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## 1. INTRODUCTION AND SCOPE

## Scope of instruction

1.1 Pegasus Environmental have been instructed by Bovis Homes to provide arboricultural information required by Condition 17 of Cherwell District Council planning permission 10/01642/OUT which relates to a new settlement of 1075 dwellings on the site of a former air base at Upper Heyford, Oxfordshire.
1.2 The information contained within this document relates to detailed proposals for Development Parcel B2B, B5A and B5B.

## APPENDIX 1 - DEVELOPMENT PARCEL LOCATION PLAN

1.3 Planning Condition 17 states:
"No works or development shall take place in connection with each phase or sub phase of the development until a scheme for the protection of the existing trees, hedgerows or such other landscape features as may exist that are identified for retention under Condition 11 [relates to Scheduled Ancient Monuments - Pegasus Comment] has been agreed in writing with the Local Planning Authority. The scheme shall include:
a. A plan that shows the position, crown spread and Root Protection Area (paragraph 5.2.2 of BS5837) of every retained tree within that phase or subphase and on neighbouring or nearby ground to the site in relation to the approved plans and particulars. The positions of all trees to be removed shall be indicated on this plan.
b. The details of each retained tree as required at paragraph 4.2 .6 of BS5837 in a separate schedule.
c. A schedule of tree works for all the retained trees in paragraphs (a) and (b) above, specifying pruning and other remedial or preventive work, whether for physiological, hazard abatement, aesthetic or operational reasons. All tree works shall be carried out in accordance with BS3998, 1989, Recommendations for Tree Work.
d. Written proof of the credentials of the arboricultural contractor authorised to carry out the schedule tree works.
e. The details and positions (Shown on the plan at paragraph (A) above) of the Ground Protection Zones (Section 9.3 of BS5837)
f. The details and positions (shown on the plan at paragraph (a) above) of the Tree Protection Barriers (Section 9.2 of BS5837), identified separately where required for difference phases of construction work (eg demolition, construction, hard landscaping). The tree protection barriers must be erected prior to each construction phase commencing and remain in plance, and undamaged for the duration of that phase. No works shall take place on the next phase until the Tree Protection Barriers are repositioned for that phase.
g. The details and positions (shown on the plan at paragraph (a) above) of the Construction Exclusion Zones (Section 9 of BS5837).
h. The details and positions (shown on the plan at paragraph (a) above) of the underground service runs (Section 11.7 of BS5837).
i. The details of any changes in levels or the position of and proposed excavations within 5 metres of the Root Protection Area (para 5.2.2 Of BS5837) of any retained tree. Including those on neighbouring or nearby ground.
j. The details of any special engineering required to accommodate the protection of retained trees (Section 10 of BS5837), (eg in connection with foundations, bridging, water features, surfacing).
$k$. The details of the working methods to be employed with the demolition of buildings, structures and surfacing within or adjacent to the Root Protection Areas of retained trees.
I. The details of the working methods to be employed for the installation of drives and paths within the Root Protection Areas of retained trees in accordance with the principles of 'No Dig' construction.
m. The details of the working methods to be employed with regard to the access for and use of heavy, large, difficult to manoeuvre plant (including cranes and their loads, dredging machinery, concrete pumps, piling rigs, etc) on site.
n. The details of the working methods to be employed with regard to site logistics and particular regard to ground compaction and phytotoxicity.
o. The details of the method to be employed for the stationing, use and removal of site cabins within any Root Protection Areas (paragraph 9.2.3 of BS5837).
p. The details of tree protection measures for the hard landscaping phase (Sections 13 and 14 of BS5837).
q. The timing of the various phases of the works or development in the context of tree protection measures.

Implementation shall be in accordance with the approved scheme unless otherwise agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

Reason - to ensure the continued health of retained trees and in the interests of the visual amenity of the area, to ensure the integration of the development in to the existing landscape and to comply with Policy C4 of the South East Plan 2009 and Policy C28 of the Cherwell Local Plan.
1.4 It is noted that the British Standards references given within the condition relate to a previous edition of BS5837 published in 2005. Where possible to do so, corresponding elements of the 2012 revision of the standard are applied to the requirements of the condition.

## 2. OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

## Statutory tree protection

2.1 The site is located within the Upper Heyford conservation area and administered by Cherwell District Council. All trees that are located within conservation areas that have a trunk diameter greater than 75 mm measured at 1.5 m above ground level are subject to statutory protection. Notwithstanding specific exemptions, for example the granting of full planning permission, no tree works may be carried out without having first given the local planning authority 6 weeks' written notification clearly setting out exactly what is envisaged.
2.2 On many non-residential sites (excluding specific exemptions) there is also a statutory restriction relating to tree felling that relates to quantities of timber that can be removed within set time periods. In basic terms, it is an offence to remove more than 5 cubic metres of timber in any one calendar quarter without having first obtained a felling licence from the Forestry Commission.
2.3 Any proposed tree works that are planned to be carried out on site must be carried out in accordance with the statutory controls outlined above.

## Statutory Wildlife Protection

2.4 Although preliminary visual checks from ground level of likely wildlife habitats are made at the time of surveying, detailed ecological assessments of wildlife habitats are not made by the arboriculturalist and fall outside the remit of this report.
2.5 Trees which contain holes, splits, cracks and cavities could potentially provide a habitat for bats in addition to birds and small mammals. It is recommended that in line with any accompanying specialist advice, any tree works should only be carried out following a detailed climbing inspection to the tree to ensure that protected species or their nests/roosts are not disturbed. If any are found, the project manager, site owner or consulting arboriculturalist should be informed and appropriate action taken as recommended by a Statutory Nature Conservation organisation such as Natural England.
2.6 It is advised that tree/hedgerow works are carried out with the understanding that birds will generally nest in trees, hedges and shrubs between March and

August. Ideally, operations should be avoided during this period. Any necessary work should only be carried out following a preliminary check of the vegetation.
2.7 For information, the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended), The Countryside and Rights of Way Act 2000 (as amended) and the Conservation of Habitat and Species Regulations 2010, form the basis of the statutory legislation for flora and fauna in Britain.
2.8 The arboricultural information provided within this document is presented so as to correspond with the format of the above condition.

## 3. ARBORICULTURAL INFORMATION RELATING TO CONDITION COMPLIENCE

Condition 17a,b

3.1 Relevant sections of the site tree survey schedule are attached. Also attached is a Tree Retention and Loss Plan.

## APPENDIX 2 - TREE SURVEY SCHEDULE <br> APPENDIX 3 - TREE RETENTION AND LOSS PLAN

3.2 The above plan shows the accurate position of all trees that are to be removed. It indicates that that the majority of trees within Development Parcels B2B, B5A and B5B are to be removed. However, the positions of a number of trees, as well as their crown spreads and root protection areas are also shown beyond the boundaries of the development parcels. These trees are shown to be retained within the context of the current proposals.

## Condition 17c

3.3 A tree work schedule is attached. This schedule can be referenced to the Tree Retention and Loss Plan. It identifies trees that must be removed in order to enable the proposals. It also describes the extent of facilitation pruning that must be carried out.

## APPENDIX 4 - TREE WORK SCHEDULE

## Condition 17d

3.4 No information relating to the appointment of arboricultural contractors in relation to the development parcel has been received to date. This information must therefore be supplied to Cherwell District Council as soon as it becomes available. This requirement is highlighted in the following Arboricultural Method Statement.

## Condition 17e,f,g

3.5 A Tree Protection Plan is attached which specifies the location of Ground Protection Zones, Tree Protection Barriers and Construction Exclusion Zones. The plan shows the initial location of tree protection barriers, but also shows a secondary position that must be established along with temporary ground
protection to enable construction of the "no dig" pathways that pass through the root protection areas.

## APPENDIX 5 - TREE PROTECTION PLAN

## Condition 17h

3.6 No information has yet been provided in relation to the location of underground services runs. However, it is anticipated that these will be located within the main roads of the new development and as such beyond the RPAs of retained trees.
3.7 In the unlikely event of services installation being required within the RPA of a retained tree, then it would be appropriate to implement construction in accordance with (National Joint Utilities Group) NJUG4 and the attached arboricultural method statement.
3.8 The Arboricultural Method Statement makes specific reference to this requirement and provides guidance for site managers.

## Condition 17i

3.9 No information has yet been established in relation to proposed levels throughout the site. However, anticipated areas of proposed excavations within 5 m of root protection areas are indicated on the site layout drawing that informs the Tree Protection Plan.
3.10 No excavations or changes of levels are anticipated within Construction Exclusion Zones.
3.11 Footpath construction within RPAs shall utilise a proprietary cellular load distributing surface in accordance with BS5837:2012, commonly referred to as 'no dig'.

## Condition 17k

3.12 A demolition tree protection plan has previously been prepared and submitted to the local planning authority in relation to Area B2B. Reference D.0291_161A.

Condition 171
3.13 Specifications and installation method statements for "no dig" construction are detailed within the arboricultural method statement.

## Condition 17 m

3.14 Generic information relating to the working methods to be employed with regard to the access for and use of heavy, large, difficult to manoeuvre plant ( including cranes and their loads, dredging machinery, concrete pumps, piling rigs, etc) in relation to retained trees are detailed within the attached arboricultural method statement.

## Condition 17n

3.15 Generic information relating to working methods to be employed with regard to site logistics are contained within the AMS. The AMS also contains advice to avoid, and where possible mitigate, ground compaction within RPAs and spillage of materials that are harmful to plant health.

## Condition 170

3.16 Due to the extent of tree removal within the development area, it is considered that sufficient space is available within the site area to enable site cabins to be located beyond construction exclusion zones for all retained trees.

## Condition 17p

3.17 General advice relating to tree protection measures for hard landscaping are described within the AMS.

Condition 179
3.18 The AMS contains a sequence of general site operations that must be followed in order to optimise the effectiveness of tree protection measures that are set out within this document.

## 4. ARBORICULTURAL METHOD STATEMENT (AMS)

## Purpose

4.1 The aim of the AMS is to prevent and/or minimise the impacts of construction works on trees that are to be retained as part of the development. It gives step-by-step guidance and specifications for works which have the potential to result in loss of, or damage to, retained trees.

## Contacts

- Tony Burns - Site manager (Bovis) 07795045028. No email
- David Willars - Landscape Architect (Bovis) 01675437134 David.Willars@bovishomes.co.uk
- Jon Brewin - Arboricultural Officer (Cherwell DC) 01295221708 / 07854 216783 jon.brewin@cherwellandsouthnorthants.gov.uk
- Matt Reid - (Pegasus Group) 01285888028 / 07775580002 matthew.reid@pegasuspg.co.uk


## General procedures

4.2 The AMS must be used as a reference source for site operatives in order to guide tree-related aspects of the construction process. A precautionary approach is required.
4.3 This AMS may also be used as a means of demonstrating the feasibility of development proposals to a local planning authority prior to the determination of a planning application.
4.4 The AMS is appropriate to the proposals and reflects the findings and recommendations of a prior arboricultural impact assessment that is set out within this document.
4.5 The AMS must be referred to by site managers during the construction process itself. A copy of this document must therefore be kept available in the main Site Office for quick and easy reference.
4.6 Prior to commencing works on site, all site operatives must be briefed by the Site Manager in relation to the content of the AMS. Site operatives shall sign to confirm that they understand and will abide by the requirements of the AMS. The Site Manager shall retain copies of these statements for future reference as may be necessary.
4.7 The site operations must be sequenced in accordance with the over-arching timetable of work stages set out within the AMS. Should any change to the sequence of operations be necessary, the Project Arboriculturist must be consulted. The Project Arboriculturist should evaluate any potential arboricultural Impacts that could arise and specify additional tree protection measures as required. Confirmation that the proposed changes are acceptable within the context of relevant planning permission must be obtained in writing from the local planning authority prior to any new operations on site.
4.8 This development is subject to an auditable system of arboricultural monitoring. The condition of the specified tree protection will be monitored at specified intervals by the Project Arboriculturalist with findings reported back to the local planning authority.
4.9 Where site operations have potential to result in more substantial impacts on retained and protected trees, an arboricultural watching brief shall be required.
4.10 Fires on site should be avoided if possible. Where they are unavoidable, they must not be lit in a position where heat could damage foliage or branches.
4.11 Any materials whose accidental spillage would cause damage to a tree should be stored and handled well away (down slope where relevant) from the outer edges of root protection areas of retained trees.

## Work Phases

4.12 The table below lists and describes the sequence of works that must be followed in order to minimise damage to retained trees.

| Work <br> stage | Job description |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1 | Pre-commencement site meeting |
| 2 | Tree removals and facilitation <br> pruning |


| 3 | Installation of tree protection <br> barriers and notices |
| :---: | :--- |
| 4 | Main construction phase |
| 5 | Repositioning of fencing and <br> installation of 'no dig' paths <br> within RPAs |
| 6 | Removal tree protection barriers |
| 7 | Final landscaping within RPAs. <br> New tree planting. |

## Pre-Commencement Site Meeting

4.13 The purpose of the meeting is to enable all relevant parties within the development team to meet, to be aware of the requirements of the AMS, and to agree a co-ordinated approach to the project.
4.14 The meeting shall be pre-arranged, and the Local Planning Authority Tree Officer shall be given five working days' written notice and invited to attend.
4.15 Required attendees:

- Site manager
- Project arboriculturalist
- Contractors (including arborist and landscaping operatives) and other relevant parties
4.16 Matters to be addressed:
- Identification of persons present and exchange of contact information
- Familiarisation with all aspects of the AMS
- Familiarisation with the site in relation to the AMS
4.17 The Project Arboriculturalist shall provide written confirmation to the Local Planning Authority Tree Officer that the meeting has occurred and that specified matters have been addressed.


## Tree Removals and Facilitation Pruning

4.18 Tree works are specified on the Tree Protection Plan and separately within the appendices of this document. Where practicable, all tree works shall be carried out in accordance with BS3998:2010 'Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction - recommendations.'
4.19 All tree work operations must be carried out in-line with the contractor's own site specific risk assessment and method statement that shall be approved prior to commencement by the Site Manager.
4.20 If required, wood chip arisings may be stored on site for later use beneath temporary ground protection elsewhere on site.
4.21 All other arisings shall be disposed of as instructed by the site manager.
4.22 Prior to carrying out any of the specified tree works, the site manager shall provide the local authority Arboricultural Officer with written proof of the credentials of the arboricultural contractor authorised to carry out the schedule of tree works.

## Installation of Tree Protection Barriers And Notices

4.23 All tree protection barriers must be installed in accordance with the default BS5837:2012 specification that is shown on the tree protection plan and separately within the appendices of this document.
4.24 Tree protection barriers must be erected prior to the commencement of any other construction phase-related site operations. They must remain in place for the duration of the main construction phase.
4.25 All barriers are to be installed in locations as specified on Tree Protection Plan.
4.26 All weather A2-sized notices reading, "CONSTRUCTION EXCLUSION ZONE NO ACCESS" shall be attached to tree protection barriers in the positions indicated on the Tree Protection Plan.
4.27 The project arboriculturalist must approve the condition and positioning of fencing and temporary ground protection and report to LPA Tree Officer prior to commencement of further stages in the construction process. At this stage, the Project Arboriculturist should also identify any other remedial tree works
that may be necessary in relation to tree crown spread beyond erected tree protection. These works must be specified in writing and carried out by the authorised tree work contractor
4.28 On completion of all construction works, the project arboriculturalist shall approve site conditions prior to removal of barriers and provide the LPA Arboricultural Officer with one week's written notice of intention to remove barriers.

## Main construction phase

4.29 During the main construction phase, the tree protection on the site must be subject to a regular system of tree protection monitoring. In addition, any construction activities within RPAs must be carried out in accordance with this AMS and under arboricultural supervision. Such arboricultural monitoring and supervision must be carried out by the Project Arboriculturist and all findings reported in writing to the LPA Arboricultural Officer.
4.30 Arboricultural monitoring and supervision must be carried out in accordance with the following schedule:

| Work <br> stage | Job description | Project Arboriculturalist Action |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Pre-commencement <br> site meeting | Report to LPA that meeting has occurred and <br> that specified matters have been addressed |
| 2 | Tree removals and <br> facilitation pruning. | Report to LPA with photographs to confirm <br> tree works carried out appropriately. |
| 3 | Installation of tree <br> protection barriers <br> and notices | Report to LPA with photographs to confirm <br> barriers erected correctly. |


| 4 | Main construction <br> phase | Report to LPA at monthly intervals with <br> photographs to confirm ongoing effectiveness <br> of tree protection until Work Stage 7. Also <br> act as an ongoing source of advice for <br> construction site staff and function as an <br> intermediary with LPA tree officer as may be <br> necessary. |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 5 | Repositioning of <br> fencing and <br> installation of 'no <br> dig' paths within <br> RPAs | Provide 5 days' written notice to LPA that <br> barrier relocation is to occur. Supervise <br> relocation of barriers and installation of 'no- <br> dig' paths and report to LPA with photographs <br> to confirm no dig installation works in <br> accordance with AMS. |
| 6 | Removal tree <br> protection barriers | Provide 5 days' written notice to LPA that <br> barrier removal is to occur. |
| 7 | Final landscaping <br> within RPAs | Monitor working practices in relation to the <br> AMS. Provide advice to contractors as may be <br> necessary. Assess the quality of tree planting <br> in relation to AMS. Report to LPA to confirm <br> working methods compliance. |

4.31 If at any point the need for any unforeseen construction activity to occur within or in 2 m proximity to the CEZ barriers of retained trees arises, the advice of the Project Arboriculturist must first be obtained and acted upon. The Project Arboriculturist must inform the LPA Arboricultural Officer of any anticipated deviations from the AMS and agree actions prior to authorising such additional works.

## Repositioning of fencing and installation of 'No Dig' paths

4.32 The Project Arboriculturist shall be briefed so as to be able to provide the LPA with 5 working days notice of commencement of this operation.
4.33 Repositioning of fencing shall adhere to the following approach:

| Work <br> stage | Work description |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1 | Remove heras panels from supporting scaffold <br> framework. Leave scaffold framework in situ for time <br> being |
| 2 | Mark out location of proposed paths and fence <br> temporarily using plastic mesh roll, pinned in place |

ARBORICULTURAL STATEMENT FOR PARCEL B2B, B5A, B5B. CONDITION 17.

| 3 | Within CEZ and by pedestrian access only, lay geotextile <br> membrane over anticipated working areas that are <br> required in order to reposition barriers. Working by <br> hand and from outside the CEZ inwards, lay 100mm <br> depth woodchip mulch on top of the membrane and <br> cover it with a single thickness of scaffold boards (or <br> $25 m m$ ply sheeting) to form temporary ground <br> protection. Work into the CEZ using the newly formed <br> ground protection as a base until required working areas <br> are covered |
| :---: | :--- |
| 4 | Working from on top of ground protection only, remove <br> relevant sections scaffold framework and reposition so <br> as to be located up to the edge of no-dig path |
| 5 | Use scaffold boards to attach heras panels and notices to <br> repositioned scaffold framework. |
| 6 | Commence no dig construction |

4.34 The no dig paths shall be installed in the locations indicated on the tree protection plan.
4.35 Installation of the no dig paths must be in accordance with the manufacturer's method statement

## APPENDIX 6 - CELLWEB INSTALLATION METHOD STATEMENT

4.36 Installation of the no dig paths must also be in accordance with the sequence of operations set out on the following page:

| Work <br> stage | Job description | Notes |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Remove any protruding <br> stones/rubble | All works to be carried out by hand. |
| 2 | Level ground | Fill major hollows with clean sharp sand. <br> Do not grade off high points. Work by <br> hand. NO DIGGING/SOIL GRADING |
| 3 | Install geotextile membrane | Work in accordance with manufacturer's <br> instructions |
| 4 | Set out cell web and pin into <br> place | Work in accordance with manufacturer's <br> instructions |
| 5 | Fill cell web with angular, <br> washed 40/20 road stone <br> containing no fines. | Work into the CEZ from outside the RPA <br> so that no activity occurs anywhere <br> except on previously filled cell web. <br> Work in accordance with manufacturer's <br> instructions |
| 6 | Add permanent wearing course | Permeable tarmac |

## Removal of tree protection barriers

4.37 All construction site operations other than final landscaping must be completed prior to the commencement of this phase of tree protection.
4.38 The Project Arboriculturist shall be briefed so as to be able to provide the LPA with 5 working days notice of commencement of tree protection barrier removal.
4.39 All works must take place from outside of CEZs. Barriers must be removed by hand. Any mechanical plant used must not enter into CEZs
4.40 Barriers and scaffolds may be recycled for use elsewhere as part of subsequent construction operations on nearby development phases.

## Final landscaping within RPAs. New tree planting

4.41 Final landscaping must be carried out in accordance with the approved details but with due reference to the recommendations on the following page:

| General advice for landscaping operations within RPAs |
| :--- |
| Refer to the tree protection plan within this document for information <br> relating to tree RPAs. Root protection areas still apply although <br> protection barriers have been removed. |
| No levels changes within RPA of any retained tree. Note, this includes <br> importing topsoil as well as any excavations. |
| Pedestrian access only within RPAs |
| Excavations for fence posts etc within RPAs must be carried out by <br> hand. Post holes must be lined with heavy duty polythene to prevent <br> concrete leaching into soil. |
| Where soil compaction has occurred within RPAs seek advice of project <br> arboriculturist prior to carrying out any remedial or other works |
| Soil cultivation within RPAs must be carried out by hand |

4.42 The Project Arboriculturist shall monitor site operations during the hard landscaping phase and report findings to the client and to the LPA.

## APPENDIX 1

DEVELOPMENT PARCEL LOCATION PLAN


## APPENDIX 2

TREE SURVEY SCHEDULE

| Site: Upper Heyford Bovis Parcels B2B, B5A\&B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Surveyor: DP, MP, PC, MR Client: |  |  |  |  |  | Bovis Homes |  |  | Job no: B. 0288 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Stem } \\ \text { dia } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Spread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Crown clearance height |  |  |  |  |  | General observations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Species | Height |  |  |  | N |  | S |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 1 \text { st } \\ \text { branch } \end{array}$ |  | 1st branch direction | Canopy |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Life } \\ \text { stage } \end{array}$ |  | Structural condition | Physiological condition | ULE | Quality grading | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{RPA} \\ \text { radius } \end{gathered}$ | RPA area |
| G51 | Chestnut (Horse) | 5 | - | 150 | - | 2.5 | - | 2.5 | - | 2.5 | - | 2.5 | - | N/A | - | N/A | 2 | - | SM | Two trees. Eastern tree leader ripped out, mower damage at base. | Medium | Medium | 10+ | C1 | 1.8 | 10 |
| T52 | Lime (Common) | 3.5 | - | 50 | - | 1.5 | - | 1.5 | - | 1.5 | - 1 | 1.5 | - | N/A | - | N/A | 2 | - | Y | Three trees in raised roundabout. Southern tree better tree, others dead. | Medium | Medium | 10+ | C1 | 0.6 | 1 |
| T53 | Oak (Red) | 8 | - | 260 | - | 3 | - | 2.5 | - | 3.0 | - | 4 | - | 2 |  | South | 1.5 |  | EM | Wind blowing top of tree,potential storm damage. | High | High | 40+ | A1 | 3.1 | 31 |
| G54 | Ash (Common) | 10 | - | 360 | - | 4.5 | - | 4.5 | - | 4.5 | - | 4.5 | - | N/A |  | N/A | 2 | - | M | Exposed and girdled roots. | High | High | $20+$ | B2 | 4.3 | 59 |
| T55 | Maple | 12 | - | 480 | - | 6 | - | 6 | - | 5.5 | - | 7 | - | N/A | - | N/A | 1 | - | M | Weak fork with included bark at 2 m . Exposed damaged roots. Minor amounts minor deadwood. | Low | Medium | 10+ | C1 | 5.8 | 104 |
| T56 | Whitebeam | 8 | - | 200 | - | 2 | - | 3.5 | - | 3.0 | - | 3.5 | - | 2 | - | West | 1.5 | - | M | Mower damage at base east side. Suppressed to north east. Minor amounts major deadwood. | Medium | Medium | 10+ | C1 | 2.4 | 18 |
| T57 | Maple | 8 | - | 280 | - | 4 | - | 4 | - | 4.0 | - | 4.5 | - | 2 |  | All round | 2 | - | EM | Exposed damaged roots. Minor amounts minor deadwood. | Medium | Medium | 20+ | B1 | 3.4 | 35 |
| G353 | Whitebeam | 5 | - | 250 | - | 3 | - | 3 | - | 3.0 | - | 3 | - | N/A |  | N/A | 2 |  | M | 15 trees in a line within island in car park. | High | High | 20+ | B2 | 3.0 | 28 |
| T354 | Birch (Silver) | 8 | - | 331.21 | - | 5 | - | 3 | - | 6.0 | - | 5 | - | 3 | - | North | 1.5 | - | M | Ivy on trunk. In raised bed adjacent building. Touching building to west. | High | High | 20+ | B1 | 4.0 | 50 |
| T355 | Apple | 5 | - | 150 | - | 2.5 | - | 1.5 | - 5 | 5.0 | - | 3 | - | 2 |  | South | 0.5 | - | M | Heavily suppressed by building. Remove to benefit birch. | Medium | Medium | 10+ | C1 | 1.8 | 10 |
| T356 | Whitebeam | 6 |  | 250 |  | 3 |  | 3 | - | 3.0 |  | 3 |  | N/A |  | N/A | 2 |  | M | 12 trees in a line. In grass island within parking area. | Medium | Medium | 10+ | C2 | 3.0 | 28 |
| G357 | Cypress sp. | 14 | - | 660 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | - | N/A |  | N/A | 1.5 |  | M | Combination of single and multi stem. Road to east. | High | High | 10+ | C2 | 7.9 | 197 |
| G358 | Cypress sp. | 5 | - | 200 | - | 3 | - | 1.5 | - 2 | 2.0 | - | 3 | - | N/A | - | N/A | 0.5 | - | M | Poor. Previously suppressed to south. Sparse foliage. Paving and kerbs at base. | Medium | Low | 10+ | C2 | 2.4 | 18 |
| G359 | Maple (Norway) | 10 | - | 350 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0 | - | N/A | - | N/A | 2.5 | - | M | 2 trees. New pruning wounds, girdled roots. | High | Medium | 20+ | C2 | 4.2 | 55 |
| G360 | Maple (Norway) | 10 | - | 350 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0 | - | N/A |  | N/A | 2.5 | - | M | 4 trees. New pruning wounds, girdled roots. Trees 1 and 3 physiologically poor, poor structure and sparse foliage. | High | Medium | 20+ | C2 | 4.2 | 55 |
| G361 | Maple (Norway) | 10 | - | 350 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0 | - | N/A | - | N/A | 2.5 | - | M | 8 trees. Recent pruning observed. Girdled roots, some bark damage throughout group. | High | Medium | 20+ | C2 | 4.2 | 55 |
| T364 | Maple sp. (sugar) | 8 | - | 300 | - | 4 | - | 4 | - | 4.0 | - | 4 | - | 2 |  | West | 1.5 | - | M | In a raised planter within parking area. Splitting bark, epicormic growth removed from base. Black exudate on bark. | Medium | Low | 10+ | C1 | 3.6 | 41 |
| T365 | Maple sp. (sugar) | 6 | - | 150 | - | 3 | - | 3 | - | 2.0 | - | 3 | - | 2 | - | South west | 2 | - | M | In raised planter. Poor shape. Leans to east, branch removed. | Medium | Medium | 10+ | C1 | 1.8 | 10 |
| T366 | Sycamore | 8 | - | 257.39 | - | 4 | - | 3 | - | 3.0 | - | 3 | - | N/A | - | N/A | 0 | - | M | Self seeded into brick car park, located at edge of raised planter. Poor. | Medium | Medium | 10+ | C1 | 3.1 | 30 |
| G367 | Maple (Norway) | 12 | - | 420 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0 | - | N/A | - | N/A | 2 | - | M | 4 trees. On grass bank. Minor pruning wounds, exposed roots. Southern tree deadwood, poor shape, poor crown foliage distribution. | Medium | Medium | 20+ | C2 | 5.0 | 80 |
| G368 | Maple (Norway) | 9 | - | 300 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - 0 | 0.0 | - | 0 | - | N/A | - | N/A | 1.5 | - | M | 3 trees. Central re really poor, suppressed - remove. All in parking area. | Medium | Medium | 20+ | C2 | 3.6 | 41 |
| T369 | Maple (Cappadocian) | 9 | - | 310 | - | 3.5 | - | 4 | - | 4.0 | - | 4 | - | 1.5 | - | East | 2 | - | M | In parking area. Fair. | Medium | Medium | 20+ | C1 | 3.7 | 43 |
| T370 | Maple (Cappadocian) | 10 | - | 310 | - | 4 | - | 4 | - 3 | 3.0 |  | 3 | - | 2 |  | South west | 2 |  | M | In raised planter. Weak fork at 2 m . Minor bark damage. | Medium | Medium | 20+ | C1 | 3.7 | 43 |
| G371 | Cypress (Leyland) | 12 | - | 427.2 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | - | N/A | - | N/A | 0 | - | M | 3 trees. Foliage sparse on south east side. | High | Medium | $20+$ | C2 | 5.1 | 83 |
| G372 | Cedar (Western Red) | 8 | - | 175 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - 0 | 0.0 | - | 0 | - | N/A | - | N/A | 0 | - | M | 2 trees, growing on mound. Good shape. | High | High | $20+$ | B2 | 2.1 | 14 |
| T373 | Cherry (Wild) | 10 | - | 186.01 | - | 4 | - | 4 | - 4 | 4.0 | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | East | 1.5 | - | M | Suppressed by building to west. Touching building. Weak fork at base. | Medium | Medium | 20+ | C1 | 2.2 | 16 |
| T374 | Maple sp. (sugar) | 6 | - | 200 | - | 3 | - | 3 | - | 3.0 |  | 3 | - | 2 |  | East | 1.5 |  | M | In raised planter. Poor. Minor bark damage. | Medium | Low | 10+ | C1 | 2.4 | 18 |
| T375 | Maple sp. (sugar) | 6 | - | 200 | - | 3 | - | 3 | - 3 | 3.0 |  | 3 | - | 1.5 | - | East | 1.5 | - | M | In raised planter. Poor. Minor bark damage. | Medium | Low | 10+ | C1 | 2.4 | 18 |
| T376 | Maple sp. (sugar) | 6 | - | 200 | - | 3 | - | 3 | - | 4 | - | 3 | - | 1.5 | - | South | 1.5 | - | M | In raised planter. Poor. Minor bark damage. Slight lean to | Medium | Low | 10+ | C1 | 2.4 | 18.1 |
| G377 | Cedar (Western Red) | 10 | - | 250 | - | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0 | - | N/A |  | N/A | 0 |  | M | On slight grass bank. Good shape. | High | High | 40+ | B2 | 3.0 | 28 |
| G378 | Cypress (Leyland) | 12 | - | 500 | - | 0 | - | 0 |  | 0.0 | - | 0 | - | N/A | - | N/A | 0 | - | M | On slight grass bank. Good shape. | High | High | $20+$ | C2 | 6.0 | 113 |


|  | Species | Height |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Stem } \\ \text { dia } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | Spread |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Crown clearance height |  |  |  |  | Life stage | General observations | Structural condition | Physiological condition | ULE | Quality grading | RPA radius | RPA area |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | w |  | 1st branch |  | 1st branch direction | Canopy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G379 | Sycamore, maple | 12 | - | 375 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0 | - | N/A | - | N/A | 2.5 | - | M | Recent pruning works observed. Northern maple suppressed and leaning north, recommend remove. Cohesive group. Western trees have car park to north. Exposed roots observed. Poor pruning techniques. 15 trees. | Medium | Medium | 20+ | B2 | 4.5 | 64 |
| G380 | Maple (Norway) | 12 | - | 330 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0 | - | N/A | - | N/A | 2 | - | M | 3 trees. Remove central tree to better others. Deadwood on western tree. Poor pruning techniques. Crossing branches could be removed. | Medium | Medium | 20+ | C2 | 4.0 | 49 |
| T381 | Maple (Norway) | 10 | - | 244.95 | - | 3.5 | - | 4 | - | 2.0 | - | 2.5 | - | N/A | - | N/A | 0.5 | - | M | Remove to benefit other trees. Suppressed, bark damage. | Medium | Medium | 10+ | C1 | 2.9 | 27 |
| T382 | Maple (Norway) | 14 |  | 480 | - | 5 | - | 5 | - | 5.0 | - | 6 | - | 2 | - | South | 0.5 | - | M | Nice tree. Minor deadwood. | Medium | Medium | $20+$ | B1 | 5.8 | 104 |
| G383 | Maple (Norway) | 10 |  | 250 |  | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0.0 |  | 0 |  | N/A | - | N/A | 1.5 |  | M | 4 trees. Northern trees heavily infested with ivy. | Medium | Medium | 20+ | C2 | 3.0 | 28 |
| G384 | Maple (Norway) | 7 | - | 150 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0 | - | N/A | - | N/A | 0.5 | - | SM | Ivy into canopy. Deadwood. Leans east. Bark damage. Poor. | Medium | Medium | 20+ | C2 | 1.8 | 10 |
| T385 | Maple sp. (sugar) | 7 | - | 150 | - | 2.5 | - | 4 | - | 2.5 | - | 2.5 | - | 2 | - | South | 2 | - | SM | Lost its leader. Rose growing into canopy. Suckers at base. | Medium | Medium | 10+ | C1 | 1.8 | 10 |
| T387 | Apple | 4 | - | 200 | - | 3 | - | 2.5 | - | 3.0 | - | 2 |  | N/A | - | N/A | 0.5 |  | M | Growing adjacent building. Fair. Low branching. | Medium | Medium | 10+ | C1 | 2.4 | 18 |
| T388 | Maple (Norway) | 12 |  | 360 |  | 4.5 | - | 4.5 | - | 4.0 |  | 4 |  | 2.5 | - | North | 2 |  | M | Minor deadwood. Recent pruning. | Medium | Medium | 20+ | B1 | 4.3 | 59 |
| G397 | Sycamore | 12 | - | 350 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0 | - | N/A | - | N/A | 1 | - | M | Majority have girdled roots, some with bark damage at base. 21 trees. Raise canopy to 2 m to allow pedestrian access. | Medium | Medium | 20+ | B2 | 4.2 | 55 |
| T398 | Sycamore | 9 | - | 230 | - | 3 | - | 3 | - | 3.0 | - | 3 | - | 2 | - | South | 1.5 | - | M | Minor deadwood. Crowded canopy. | Medium | Medium | $20+$ | C1 | 2.8 | 24 |
| G399 | Cypress (Leyland) | 12 | - | 400 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0 | - | N/A | - | N/A | 0.5 | - | M | Major bark damage and stripped cambium on eastern tree from base to 1.8 m . | High | Medium | 20+ | C2 | 4.8 | 72 |
| G400 | Sycamore | 11 | - | 320 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0 | - | N/A | - | N/A | 2 | - | M | Central tree thin canopy. Girdled roots and bark damage at base observed. | Medium | Medium | 20+ | C2 | 3.8 | 46 |
| T984 | Maple | 12 | \# | 380 | \# | 4.5 | \# | 5.5 | \# | 5.5 | \# | 5 | \# | 1.5 | \# | South | 1.5 | \# | M | Roadside tree | Good | Good | $20+$ | B1 | 4.6 | 65 |
| G985 | Ash (Common) | 7 | \# | 260 | \# | na | - | na | - | na | - | na | - | na | - | na | na | - | EM | Group of younger trees beside building | Fair | Good | 10+ | C2 | 3.1 | 31 |
| G986 | Maple (Norway 'Crimson King') | 8 | \# | 260 | \# | na | - | na | - | na | - | na | - | na | - | na | na | - | M | Attractive group of roadside trees | Good | Good | 20+ | B2 | 3.1 | 31 |
| G987 | Maple (Norway) | 9 | \# | 300 | \# | 6 | \# | 5.5 | \# | 5.0 | \# | 5 | \# | 1.5 | \# | N | 1.5 | \# | M | Roadside tree | Good | Good | $20+$ | B1 | 3.6 | 41 |

## APPENDIX 3

TREE RETENTION AND LOSS PLAN
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## APPENDIX 4

## TREE WORKS SCHEDULE

CIR.B. 0288
Bovis Homes. Upper Heyford B2, B5A\&B

|  | Species | Tree works specification. All tree works to BS3998:2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G51 | Chestnut (Horse) | No works |
| T52 | Lime (Common) | Remove tree and stump |
| T53 | Oak (Red) | No works |
| G54 | Ash (Common) | Laterally reduce western edge of tree crown by a maximum of 2 m to achieve a crown clearance of 3 m . Shape cuts into remainder of crown. |
| T55 | Maple | Laterally reduce eastern edge of tree crown by a maximum of 2 m . Shape cuts into remainder of crown. |
| T56 | Whitebeam | No works |
| T57 | Maple | No works |
| G353 | Whitebeam | Remove all trees and stumps |
| T354 | Birch (Silver) | Previously removed |
| T355 | Apple | Previously removed |
| T356 | Whitebeam | Retain easternmost four trees. Remove all other trees and stumps from within |
| G357 | Cypress sp. | Remove all trees and stumps |
| G358 | Cypress sp. | Remove all trees and stumps |
| G359 | Maple (Norway) | Remove all trees and stumps |
| G360 | Maple (Norway) | Remove all trees and stumps |
| G361 | Maple (Norway) | Remove all trees and stumps |
| T364 | Maple sp. (sugar) | Remove tree and stump |
| T365 | Maple sp. (sugar) | Remove tree and stump |
| T366 | Sycamore | Remove tree and stump |
| G367 | Maple (Norway) | Remove all trees and stumps |
| G368 | Maple (Norway) | Remove all trees and stumps |
| T369 | Maple (Cappadocian) | Remove tree and stump |
| T370 | Maple <br> (Cappadocian) | Remove tree and stump |
| G371 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cypress } \\ & \text { (Leyland) } \end{aligned}$ | Remove all trees and stumps |
| G372 | Cedar (Western Red) | Remove all trees and stumps |
| T373 | Cherry (Wild) | Remove tree and stump |
| T374 | Maple sp. (sugar) | Remove tree and stump |
| T375 | Maple sp. (sugar) | Remove tree and stump |
| T376 | Maple sp. <br> (sugar) | Remove tree and stump |
| G377 | Cedar (Western Red) | Remove all trees and stumps |
| G378 | Cypress (Leyland) | Remove all trees and stumps |

## APPENDIX 5

## TREE PROTECTION PLAN



Tree Category A - High Quality Tree Category B - Moderate Quality Tree Category C - Low Quality
$\qquad$ Secondary Tree Protection Barrier to
BS:5837:2012. Barrier moved back to enable permanent 'no dig' construction

- All weather information notices to read 'Construction Exclusion Zone - KEEP OUT' barriers
Cellular no dia path construction. Constructe Cellular no dig path construction. Constructed
accordance with engineers specification and accordance with engineers specification and
arboricultural method statement. To be install arboricultural method statement. To be installed
concurrent with secondary tree protection barrier relocation. protection consisting 25 mm inter-linked
ground protection boards on top of 150 mm ground protection boards on top of 150 woodchip laid out onto a geotextile membrane. Required concurrent with
secondary tree protection barrier relocation. Construction Exclusion Zone. DO NOT ENTER Construction Exclusion Zone. DO NOT ENTER Project Arboriculturist.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$



Note 1: The original of this drawing was produced in colour a monochrome copy should not be relied upon.

Note 2: All service runs must be located outside tree
construction exclusion zone
Note 3: There must be no
changes to ground levels within construction exclusion zones
Note 4: Consult the Local Planning Authority
Arboricultural officer via the Project Arboriculturist prior to construction exclusion zone


Heyford Park
Area B2B, B5A, B5B
Tree Protection Plan
Drawing Ref: B.0288_04-B
lient : Bovis Homes South West Region
: Jjo Ta AO
Oth October 2014
Pegasus

## APPENDIX 6

## CELLWEB INSTALLATION METHOD STATEMENT



Pegasus
Environmental

## Method Statement

 Hinckley, Leicestershire LE10 3DUTel 01455617139 Fax 01455617140
sales@geosyn.co.uk
www.geosyn.co.uk

## For The Installation of Cellweb Tree Root Protection System.



When considering damage to tree roots, in applications of vehicular access and parking, the risk of oxygen depletion caused by compaction of subsoil's, site clearance damaging the root source and type of reinforcement are areas which need to be given due consideration.

## Other risk factors are:

- Creating an impermeable surface
- Causing a rise in the water table due to construction
- Increasing ground level
- Contamination of subsoil's


## 1. Compaction

When looking at site conditions and use, the following information should be considered to enable a load bearing structure capable of supporting traffic to be proposed:

- Californian Bearing ratio
(CBR) - Standard test method for measuring soil strength
- Soil types
- Water table
- Maximum load (vehicles)
- Acceptable rut depth
- Reinforcement type

Cellweb Cellular Confinement 150mm deep
Type and Depth of engineered infill material

Clean, angular. Usually 40 mm to 20 mm .
2. Dig (site strip)

Site stripping does damage some root structure prior to construction; however, the use of no-dig construction elevates the access road requiring edge protection.

## 3. No dig

3.1. Remove surface vegetation
3.2. Place geotextile separation filtration layer

Use a suitable herbicide suitable for the specific vegetation and not harmful to the tree root system Use a Treetex T300 non woven Goetextile over the prepared sub-grade. Overlap dry joints by 300 mm . The three dimensional cell structure, is formed by ultrasonically welding polyethylene (perforated) strips / panels together to create a three dimensional network of interconnecting cells. A high degree of frictional interaction is developed between infill and the cell wall, increasing the stiffness of the system A treated timber edging is usually acceptable.

## 4. Cellular Confinement and Backfill Material.



Expand the Cellweb 2.56 m wide panels to the full 8.1 metre length. Pin the Cellweb panels with staking pins to anchor open the cells and staple adjacent panels together to create a continuous mattress. Infill the Cellweb with a no fines angular granular fill (typically $4-20 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) within each open cell. The use of cellular confinement reduces the bearing pressure on the subsoil by stabilising aggregate surfaces against rutting under wheel loads. Comparisons between cellular confinement and traditional aggregate and geogrid-reinforced structures demonstrate a $50 \%$ reduction in construction thickness of the granular material.

## 5. Surfacing Options

## Block Paving:

5.1. Lay second layer of Treetex T300 Geotextile separation fabric over the infilled Cellweb sections
5.2. Lay sharp sand bedding layer compacted with a vibro compaction plate to recommended depth.
5.3. Place block paviors as per manufacturers instructions.

## Tarmac:

Place 25 mm surcharge of the granular material above the Cellweb system and lay the bitumen base and wearing courses.

## Loose Gravel:

5.4. Ensure Cellweb is completely filled.
5.5. Place decorative aggregate to required depth

NOTE: A treated timber edge should be provided to restrict gravel movement.

## Grass Blocks:

5.6. Place second layer of Treetex T300 Geotextile separation fabric over the infilled Cellweb sections
5.7. Place $50 / 50$ rootzone bedding layer to the required depth
5.8. Lay recycled Duo Block 500 Grass Protection System infilled with $50 / 50$ rootzone mix.
5.9. Seed as per architects instructions.
(Alternatively the Grass Blocks may be infilled with gravel.)

## Concrete Slab

6.0 Lay Cellweb as previous and place second layer of Treetex Geotextile directly over the filled panels. Pour concrete base as specified.

Below are illustrations of the correct stapling procedure for joining both edges and ends of panels together;


