

Lynne Baldwin

From: Jonathan Emanuel
Sent: 05 April 2024 08:16
To: Katherine Daniels
Cc: DC.Consults
Subject: RE: Planning notification for application reference: 24/00725/DISC

Hi Katherine,

Having reviewed the submitted AMS by Asset Max, the AMS does not provide sufficient details to discharge the condition. The drawings within the AMS do not provide detail for the tree protection, providing details and positioning.

The report states Once the site protection fencing is installed than the main development can start, this should then be identified on a plan to show where the protection fence needs to be positioned.

Within the submitted AIA in support of 21/03947/F provides details that the AMS needed to be addressed – Below

Tree Protection

3.9 Aside from the trees (T1-T6) alongside the existing access there is scope for all retained trees to have the entirety of their RPA enclosed within protective fencing. The existing access should provide sufficient ground protection for T1-6.

3.10 The location of the Tree Protection Fences and ground protection proposals could be detailed in full in an Arboricultural Method Statement (AMS) but will be as recommended and detailed in BS 5837:2012.

4. Issues for Consideration in an Arboricultural Method Statement (AMS)

- 4.1 An AMS should be prepared prior to work commencing on site. The AMS would contain a detailed tree protection plan including the location and nature of the fences and the areas and details of ground protection. Detailed proposals would be included for any working that has to be undertaken within the RPA of any retained tree. Any possible use of cranes would be addressed with regard to positioning of the cranes and their swing paths in relation to the canopies of retained trees.
- 4.2 The AMS would also need to address how and where any potentially toxic liquids are stored and the nature of bunding to contain any spills. In addition if mortar or concrete are to be mixed on site then the area for undertaking these tasks will need to be carefully considered to ensure no harmful impact on retained trees. Where existing areas of hard surfaces are to be removed, the AMS would contain detailed proposals with regard to the removal of the existing surfaces and replacement with soil. Finally details of contractor parking, materials stores, the location of site offices and on site supervision of tree protection would also be addressed.

For reason above the submitted AMS does not satisfy the condition discharge.

regards

Jonathan Emanuel
Arboricultural Officer (north)
Environmental Services
Cherwell District Council

Please note work days alternate Wednesdays, Thursday & Friday

From: CDC Development Management <planning@cherwell-dc.gov.uk>
Sent: Thursday, April 4, 2024 3:48 PM
To: SSLs Arboricultural <ssls.arboricultural@Cherwell-DC.gov.uk>
Subject: Planning notification for application reference: 24/00725/DISC

Please see the attached letter for details. Regards Development Management Cherwell District Council Direct Dial 01295 227006 planning@cherwell-dc.gov.uk www.cherwell.gov.uk Find us on Facebook www.facebook.com/cherwelldistrictcouncil Follow us on Twitter @Cherwellcouncil