00539/F

| Application Number | 24/00539/F |
|---------------------------|--|
| Location | Land To The East Of Stratfield Brake And West Of Oxford Parkway Railway Station Oxford Road Kidlington |
| Proposal | Erection of a stadium (Use Class F2) with flexible commercial and community facilities and uses including for conferences, exhibitions, education, and other events, club shop, public restaurant, bar, health and wellbeing facility/clinic, and gym (Use Class E/Sui Generis), hotel (Use Class C1), external concourse/fan-zone, car and cycle parking, access and highway works, utilities, public realm, landscaping and all associated and ancillary works and structures |
| Case Officer | Laura Bell |
| Organisation | |
| Name | geoffrey thompson |
| Address | 55 South Avenue, Kidlington, OX5 1DQ |
| Type of Comment | Objection |
| Туре | neighbour |
| Comments | OUFC Road closures (referred to as 'diversions') are planned despite Oxfordshire County Council's statement that the Oxford Road cannot be closed. They state that it will be for match days only but for a company like OUFC they would want to make the 100 million pounds spent back so it will operate 24/7. Surely therefore the County Council must object to the planning application? OUFC State they want 93% of supports using public transport when only 18% of supports do so now. There is no way this will happen and they will be parking for free down our local roads. Extract "Key bus services and coaches will be marshalled through Oxford Road during periods of lighter pedestrian flows". 30 minutes is a short period of time to move 16,000 people so lighter flows will be unlikely in this time. This means bus delays on matchdays which include Saturdays. The proposal states that 'many of our fans live within 20 minutes walk, cycle, or on public |

es walk, cycle, or on public transport of the proposed location' - this is incorrect: the main fan base is in East Oxford and public transport, cycling or walking would be a challenge.

What about the safety of non-football cyclists and pedestrians who want to use the pedestrian paths and cycleways on matchdays and find themselves caught up in large numbers of fans?

OUFC acknowledges that the stadium is inappropriate development and that it would cause harm to the Green Belt but tries to justify it with 'very special circumstances' as follows:

- o The club has to find a new home by 2026. FoSB says the club made itself homeless and hasn't tried to negotiate to stay at the Kassam Stadium (because it doesn't want to) so can't claim this as a VSC. Please tell Cherwell District Council that it needs to challenge OUFC's claims. This must include contact with Firoka, the stadium company, to confirm their position.
- o There is no other available suitable site. FoSB says the Alternative Sites Report was predetermined and therefore unreliable and invalid because it was prepared after the event (ie after the lease had been agreed by the County Council cabinet) to provide "justification for the application site, which is the Club's identified location for the stadium and ancillary facilities". (Alternative Sites Assessment para 1.2).

Also, the Alternative Sites Report says that one of the reasons the Kassam Site was not considered further was because "Landowner confirmed not willing to allow continued use or sell." The appendices show letters relating to other sites where there is a significant reason not to proceed with further consideration, but no evidence is shown for the Kassam Site. Furthermore, this statement conflicts with information in the public domain where the owner has stated it is possible for OUFC to stay at the Kassam Stadium.

| their own stadium. in fact it is likely tha the commercial reta | nefits to the Club assoce FoSB says there is no it it will not! Nor is it l il sites that it thinks we hese the club could be | guarantee that ikely to own th ill generate rev | t the club will ov se conference fa venue. Even if t | wn its own stadium, cilities, or hotel, or the current owners |
|--|--|--|---|--|
| | ial and community ber is not guaranteed, an | | | |
| where it would arguate The economic disade | s: FoSB says much o ably be of more benef vantages to Oxford's c t there first and additi | it. And again t ity centre are o | he figures seem overlooked. Ful | n overly optimistic. I Park & Rides |
| at the Kassam Stadi aim for the Proposed Aims are not guaran perfectly functioning | enefits: FoSB says the um, particularly with t d Development is to ad itees! And a 'Very Goo g 23-year-old concrete l is directly at odds wit | the Cowley Bra chieve at least d' is a low bar. stadium and r | nch Line in the a BREEAM ratin The sustainabi eplacing it with | pipeline. The Club's g of 'Very Good'. lity of demolishing a a new one, outside |
| Stadium by sustaina this. Many (or base | el: FoSB says the Club ble modes" however t d on current travel pa ites. The potential for | here is no effe tterns, most) fa | ctive strategy in ans will be attra | place to achieve cted to the parking |
| | ancement: FoSB says i. See our detailed coi | | | |
| the amount of open about the size of the green for long. Also only really accessible created over the site | to the Green Belt: For Green Belt and green E Kidlington Roundabo For match days the period to the general public Education and Major Incident | space will be r ut and the hea laza and garde on other days | ninimal. The ga vy footfall will m n will be a fan-: | arden area is only nean it won't stay zone, so we presum |
| The site is: | | | | |
| o bounded by busy | [,] roads | | | |
| | level of proposed devenues a general feeling thand | | | |

o constrained by features such as the car park, woodland and attenuation ponds

There are few access points into the site and there is a difference in level from the highway to the stadium. In the event of an emergency it is impossible to see how the stadium could be safely evacuated within 8 minutes. Similarly it is impossible to see where people could muster safely.

The planning application lists laws and regulations but fails to address key safety issues.

In general there is a lack of safety both for spectators, for users of the adjacent roads, and members of the public who are not involved in the football.

There is also insufficient room to allow for segregation of home and away fans.

The Design & Review Panel (which the local authority should have regard to) commented:

"There is a concern that the proposed main entrance area point to the stadium may not be able to safely accommodate the sheer volume of fans. It is felt there may not be enough arrival space to accommodate the supporters before they disperse to their seats. A lack of space in this area could also give rise to problems of supporter segregation. The practical problems of access are also accentuated by the difference in levels from the highway."

This is clearly a safety issue.

The safety issues around access to and egress from the proposed stadium site are not addressed in the documents supporting the application other than by a request for the planning consent to be conditioned.

Oxford Parkway Station Safety

This station is small and unsuitable for crowds of fans, mixing both home and away, and arriving en masse. The platforms are narrow as is the footbridge across the track. Fans and other users will be at risk.

Finally

We have been told that OUFC have received support from around the world these comments should not be taken as support as they would not be attending and do not live here. It will be the locals who will have to fight and stop this from going ahead.