g. changes in the species composition or community structure. Dust inhaled by animal species may have adverse physiological effects on the respiratory system.

4.1.2 Mitigation Measures

The construction works will take place under the working procedures and best practices set out in *Chapter 7 Dust and Air Pollution* of the *CoCP* (Environmental Resources Management 2013) and *Chapter 13 Air Quality and Dust* of the *Environmental Statement Volume 2* (Environmental Resources Management 2009). These procedures include standard and targeted control measures to avoid the creation and spread of dust particles during works, including:

- The provision of a physical barrier between the works areas and sensitive habitats in designated sites.
- Watering and damping down of potential sources of dust including aggregate materials. Tractors and water bowsers will be available for this.
- Maintaining maximum possible separation of the work areas from designated sites.



- Timing of works, avoiding periods of heavy rainfall to avoid leachate, and very dry periods when dust may blow about.
- Limit on-site vehicle speeds to 20 mph.
- The use of construction machinery designed to minimise dust generation.

4.2 Vehicle Movements

4.2.1 Potential Impact

Plant species in the SSSI may be impacted by vehicle pollution and vehicle incursion into the SSSI. Air pollution from vehicle exhausts, can have adverse effects on plant or animal health. For example, by way of nitrogen enrichment that can alter plant communities by changing species composition.

4.2.2 Mitigation Measures

- Temporary work areas (including site access) will wherever possible be situated on existing areas of hard standing, bare ground or areas of low nature conservation value (e.g. arable fields or improved grassland).
- The working area will be fenced to control the incursion of personnel and vehicles into adjacent habitats.
- Vehicle movements on site will be restricted to allocated routes only, so that adjacent vegetation is not directly affected, and so that soil compaction is restricted to these routes.
- Construction vehicles will be checked regularly for leaks of oil, fuel or other fluids, and will be equipped with spillage contingency kits.
- No construction vehicles will enter the SSSI.
- Construction vehicle movements will be restricted to daylights hours (i.e. 0700 to 1700 hours).
- Atmospheric pollution will be reduced by the following advice to drivers: shutting off engines when the vehicle is idle for more than one minute; turning off air conditioning five minutes before entering the compound; and not accelerating or braking sharply.

4.3 Habitat Loss

4.3.1 Potential Impact

Construction of the new overbridge and the new tracks will require the clearance of dense scrub and hedgerows located within and adjacent to the rail line. The new bridleway will require the clearance of three sections of hedgerow.

The SSSI habitat will not be directly impacted.



4.3.2 Mitigation Measures

- Habitat loss will be limited to the minimum needed for the safe implementation of the works.
- The clearance of mature trees will be avoided.
- Temporary work areas (including site access) will wherever possible be situated on existing areas of hard standing, bare ground, or other areas of low nature conservation value (e.g. arable fields or improved grassland).
- On completion of the scheme, vegetation (e.g. new hedges and trees) will be re-planted along the rail corridor and roads.

4.4 Ground Water and Surface Water Pollution and Drainage

4.4.1 Potential Impact

Runoff from construction works can alter the natural drainage conditions and potentially cause ground or surface water pollution. As specified in *Chapter 9 Water Resources and Flood Risk* in the *Environmental Statement Volume 2* (Environmental Resources Management 2009) Wendlebury Meads and Mansmoor Closes SSSI is highly sensitive to changes in drainage conditions. Therefore, should impacts occur they would be of moderate to major significance.

New drainage is proposed for the overbridge and culverts will be built adjacent to the new bridleway where it crosses a hedge (see *Figure 2* in *Appendix 1*). The new bridleway is located adjacent to a ditch associated with the existing hedgerow. The low level of use of the bridleway will present no risk of hydrocarbon pollution of the drained water (Environmental Resources Management 2010).

The proposed drainage of the track leading to the overbridge and related earthwork approaches will be provided by ditches with all surface water runoff attenuated before being discharged into any existing drainage system. Drainage of the track and earthworks north of the railway will drain northwards (away from the track) and to the south of the railway, attenuated runoff will be discharged into the existing ditches adjacent to Mansmoor Road. Attenuation storage for the overbridge will be through the use of linear features (i.e. oversized ditches) (see *Figure 5* in *Appendix 1*).

There will be new drainage systems installed in approximately 500m of track, from just west of the M40 Motorway. They will discharge into an existing watercourse at Chainage 115940, which is immediately east and west of the SSSI boundary (i.e. the SSSI is either side of the railway line). Given the existing topography in this drainage catchment, Atkins who has designed the drainage suggest that there is a negligible change in the runoff being discharged into the watercourse at Chainage 115940 (P. Stanbury, Project Manager, Atkins, email communication, May 8th 2014).

Ditches to the west of the existing Holts Farm level crossing will be backfilled and will be replaced with a filter drain. Surface water filter drains, surface water ditches, and existing ditches will be used. A carrier drain will be used to the south of the railway to



mitigate risk of drainage impact on pond 77 (see *Figure 6* in *Appendix 1*). The pond is not within the SSSI boundary and is not subject to the newt EPS licence application.

Construction management measures to prevent adverse impacts on the SSSI from water pollution including spill leakages and piling works are specified in *Chapter 9 Protection of the Water Environment* of the *CoCP* (Environmental Resources Management 2013) and in *Chapter 9 (Water Resources and Flood Risk) of the Environmental Statement Volume 2* (Environmental Resources Management 2009).

Works will be undertaken according to Environment Agency Pollution Prevention Guidelines (PPGs) to prevent any pollution incidents affecting ecologically sensitive habitats.

4.4.2 Mitigation Measures

- Ditch diversions and new drainage installations in connection with the new overbridge works will be so constructed as to ensure that there is no impact upon the SSSI.
- Temporary drains will be no larger than is needed to ensure free drainage of tracks and work areas.
- Ditches and drains will be regularly maintained and checked for blockages.
- Diesel storage tanks and any other chemical stores will be located away from watercourses. Tanks will be double skinned or surrounded by a bund capable of retaining 110% of the maximum stored volume.
- Watercourses will be protected from sedimentation and from run-off from pollution incidents. They will be further protected by such systems as wheel washing areas, pollution valves, and temporary water storage.

4.5 Invasive Species

4.5.1 Potential Impact

No invasive species have been recorded in the vicinity of the SSSI (e.g. along the railway adjacent to the SSSI, where invasive species are relatively likely as they often spread on railways). Therefore, care should be taken to prevent the introduction of invasive species through dirty lorries or imported hardcore or soil. Should invasive species be identified within the construction zone, the following measures will implemented.

4.5.2 Mitigation Measures

- The guidance provided in the Department of Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (DEFRA) (2011) *Helping to Prevent the Spread of Invasive Non-Native Species, Horticultural Code of Practice* will be followed.
- If any invasive species listed on *Schedule 9, Part II of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981,* as amended is identified within the works area or in close proximity to the boundary, a strategy to ensure the control of the species



and prevent their spread in and beyond the site will be devised by qualified specialists following the Environment Agency's guidelines.

4.6 **Protected Species**

4.6.1 Potential Impact

Protected and notable species known or likely to be present in adjacent habitats include breeding birds, Great Crested Newts and common reptiles. Hedges, scrub and trees provide nest sites for birds and sheltering habitat for reptiles and Great Crested Newt during their terrestrial phase. The following measures will be implemented to ensure that any protected species that use the site are protected from the impacts of construction.

In the unlikely event that a protected species or notable species is found during the works, the works will immediately be suspended and ecological advice will promptly be taken.

A reptile method statement has been prepared by BSG Ecology for other sections of the route (see *Appendix 2*). The method statement approach has been previously agreed with Natural England (Charlotte Frizzell, Land Use Operations – 2^{nd} August 2013) and should also be followed in association with these core works.

4.6.2 Mitigation Measures

- Any works within 250 m of ponds 74, 75 and 80 will be carried out under an ecological watching brief involving a careful and systematic hand search for Great Crested Newts and also the hand-dismantling of any potential places of refuge for newts.
- Toolbox talks will be provided on site prior to any construction works to explain potential ecological issues that may arise and what to do about them.
- Vegetation removal (e.g. trees and scrub) will take place outside of the breeding bird season (which runs from March to August, inclusive). Where this is not possible, a search for any nesting birds prior to vegetation clearance will be undertaken by an ecologist. If any nests are found, they will be protected by an exclusion zone. Works may then proceed up to, but not within, this exclusion zone. Works may be completed once an ecologist has confirmed that the young have fledged the nest. If nesting birds are found at any time during clearance works, the work will stop immediately and an ecologist will be consulted.
- All excavations or earthworks must be covered overnight to prevent any animal from becoming trapped (or ramps should be provided to enable escape). Excavations must be checked for any animals prior to backfilling.
- Rubble, spoil and any other materials should be stored off the ground e.g. on pallets, or placed in skips to ensure that suitable temporary refuges for Great Crested Newt are not created.
- The duration of ground works will be kept as short as possible.

Carillion-Buckingham Joint Venture



- Where possible, the surrounding grassland around the new overbridge will be kept short (i.e. regularly mown or grazed) to discourage any protected or notable species from seeking cover in this area.
- The works will be undertaken during the day only.

4.7 Lighting and Noise

4.7.1 Potential Impact

The works will be undertaken during daylight hours only. Lighting and noise is not listed as an operation likely to damage the special interest of the SSSI on Natural England's information page². This is because the SSSI is designated for its plant communities rather than fauna. However, noise from construction works may affect animal species within and adjacent to the SSSI. Traffic noise can reduce the distance over which acoustic signals can be heard ("acoustic masking") and produce non-auditory physiological effects such as increased heart rate and general stress reaction. Behavioural effects vary between animal species, but can include abandonment of territory and lost reproduction. Birds are likely to maintain a stand-off distance from the works and be displaced into other suitable areas.

The noise for the bridge and trackbed construction from lorries, forklifts etc will be intermittent, therefore, only minor to low noise impacts are expected (Environmental Resources Management 2013).

The following measures (taken from *Chapter 6 Noise and Vibration* within the *CoCP* (Environmental Resources Management 2013) and Environmental Statement (Environmental Resources Management 2009) will so far as possible be implemented to reduce impacts relating to noise.

4.7.2 Mitigation Measures

- The works will be limited to daylight hours.
- Traffic will be limited to 20 mph.
- Plant will be operated in an appropriate manner to minimise noise emissions (including regular maintenance of the plant).
- Modern, silenced and well-maintained plant will be used at all times (fitted where appropriate with efficient attenuators or acoustic covers). All relevant plant and equipment will meet the noise limit and noise marking requirements prescribed by the Noise Emission in the Environment by Equipment for Use Outdoors Regulations 2001, implementing EU Directive 2000/14/EC.
- Equipment, including vehicles, will be shut down when not in use or throttled down to a minimum.
- The Contractor will adhere to the codes of practice for construction working and piling set out in BS 5228 'Noise Control on Construction and Open Sites'

Carillion-Buckingham Joint Venture

² <u>http://www.sssi.naturalengland.org.uk/Special/sssi/old/OLD1001141.pdf</u>



insofar as these are reasonably practicable and applicable to the construction works.

• Raised voices will be kept to a minimum.



5 DESCRIPTION OF ACTIVITIES, RISKS AND MITIGATION

Table 5.1: General Activities

General Activity	Environmental Risk	Mitigation/ Environmental Control Measures (this list is not exhaustive and detailed mitigation and control measures should be followed as detailed in the CoCP and ES)	Timing	Roles and Responsibilities	Plan Ref.	Cross Reference with CoCP and ES	Action Completed (Y/N)
General Site Management	Land Contamination – Dust	Damp down potential dust sources Provide a physical barrier between works areas and sensitive habitats Time works to avoid very dry periods Limit vehicle speed (e.g. 20 mph)	June 2014 – November 2014	Construction Site Manager	Figures 1,2, 5 and 6, Appendix 1	CoCP – Chapter 7 ES – Chapter 13	
	Land Contamination – Ground water / surface water pollution	Locate all oil storage tanks away from waterbodies Use tanks that are double skinned or surrounded by a bund, capable of retaining 110% of tank volume Maintain all plant equipment Keep temporary drains to a minimum Avoid transportation of fuel across the site Provide spillage kits	June 2014 – November 2014	Construction Site Manager	Figures 1,2, 5 and 6, Appendix 1	CoCP – Chapter 9 ES – Chapter 9	



General Activity	Environmental Risk	Mitigation/ Environmental Control Measures (this list is not exhaustive and detailed mitigation and control measures should be followed as detailed in the CoCP and ES)	Timing	Roles and Responsibilities	Plan Ref.	Cross Reference with CoCP and ES	Action Completed (Y/N)
	Land Contamination – Invasive species	Follow the EA guidelines for invasive species control and eradication Follow good practice in order to avoid unintentional introduction of invasive species (e.g. through newly imported hardcore or soil and vehicles).	June 2014 – November 2014	Construction Site Manager	N/A	CoCP – Chapter 10 ES – Chapter 8	
	Noise levels	Limit traffic to 20 mph. Operate plant to minimise noise emissions Use modern silenced plant Shut down equipment when not in use Keep shouting and raised voices to a minimum	June 2014 – November 2014	Construction Site Manager	N/A	CoCP – Chapter 6 ES – Chapter 6	
Construction - Site Clearance (soil stripping and vegetation removal)	Damage to habitats and species outside the SSSI site boundary (e.g. habitat loss, encroachment)	Contractors to be made aware of the location of the SSSI Site fence to be kept intact to control incursion of personnel or works vehicles into adjacent habitats Works areas to be sited on habitats of low ecological value (e.g. arable field and or	June – October 2014	Construction Site Manager	Figures 1 and 2, Appendix 1	CoCP – Chapter 10 ES – Chapter 8	

Carillion-Buckingham Joint Venture

Method Statement for Works within 500 m of Wendlebury Meads and Mansmoor Closes SSSI – East West Rail Core Works 855375-00



General Activity	Environmental Risk	Mitigation/ Environmental Control Measures (this list is not exhaustive and detailed mitigation and control measures should be followed as detailed in the CoCP and ES)	Timing	Roles and Responsibilities	Plan Ref.	Cross Reference with CoCP and ES	Action Completed (Y/N)
		improved grassland) Mature trees to be protected Report any incidents that may cause damage to the SSSI to an ecologist					
	Protected or notable species (disturbance) (e.g. habitat loss, noise)	Avoid works during the breeding bird period or undertake a nesting bird check prior to vegetation clearance Carry out work under ecological watching briefs (hand searches and destructive searches) within Great Crested Newt licenced areas (i.e. up to 250 m of ponds 74, 75 and 80) Undertake the works during daytime hours only Provide tool box talks, where necessary Cover excavations overnight or provide ramps to allow animals to escape Rubble, spoil and other materials to be stored off the ground	June – October 2014	Construction Site Manager Ecologist	Figures 3 and 4, Appendix 1	CoCP – Chapter 6 and 10 ES – Chapter 6 and 8	



General Activity	Environmental Risk	Mitigation/ Environmental Control Measures (this list is not exhaustive and detailed mitigation and control measures should be followed as detailed in the CoCP and ES)	Timing	Roles and Responsibilities	Plan Ref.	Cross Reference with CoCP and ES	Action Completed (Y/N)
		Immediately suspend works if protected species or notable species are found and take advice from ecologist immediately					



6 **REFERENCES**

Environmental Resources Management (2010) *Accommodation Works at Holts Farm Bridge and Potential Impacts on Wendlebury Meads SSSI, Appendix ASC 4.* Environmental Resources Management, London.

Environmental Resources Management (2009) *The Chiltern Railways (Bicester to Oxford Improvements) Order Environmental Statement: Volume 2, Assessment of Impacts.* Environmental Resources Management, London.

Environmental Resources Management (2013) *Project 0172205 Chiltern Railways (Bicester to Oxford Improvements) Order, Code of Construction Practice – Version 6.* Environmental Resources Management, London.

Department of Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (2011) *Helping to Prevent the Spread of Invasive Non-Native Species, Horticultural Code of Practice.* Department of Environment, Food and Rural Affairs, London.

RSK (2014) Site Clearance Method Statement – East West Rail Advance Works. RSK, Helsby.

RSK (2014) Method Statement for Works within 500 m of Wendlebury Meads and Mansmoor Closes SSSI – East West Rail Advanced Works. RSK, Helsby.



APPENDIX 1 FIGURES

- Figure 1 Wendlebury Meads SSSI and Core Works Location Plan
- Figure 2 PRoW General Arrangement Beebont Bridleway
- Figure 3 Meta-population 3 Holts Farm Crossing: Impacts
- Figure 4 Meta–population 3 Holts Farm Crossing: Capture and Exclusion Measures
- Figure 5 General Arrangement Holts Farm Accommodation Track Proposed Drainage
- Figure 6 General Arrangement Holts Farm, Railway Line Drainage





© ERM This print is confidential and is supplied on the understanding that it will be used only as a record to identify or inspect parts, concepts or designs and that it is not disclosed to other persons or to be used for construction purposes without permission.

THE SERVICE INFORMATION SHOWN ON THESE DRAWINGS HAS BEEN COLLATED FROM SERVICE RETURNS DATED 12/11/2012 IN RELATION TO THE EAST WEST RAIL CORRIDOR. NO LIABILITY OF ANY KIND WHATSOEVER IS ACCEPTED BY ATKINS LIMITED, IT'S SERVANT OR AGENTS, FOR ANY ERROR OR OMISSION IN RESPECT OF INFORMATION CONTAINED ON THE PLANS PROVIDED. THIS PLAN IS A COMPILATION OF A NUMBER OF PLANS PROVIDED AT DIFFERENT SCALES AND IS INTENDED TO PROVIDE A GRAPHICAL REPRESENTATION OF SERVICES WITHIN THE AREA AND IT IS IMPOSSIBLE TO GUARANTEE ACCURACY. IN ADDITION IT IS A COMPILATION OF INFORMATION SUPPLIED BY UTILITY COMPANIES WHO DO NOT GUARANTEE THE ACCURACY OF THE INFORMATION. THE UNDERGROUND SERVICES MUST BE VERIFIED AND ESTABLISHED ON SITE BEFORE ANY EXCAVATION IS CARRIED OUT. IT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF ANY ON SITE CONTRACTOR TO IDENTIFY AND LOCATE ANY UTILITY PLANT PRIOR TO DEVELOPMENT WORK GOING AHEAD.

SERVICES LEGEND:

—— BT——	BT	——————————————————————————————————————
	BT (OVERHEAD)	— — — — HV— EHV MAIN (OV
CW	CABLE AND WIRELESS	HV MAIN
	GAS (HIGH PRESSURE)	— — — — HV— HV MAIN (OVE
—— IP ——	GAS (INTERMEDIATE PRESSURE)	LV MAIN
MP	GAS (MEDIUM PRESSURE)	— — — — LV—LV MAIN (OVE
LP	GAS (LOW PRESSURE)	SEWERWATER SEWE
GC	GLOBAL CROSSING	
GPSS	GOVERNMENT PIPELINES & STORAGE SYSTEM	

SCOTTISH SOUTHERN ENERGY (GAS) HV EHV MAIN — — — HV— EHV MAIN (OVERHEAD) HV MAIN - - - - - +V- +V MAIN (OVERHEAD) LV MAIN — — — LV—LV MAIN (OVERHEAD) ------SEWER------ WATER SEWER - VIRGIN MEDIA -VIRGIN -----

FILTER DRAINS SHALL NOT BE TRAFFICKED DURING CONSTRUCTION AND AS PART OF MAINTENANCE. IN SOME LOCATIONS COVER IS REDUCED TO 200mm

SAFETY, HEALTH AND E IN ADDITION TO THE HAZARDS/RISKS AS

ON THIS DRAWING, NOTE THE FOLLOWIN CONSTRUCTION WORKING ADJACENT TO LIVE RAILWAY. ELECTROCUTION, FLOODING (INCLUDING LEPTOSPIROSIS WORKING NEAR WATEI MAINTENANCE/CLEANING AS ABOVE. PIPES REQUIRE CLEANING. I REGARDING JETTING PRESSURE TO AVOI CATCHPITS. LIMITED COVER DEPTH - NO

DECOMMISSIONING/DEMOLITION AS ABOVE

	Legend/Notes		
	1. ALL DIMENSIONS ARI STATED. ALL LEVELS DATUM. ALL CO-ORD GRID".	E IN MILLIMETRES UNLESS S ARE IN METRES ABOVE PI INATES ARE BASED ON "OF	OTHERWISE ROJECT BB12 SNAKE
	 THIS DRAWING IS NO REFER TO DRAWING 	OT TO BE SCALED. SERIES 5114534 - ATK - DR	RG - DR - 020200
	FOR THE LOCATION A AND DITCHES, INCLU DITCHES.	AND DETAILS OF ALL CATC	HPITS, PIPES S ALONG
	4. ALL DRAINAGE ELEM ACCORDANCE WITH SPC-020001.	IENTS SHALL BE CONSTRU THE SPECIFICATION 51145	CTED IN 34 - ATK - DRE -
	5. CATCHPITS SHALL BI NETWORK RAIL DRAV REFER TO MANHOLE A DISCREPANCY THE PRECEDENCE. 50/357	E CONSTRUCTED IN ACCO WING NR/CV/SD/351. FOR IN SCHEDULES (NOTE 3). WH PROJECT DRAWINGS SHA 1 AND DRAWING	RDANCE WITH NVERT LEVELS IERE THERE IS ALL TAKE
+ _{60.49}	5114534-ATK-DRG-DF 6. REFER TO 5114534 - / CONSTRUCTION DET	R-020102. ATK - DRG - DR - 020100 AN AILS OF DITCHES AND FILT	ID 020101 FOR FER DRAINS.
D BEL	7. FOR DETAILS OF EAR TO EARTHWORKS DF 5114534-ATK-DRG-GE	RTHWORKS (EXCLUDING D RAWINGS SERIES FROM E-001021, INCLUDING CROS	ITCHES) REFER
	AS CROSS REFEREN IS A DISCREPANCY B DRAINAGE DESIGN, T DRAINAGE ENGINEEF	ICED FROM THOSE DRAWIN ETWEEN EARTHWORKS DI THIS SHALL BE DISCUSSED R.	NGS. IF THERE ESIGN AND WITH THE
62.12 62.04 62.00	 8. TOPOGRAPHICAL SU TRACK ALIGNMENT F 9. EXISTING SERVICES 	IRVEY FROM 5114534-ATK-I FROM 5114534-ATK-MOD-TF INFORMATION AFFECTING	MOD-SU-000001 R-000052. THE
33-1.003 FD FD FD FD FD 61.80 FD FD FD FD 64.84	INSTALLATION OF TH SERVICE ROUTES AF INFORMATION, HOWE THE LOCATION OF AL PRIOR TO COMMENC	IE DRAINAGE SYSTEM IS SI RE BASED ON STATUTORY EVER, THE CONTRACTOR S LL KNOWN AND UNKNOWN CING CONSTRUCTION WOR	HOWN. UNDERTAKERS' SHALL CONFIRM SERVICES KS.
	10. THE EXTENT OF ENV THE CESS IS IN ABEY WITH THE DRAINAGE	IRONMENTAL BARRIERS A ANCE. THIS MUST BE CO-C DESIGN BEFORE CONSTR	DJACENT TO ORDINATED RUCTION.
	PR	SS	N I
	RE BF	WORKED EARTHWORKS S	LOPE
	TR SH	ANSITION ZONE	
	GA	BION WALL SS SUPPORT WALL	
	FLOW > SP PR PR PR PR SF	OPOSED BOUNDARY FENC OPOSED SURFACE WATEF	CE LINE R DITCH WITH
	HW01 HW01 HW01 HE	OPOSED CATCHPIT (CP01) ADWALL (HW01) AT START	AND /END OF DITCH
	CP01-02 CP01-01 → FD → FD → PR	OPOSED SURFACE WATEF	R CARRIER DRAIN R FILTER DRAIN
20036			
	B01 06/01/14 FOR APPROVAL FC Rev Date Descri	DRM 003 N iption of Revisions Dr	AJ PP AMR awn Chkd Appr
11600 11600 11600	FOR	K APPROVAL	
	Chiltern Railway	s Netwo	rkRail
	Marylebone Station, Great Central House, Melcombe Place, London, NW1 6JJ		
RG. N			
	Contractor(s)	JC	
1:2.5 NO +62.22	The Axis 10 Holliday Street		
FD FD FD FD	Birmingham West Midlands B1 1TF	Tel: +44 (0)121 48 Fax: +44 (0)121 48	33 5000 33 6333
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~			
·O I H H N	EAST WE	ST KAIL PHA	
MA		L ARRANGEM	ENT
	OXD L	INE DRAINAG	
			290
NVIRONMENTAL INFORMATION	Designed S. NAYLOR Drawn M. JOLIN	Signed	Date 04/10/2013 Date 04/10/2013
NG SIGNIFICANT RESIDUAL RISKS HITTING EXISTING UTILITIES CAUSING G SEWAGE), OR GAS LEAKAGE. RISK OF	Checked P. PERRY Approved A. ROSE	Signed Signed	Date 04/10/2013 Date 04/10/2013
R. CONFINED SPACES WORKING. REFER TO PIPE MANUFACTURER'S INSTRUCTIONS OID PIPE DAMAGE, SILT TO BE REMOVED FROM	Scale(s) 1:250 Alternative Reference	LLR & Mileage 115959 TO	116295
D VEHICULAR ACCESS	Drawing Number 5114534-ATK-	-DRG-DR-0200	35 of 63 035 B01
		• •	I I

![](_page_29_Picture_0.jpeg)

## APPENDIX 2 REPTILE METHOD STATEMENT

![](_page_30_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Chiltern Railways Bicester to Oxford Improvements Order

**Reptile Method Statement** 

August 2013

![](_page_31_Picture_0.jpeg)

**BLANK PAGE** 

![](_page_32_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **Issuing office**

Worton Park | Worton | Oxfordshire | OX29 4SX T: 01865 883833 | W: www.bsg-ecology.com | E: info@bsg-ecology.com

Client	ERM
Job	Chiltern Railways Bicester to Oxford Improvements Order
Report title	Reptile Method Statement
Draft version/final	FINAL
File reference	5288.07_MS_rept_pnke_080813.docx

	Name	Position	Date
Originated	Pete Newbold	Senior Ecologist	06 August 2013
Reviewed	Kerry Elliott	Principal Ecologist	08 August 2013
Approved for issue to client	Kerry Elliott	Principal Ecologist	08 August 2013
Issued to client			08 August 2013

#### Disclaimer

This report is issued to the client for their sole use and for the intended purpose as stated in the agreement between the client and BSG Ecology under which this work was completed, or else as set out within this report. This report may not be relied upon by any other party without the express written agreement of BSG Ecology. The use of this report by unauthorised third parties is at their own risk and BSG Ecology accepts no duty of care to any such third party.

BSG Ecology has exercised due care in preparing this report. It has not, unless specifically stated, independently verified information provided by others. No other warranty, express of implied, is made in relation to the content of this report and BSG Ecology assumes no liability for any loss resulting from errors, omissions or misrepresentation made by others.

Any recommendation, opinion or finding stated in this report is based on circumstances and facts as they existed at the time that BSG Ecology performed the work.

Nothing in this report constitutes legal opinion. If legal opinion is required the advice of a qualified legal professional should be secured.

## Contents

1	Introduction	.2
2	Legislation	.3
3	Method Statement	.4
4	Appendices	.6

### 1 Introduction

#### Background

- 1.1 The Chilterns Railways route between Bicester and Oxford will be subject to essential railway track maintenance works, to be carried out under a Transport and Works Act Order (TWAO), works will include the widening of the existing railway track and the re-profiling of existing railway embankments, scheduled to start in the summer of 2013.
- 1.2 Reptile surveys were undertaken to support the Environmental Impact Assessment for the Scheme, and reported in the Environmental Statement, which accompanied the above TWAO application. These surveys were undertaken in September 2009 (presence/absence surveys of 8 sample sites along the Chiltern Railways line between Bicester and Oxford) and May 2010 (population count surveys in three areas where reptile presence was confirmed).
- 1.3 The results of these surveys are summarised in the table below. Plans showing the locations of the three known reptile sites are provided in Appendix 1.

Site	Species	2009 Peak count	2010 Peak count	Population size
Maharaata	Slow worm	3	14	Medium
tunnel south	Grass snake	0	1	Low
Makaraata	Slow worm	3	6	Medium
tunnel north	Grass snake	9	3	Low
Longford	Slow worm	2	0	None
industrial estate	Grass snake	0	0	None

Table 1: Results of reptile survey

- 1.4 The reptile population scores in the above table are based on the peak count of adults observed under artificial refugia placed at a density of up to 10 per hectare, by one person in one day. At the Wolvercote tunnel sites (north and south) refugia were placed at a density of approximately 34 per hectare at the time of the 2010 survey: the population size class assessment is therefore likely to suggest a larger population size than if refugia had been placed at a density of 10 per hectare. Despite the high density of refugia, only very low numbers of grass snakes were recorded at both the Wolvercote Tunnel sites (north and south), along with a low/medium population of slow-worm.
- 1.5 Only very low numbers of slow worm were recorded at the Langford Industrial Estate in 2009. No grass snakes were recorded at the Langford Industrial Estate site during either survey.
- 1.6 Ahead to the start of construction works required under the approved scheme, vegetation clearance will be required in order remove existing areas of semi-natural habitat and create a clean and barren works footprint. A method of working has therefore been discussed and agreed with Natural England, to be employed by those contractors undertaking pre-commencement vegetation clearance works. This method statement sets out the agreed methods for undertaking the vegetation clearance works and has been prescribed with a view to ensuring the works are undertaken in a manner than can be reasonably expected to avoid breach in protected species legislation relating to the UK's common and widespread species of reptiles.

## 2 Legislation

- 2.1 The common, widespread species of reptile (slow worm *Anguis fragilis*, grass snake *Natrix natrix*, adder *Vipera berus* and common lizard *Zootoca vivipara*) are protected through Sections 9(1) and 9(5) of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 as amended by the Countryside and Rights of Way Act 2000, making it an offence to:
  - a. Intentionally or recklessly kill or injure any reptile
  - b. Sell, offer for sale, possess or transport for the purchase of sale or publish advertisements to buy or sell any reptile

![](_page_36_Picture_0.jpeg)

### 3 Method Statement

- 3.1 Due to the legislation protecting reptiles, and given the nature of the proposed vegetation clearance works, a method statement for the works is necessary to minimise the risk of an offence being committed. In general, the measures to be adopted include the employment of sensitive working techniques and avoidance of damage and/or destruction to suitable reptile hibernating habitat/features. This approach has been discussed in consultation with Natural England and was approved by them in August 2013 (Charlotte Frizzell, Land Use Operations 2nd August 2013), superseding a previously approved strategy prepared in support of the original TWAO application in 2010¹.
- 3.2 The strategy below is designed specifically for both Wolvercote tunnel south and north where there are medium populations of slow worm and low populations of grass snake, as well as the Langford Industrial Estate site, were very low numbers of slow-worm were recorded.
- 3.3 Whilst populations of reptiles have been identified within discrete areas of habitat within the scheme boundary where it runs between Oxford and Bicester, given the nature of the habitats present and the fact that the railway corridor itself will provide a dispersal corridor for reptiles, it is conceivable that low numbers of reptiles also occur within other areas included within the scheme boundary outside of those identified in Table 1. It is therefore essential that the potential for reptiles to occur elsewhere within the scheme is communicated effectively across the project team to ensure that, where necessary, appropriate precautions are taken elsewhere within the scheme to ensure that no reptiles are intentionally or recklessly killed or injured as part of the works. This includes making all staff/contractors on site aware of the potential for reptiles to occur, how to identify them and the relevant legislation that protects them as detailed in Section 2. This method statement should therefore be circulated to all contractors involved in the project and undertaking construction works.

#### Method statement principles

- 3.4 The broad principles of this method statement, as discussed and agreed with Natural England, are as follows:
  - c. Undertake habitat management works within the sites during the reptiles' active period (i.e. spring/summer) to allow reptiles to safely disperse from the works footprint into areas of retained and suitable habitat adjacent to the Scheme.
  - d. Ensure vegetation clearance works within known reptile areas adopt sensitive techniques, to allow reptiles the opportunity to safely disperse from the areas of works into adjacent and retained habitats.
  - e. Where appropriate an Ecological Clerk of Works (ECoW) will be present during vegetation clearance works to ensure compliance with the method statement.
  - f. Reinstate habitat following completion of the works suitable for reptiles (i.e. scattered scrub and tussocky grassland); including features than can be used by sheltering reptiles (e.g. log piles).

#### Method statement approach

- 3.5 The vegetation removal will be undertaken in phases and will accord with the methodology below:
  - a. Vegetation removal will be undertaken using hand tools (strimmers etc.), and under the supervision of a suitably qualified ecologist, reducing the vegetation height first to approximately 30cm.
  - b. All arisings will then be removed and any exposed features suitable for sheltering reptiles, e.g. log and brash piles, dismantled by hand under the supervision of a suitably experienced ecologist.
  - c. Once the working footprint has been checked and any suitable features removed, the vegetation will be immediately further reduced to a height of 5-10cm and maintained at this

¹ ERM (2010) Chiltern Railways (Bicester to Oxford Improvements) – Reptile Mitigation Plan. ERM, July 2010.

level for the duration of the works (i.e. minimum mowing regime of once every six weeks during growing season)

- d. All vegetation removal will commence closest to the railway corridor and progress outwards to encourage reptile dispersal away from the works area.
- e. The works outlined above will be undertaken at a time of year when the majority of the reptile population are active and thus more able to disperse away from clearance works (i.e. March to September). As detailed above, the works will be supervised by a suitably experienced ecologist.
- f. Vegetation will then be kept unsuitable for reptiles for the duration of the construction works.
- g. Low numbers of grass snakes have been recorded at both Wolvercote Tunnel sites. Where there might be features suitable for breeding grass snake (e.g. piles of grass clippings), their removal will be undertaken in September once hatchlings have begun to disperse. This will also be undertaken in the presence of a suitably qualified ecologist.
- 3.6 Following completion of the works, reptiles will be free to recolonize the reptile sites. This will be encouraged by providing features suitable for sheltering reptiles within the sites (i.e. log and brick piles). Reinstated habitat, where this falls within the reptiles sites, will also be planted with a mosaic of scrub and tussocky grassland such that it provides high quality habitat for reptiles in the same locality in the longer term.

#### Method statement conclusion

3.7 It is considered that the proposed mitigation strategy represents reasonable avoidance measures to avoid harm to individual reptiles that is proportionate to the identified risk of killing and injury during construction works. Through the reinstatement/creation of features suitable for sheltering reptiles, and the provision of high quality habitat suitable for reptiles in the form of a tussocky grassland/scrub mosaic, the extent of suitable reptile habitat offered by the sites will be maintained in the long-term. Reinstated habitat will be like for like in terms of total area, ensuring that there is no net loss of local reptile conservation status in terms of habitat quality, quantity and connectivity.

![](_page_38_Picture_1.jpeg)

## 4 Appendices

#### **Appendix 1: Reptile Site Location Plans**

![](_page_38_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Figure_2.jpeg)