

## HEALTH AND MOBILE PHONE BASE STATIONS

We recognise that the growth in mobile technology has led, in some cases, to public concern about perceived health effects of mobile technology and its deployment, in particular about siting masts close to local communities. Quite naturally, the public seeks reassurance that masts are not in any way harmful or dangerous.

We are committed to providing the latest independent peer-reviewed research findings, information, advice and guidance from national and international agencies on radiofrequency (RF) electromagnetic fields.

Vodafone and Telefónica ensure that our radio base stations are designed, built and operated so that the public are not exposed to radio frequency fields above the guidelines set by the International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP). In fact, radio base stations operate at low power and emit low levels of radiofrequency fields, typically hundreds to thousands of times lower than the ICNIRP general public guidelines.

### Research Reviews

The World Health Organisation notes that *“In the area of biological effects and medical applications of non-ionizing radiation approximately 25,000 articles have been published over the past 30 years. Despite the feeling of some people that more research needs to be done, scientific knowledge in this area is now more extensive than for most chemicals (<http://www.who.int/peh-emf/about/WhatIsEMF/en/index1.html>)*. The scientific community have collated, summarised and assessed these publications into research reviews, the most influential in the UK being the Mobile Phones and Health Report (also known as the Stewart Report) by the Independent Expert Group on Mobile Phones under the chairmanship of Professor Sir William Stewart. These research reviews are used by Governments to develop policy on exposure to radiofrequency signals.

Published in May 2000, the Stewart Report concluded that the balance of evidence did not suggest that exposures to radio frequency fields below international guidelines could cause adverse health effects, although it is acknowledged that biological effects might occur below these values. The report stressed, however, that a biological effect does not necessarily mean a negative impact on health. Walking, drinking a glass of water or listening to music all produce biological effects. One of the recommendations of the Stewart report was a research programme to address uncertainties regarding mobile phone base stations and health. This programme was called the Mobile Telecommunications and Health Research (MTHR) Programme. The final report from this programme was published in February 2014. The report noted that the research conducted found no evidence of biological or adverse health effects from the radio waves produced by mobile phones or their base stations.

Since 2000, over 30 further reviews have been carried out, carefully considering many hundreds of pieces of research. Most have made similar recommendations and have come to similar conclusions: that research should continue to address any gaps in the knowledge; and that overall, the possibility of adverse health effects from mobile communications remains unproven.

In April 2012 the Health Protection Agency’s independent Advisory Group on Non-ionising Radiation (AGNIR) published a report entitled “Health Effects from Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields”. This report concluded that there is no convincing evidence that mobile phone technologies cause adverse effects on human health. In addition, AGNIR found that although a substantial amount of research has been conducted, there is no convincing evidence that RF field exposure below the internationally agreed guideline levels applied in the UK causes health effects in adults or children.

The World Health Organisation (WHO) noted that *“A large number of studies have been performed over the last two decades to assess whether mobile phones pose a potential health risk. To date, no adverse health effects have been established as being caused by mobile phone use”* WHO factsheet 193: Electromagnetic fields and public health: mobile telephones, 2014.

### **Compliance with International Exposure Guidelines**

All Vodafone and Telefónica installations are designed, constructed and operated in compliance with the precautionary ICNIRP public exposure guidelines as adopted in EU Council Recommendation of 12 July 1999 on the limitation of exposure of the general public to electromagnetic fields (0 Hz to 300 GHz). These guidelines have been set following a thorough review of the science and take into consideration both thermal and non-thermal effects and are there to protect all members of the public 24 hours a day. In addition, precautionary measures have been taken into account when setting relevant guideline limits for the public (i.e. in the UK a safety factor of 50 times is applied to the public exposure guideline).

When measured, field strengths are typically hundreds to thousands of times lower than the precautionary ICNIRP general public guidelines.

An ICNIRP certificate is provided with every planning application and this certifies that the mobile phone base station, when operational, will meet the precautionary ICNIRP guidelines. We also provide further documentation to clarify that the ICNIRP certificate declares that emissions from all mobile phone network operators' equipment on the site are considered when determining compliance.

### **ICNIRP Guidelines**

The radiofrequency public exposure limits for EMF fields were developed by the International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP) <http://www.icnirp.org> following reviews of all the peer-reviewed scientific literature, including thermal and non-thermal effects. ICNIRP is a non-governmental organisation formally recognised by WHO. Established biological and health effects have been used as the basis for the ICNIRP exposure restrictions. The ICNIRP guidelines have been adopted for use in the European Union and the UK.

In August 2009, ICNIRP published a review of the guidelines for limiting RF exposure and concluded that *“it is the opinion of ICNIRP that the scientific literature published since the 1998 guidelines has provided no evidence of any adverse effects below the basic restrictions and does not necessitate an immediate revision of its guidance on limiting exposure to high frequency electromagnetic fields.”*

### **Further Information:**

**World Health Organisation EMF Project** - <http://www.who.int/peh-emf/en/>

**ICNIRP** - <http://www.icnirp.org/>  
International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection

**Public Health England** (formally **HPA**) - <http://www.hpa.org.uk/Topics/Radiation/>

**UK Mobile Telecommunications and Health Research** - <http://www.mthr.org.uk/>

**UK Mobile Operators Association** - <http://www.mobilemastinfo.com/>

### **Or contact:**

EMF Enquiries, CTIL  
The Exchange, Arlington Business Park, Theale, Berks, RG7 4SA  
Tel. 01753 564306, [emf.enquiries@ctil.co.uk](mailto:emf.enquiries@ctil.co.uk)