Rachel Tibbetts

From: lain Osenton
Sent: 26 April 2024 11:50
To: Planning; dcregistration

Cc: Laura Bell

Subject: 24/00539/F - CDC Arboriculture

Hi Laura,

From desk based assessment. Comment – Objec•on.

In principle, the proposal seeks to retain the majority of individual features within and adjacent to the site. However, a majority of the surveyed trees are situated within the woodland to the south of the proposal, far beyond any area of proposed development. Considering trees immediately adjacent, or within the area of proposed development, this proposal o • ers a higher arboricultural impact.

The proposal requires the removal of signi•cant sec•ons of hedgerow upon both the east and west boundary. G94 on the west boundary has not been detailed within the arboricultural report, the impact of its removal therefore cannot be considered. On the east boundary, x2 trees awarded BS5837 category B, subject to a preserva•on order have been marked for removal, in addi•on to x1 category A feature. This represents removal of the only individually iden••ed features on the east boundary. These features o•er amenity to the adjacent highway and footpath, with public interest in their protec•on.

Based on my ini•al assessment I'd suggest the proposal in prac•ce o•ers greater arboricultural impact than the proposal suggests on the surface, with removal of high value arboricultural features, I propose con•ict is present in line with BS5837:2012 as the proposal hasn't considered the high value features within the site. So• landscaping proposals detail tree plan•ng on the east boundary to replace trees lost, I would argue reten•on of features to be of higher value than removal and replacement, in the interests of preserving exis•ng amenity and biodiversity.

Kind regards,

lain Osenton MArborA. Arboricultural Team Leader Environmental services Cherwell District Council

Enquiry contact: tree.enquiries@cherwell-dc.gov.uk

Emergency contact: 01295 227001

www.cherwell.gov.uk

