**From:** Vaughan Hughes
**Sent:** 08 September 2015 11:54
**To:** James Kirkham
**Subject:** FW: 15/00316/DISC

Hi James,

Please see response from my drainage colleague. I can now advise that the condition relating to the layout and construction of the parking provision can be discharged.

Kind regards,

Vaughan

**From:** Kelman, Gordon - E&E [mailto:Gordon.Kelman@Oxfordshire.gov.uk]
**Sent:** 08 September 2015 11:27
**To:** Vaughan Hughes
**Subject:** RE: 15/00316/DISC

Vaughan,

Domestic driveways are considered to be a low pollution risk and therefore we would not normally require the installation of oil separators. Looking at the design drawings, I am happy for the conditions to be discharged.

Kind regards,

Gordon Kelman

Senior Engineer (Drainage)

Oxfordshire County Council

Highways and Transport

Speedwell House

Speedwell Street

Oxford

OX1 1NE

**From:** Vaughan Hughes [mailto:Vaughan.Hughes@Cherwell-DC.gov.uk]
**Sent:** 08 September 2015 09:25
**To:** Kelman, Gordon - E&E
**Subject:** FW: 15/00316/DISC

Hi Gordon,

Please note the attached. Could you please confirm that this proposal could be discharged or not.

Thank you,

Vaughan

**From:** Vaughan Hughes
**Sent:** 08 September 2015 09:20
**To:** James Kirkham
**Subject:** RE: 15/00316/DISC

Hi James,

I will try and hurry my colleague up on this and hope to have a response for you this week. I am surprised at the E.A. reaction due principally to the proximity of the area of concern to such a significant water course.

Kind regards,

Vaughan

**From:** James Kirkham
**Sent:** 07 September 2015 14:07
**To:** Vaughan Hughes
**Subject:** RE: 15/00316/DISC

Hi Vaughan

I have picked this one up now.     I have consulted with the EA and they have stated:

*If the surface water run-off is likely to be polluted then we would expect the applicant to comply with suitable pollution control measures such as oil separators. However, in this instance we view the application as low risk and don't have the resources to assess and comment. If you want advice on Surface Water Flood Risk then we are now not the responsible body and it is the LPAs and Lead Local Flood Authority who should be assessing this risk.*

Therefore the EA will not be providing any comment.    I note you have also sought advice from the Drainage Officer – have you heard anything back or are you happy with the EA’s response in order for the condition to be agreed?

Thanks

James Kirkham

Senior Planning Officer – Minor Developments

Development Management

Cherwell District Council

Direct Line: 01295 221896

Email: james.kirkham@cherwell-dc.gov.uk

Website: [www.cherwell.gov.uk](http://www.cherwell.gov.uk/)

**From:** Vaughan Hughes
**Sent:** 27 August 2015 11:08
**To:** Alex Keen
**Subject:** RE: 15/00316/DISC

Thank you for that Alex we will have to await E.A. response in my view. My concern is the close proximity of the brook and any concern they may have with regards to the potential for oil or petrol to get into the system. If they are concerned we will have to advise on the suitability of further submissions to discharge this condition. I have also sought the advice of my drainage colleagues in O.C.C. on this form of discharge.

Kind regards,

Vaughan

**From:** Alex Keen
**Sent:** 25 August 2015 18:04
**To:** Vaughan Hughes
**Subject:** FW: 15/00316/DISC

Hi Vaughan,

Please see below.

Thanks – Alex

Alex Keen BA (Joint Hons) MA MRTPI

Team Leader – Minor Developments

Development Management

Cherwell District Council

Direct Line: 01295 221812

Email: alex.keen@cherwell-dc.gov.uk

Website: [www.cherwell.gov.uk](http://www.cherwell.gov.uk/)

**From:** Julian Cordy [mailto:julian.cordy@fieldsalessolutions.com]
**Sent:** 24 August 2015 13:44
**To:** Alex Keen
**Subject:** 15/00316/DISC

HI Alex,

Hope you are had a good weekend. I saw the note from Vaughan on the website and have asked my engineers to respond, below.

Julian,

I’ve had a word with the EA. They feel that in order for this condition to be discharge we will need a flood defence consent from them. There is a cost for this of £50. Lewis Purbrick or James Adams will be calling me in the early part of next week to discuss exactly what it is they need for this.

With regards to the Type 3 road sub-base, depth this is shown on our detail at the side of the drawing you have sent me (It’s labelled as OGCR, which is very similar and in fact better for permeable paving). 320mm is the recommendation.

I will get back to you once I have heard from the EA, but perhaps you would be kind enough to pass on the information on the road sub- base.

Many Thanks
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