

Comment for planning application 24/00539/F

Application Number	<input type="text" value="24/00539/F"/>
Location	<input type="text" value="Land To The East Of Stratfield Brake And West Of Oxford Parkway Railway Station Oxford Road Kidlington"/>
Proposal	<input type="text" value="Erection of a stadium (Use Class F2) with flexible commercial and community facilities and uses including for conferences, exhibitions, education, and other events, club shop, public restaurant, bar, health and wellbeing facility/clinic, and gym (Use Class E/Sui Generis), hotel (Use Class C1), external concourse/fan-zone, car and cycle parking, access and highway works, utilities, public realm, landscaping and all associated and ancillary works and structures"/>
Case Officer	<input type="text" value="Laura Bell"/>
Organisation Name	<input type="text" value=""/>
Name	<input type="text" value="Natalie Pangburn"/>
Address	<input type="text" value="45 Islip Road ,Oxford,OX2 7SP"/>
Type of Comment	<input type="text" value="Objection"/>
Type	<input type="text" value="neighbour"/>
Comments	<input type="text" value="The infrastructure in this area is already saturated. We do not need more traffic, road closures, and concrete in an area that is suffering major traffic delays and losing green space at an alarming rate. With the golf course becoming housing and the new housing development across the road, there is less and less open space for the wildlife of the area. Not to mention that this area is already too busy with traffic for the road infrastructure and there is no way that the fans are going to come primarily by public transport. Any suggestion to the contrary is simply wishful thinking. The stadium should be built somewhere where roads can be made to accommodate fans and the supportive infrastructure (hotels, restaurants etc), not in an already densely populated area that is about to have hundreds of additional homes with all the strain that implies on the environment, local traffic and wildlife. Hard to understand why this is even getting support in an era when we are supposed to be curbing emissions in urban areas, and indeed being forced to with traffic filters. When local people are facing a substantial impact on their daily lives in the interest of reducing emissions, why should a leisure facility get the green light which is going to generate untold tonnes of CO2 in this area, not only in its construction but in the running of the site? It completely undermines the Oxford arguments for traffic reduction and quite frankly seems to indicate that the planning authorities are hypocritical and more concerned about profit than about any actual environmental impact."/>
Received Date	<input type="text" value="20/04/2024 10:51:16"/>
Attachments	