Further representation from C-POW: 6 August 2026

C-POW is concerned about the manner in which this application is being processed. Your approach seriously disadvantages the residents of Woodstock and our representatives. We again make the point that it is widely agreed that the impacts of the proposed development would fall significantly upon Woodstock and the WHS, both of which are in West Oxford District. Yet we obviously have no representative on CDC to keep us informed or put our views forward during the planning process.

We suggested in our previous representation (29/7/2026) that the documents dated 1/6/2026 submitted by the applicant effectively constitute a new application and should be treated as such in order to enable all interested parties to be properly consulted on them.

Although this representation has been posted on the application portal we have received no reply to our reasonable suggestion and there are no signs that it has been considered, let alone adopted. Your approach, in fact, seems designed to deprive those most affected by this scheme of proper consultation.

In support of this conclusion, we note that the new documents from the applicant, all dated 1/6/2026, were not posted on your portal until the end of July. As further extensive consultation responses from OCC, EA, HE and National Highways have recently been posted on the portal, it is clear that these bodies were provided with the new application documents some considerable time before they were made publicly available.

This is against a background of the portal showing a decision date of 3/8/2026 until, on 4/8/26, it was changed to 20/8/26. The papers for the Planning Committee to be held on 13/8/26 have now been published and do not include this application. So, once again, those most affected by this application are being kept completely in the dark about how you intend to process it.

We now know, of course, that WODC and parts of CDC are to be merged into a new Unitary authority. That authority will exclude this application site, but its officers will jointly be responsible for Woodstock and the WHS. Given that WODC officers on behalf of its members and local residents have objected strongly to this application as well as the proposed KID H1 allocation in the Reg 19 Local Plan, it is hard to believe that they would support this application in any of its forms when representing the Unitary authority.

Given the many objections to the second version of this scheme and the lack of proper consultation on the third version, we suggest that you have no option but to refuse permission for this application.

It is unclear at the moment what is going to happen to the CD Reg 19 Local Plan currently being examined. If it goes forward in its current form, KID H1 would be discussed when the examination reopens.

I would be grateful if this representation along with all the correspondence we had with David Peckford, Andy Bateman, Paul Seckington and Carlos Chikwamba about this application in July is posted as soon as possible so that other residents of Woodstock can see what is going on.