# OXFORDSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL'S RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION ON THE FOLLOWING DEVELOPMENT PROPOSAL

District: Cherwell Application no: 24/00245/OUT

**Proposal:** Outline application for demolition of existing structures and erection of up to 99 dwellings, access, open space and associated works with all matters reserved except for access

Location: South Lodge, Fringford Road, Caversfield, Bicester, OX27 8TH

#### Response Date: 05/03/2024

This report sets out the officer views of Oxfordshire County Council (OCC) on the above proposal. These are set out by individual service area/technical discipline and include details of any planning conditions or Informatives that should be attached in the event that permission is granted and any obligations to be secured by way of a S106 agreement. Where considered appropriate, an overarching strategic commentary is also included. If the local County Council member has provided comments on the application these are provided as a separate attachment.

# Assessment Criteria Proposal overview and mix /population generation

OCC's response is based on a development as set out in the table below. The development is based on a SHMA mix.

Residential	
1-bed dwellings	13
2-bed dwellings	25
3-bed dwellings	43
4-bed & larger dwellings	18

Based on the completion and occupation of the development as stated above it is estimated that the proposal will generate the population stated below:

Average Population	246.63000
Nursery children (number of 2- and 3-year olds entitled to funded places)	6.63000
Primary pupils	29.93000
Secondary pupils including Sixth Form pupils	23.48
Special School pupils	0.61760
65+ year olds	25.76000

# **General Information and Advice**

#### Recommendations for approval contrary to OCC objection:

If within this response an OCC officer has raised an objection but the Local Planning Authority are still minded to recommend approval, OCC would be grateful for notification (via planningconsultations@oxfordshire.gov.uk) as to why material consideration outweigh OCC's objections, and to be given an opportunity to make further representations.

#### **Outline applications and contributions**

The anticipated number and type of dwellings and/or the floor space may be set by the developer at the time of application which is used to assess necessary mitigation. If not stated in the application, a policy compliant mix will be used. The number and type of dwellings used when assessing S106 planning obligations is set out on the first page of this response.

In the case of outline applications, once the unit mix/floor space is confirmed by reserved matters approval/discharge of condition a matrix (if appropriate) will be applied to establish any increase in contributions payable. A further increase in contributions may result if there is a reserved matters approval changing the unit mix/floor space.

#### Where a S106/Planning Obligation is required:

- Index Linked in order to maintain the real value of S106 contributions, contributions will be index linked. Base values and the index to be applied are set out in the Schedules to this response.
- Administration and Monitoring Fee TBC
  - This is an estimate of the amount required to cover the monitoring and administration associated with the S106 agreement. The final amount will be based on the OCC's scale of fees and will adjusted to take account of the number of obligations and the complexity of the S106 agreement.
- **OCC Legal Fees** The applicant will be required to pay OCC's legal fees in relation to legal agreements. Please note the fees apply whether a S106 agreement is completed or not.

**Security of payment for deferred contributions -** Applicants should be aware that an approved bond will be required to secure a payment where a S106 contribution is to be paid post implementation and

• the contribution amounts to 25% or more (including anticipated indexation) of the cost of the project it is towards and that project cost £7.5m or more

- the developer is direct delivering an item of infrastructure costing £7.5m or more
- where aggregate contributions towards bus services exceeds £1m (including anticipated indexation).

A bond will also be required where a developer is direct delivering an item of infrastructure.

The County Infrastructure Funding Team can provide the full policy and advice, on request.

#### Application no: 24/00245/OUT

Location: South Lodge, Fringford Road, Caversfield, Bicester, OX27 8TH

# Transport Schedule

#### **Recommendation:**

#### **Objection for the following reasons:**

- Information provided is insufficient to properly assess the traffic and congestion impact of the development.
- It is considered that the site would add to an already predicted severe traffic congestion impact at the junction of Bucknell Road and the A4095.

If despite OCC's objection permission is proposed to be granted then OCC requires prior to the issuing of planning permission a S106 agreement including an obligation to enter into a S278 agreement to mitigate the impact of the development plus planning conditions and informatives as detailed below.

Contribution	Amount £	Price base	Index	Towards (details)
Public transport services	£112,167	December 2021	<u>RPI</u> -x	Improvements to bus routes serving Caversfield.
Travel Plan Monitoring	£1890	April 2023	<u>RPI</u> -x	Travel Plan Monitoring Fee.
Public Rights of Way	TBC	TBC	Baxter	Improvements and maintenance of PROWs surrounding the site.
Total	ТВС			

#### **S106** Contributions

#### Other obligations:

Off-site highway works – see below

- Shared Footway/Cycleway connection and crossing from Site Access to nearest bus stops on Banbury Road.
- Footway connection from the south-west of the site to the committed pedestrian crossing at St Laurence Church.

 Implementation of 2 bus stops and associated infrastructure on either Skimmingdish Lane or Fringford Road to serve residents of the proposed site.

#### Key points

- It is considered that the site would add to an already predicted severe traffic congestion impact at the junction of Bucknell Road and the A4095.
- TA does not refer to the Bicester LCWIP or OCC Parking Standards for New Developments.
- Trip generation for more committed development sites is required within the traffic impact methodology.
- Data is missing / unclear for 'Fringford Road (N) / Skimmingdish Lane / Fringford Road (S) / Private' junction within the junction modelling.
- There is a significant difference between the modelling based on Tempro growth forecasts, and that based on Bicester Transport Model flow.
- Plans showing the cross sections of Aunt Ems Lane and the B4100 are required to demonstrate footways are feasible.
- Provide a Stage 1 Road Safety Audit in accordance with GG119 (5.46.1). This will be required in advance of planning permission.
- More contemporary accident data must be provided.
- Inaccuracies within the TA regarding existing sustainable transport links must be addressed.
- Proposed highway improvements must be shown in relation to the highway boundary to demonstrate they are feasible.
- Cycle connection must be extended to the Banbury Road bus stops south of the roundabout.
- Bus stops must be provided as part of the S278 works.

#### Comments:

#### Introduction

This is a response to the outline application for the demolition of existing structures and erection of up to 99 dwellings, access, open space and associated works with all matters reserved except for access. The site is located at South Lodge, Fringford Road, Caversfield, Bicester, OX27 8TH.

#### Access arrangements

Vehicular, pedestrian and cycle access is proposed off Fringford Road. The 'Proposed Site Access and Visibility Splay' drawing shows visibility splays of 2.4m x 102m. The visibility from the proposed access is considered appropriate for the road conditions, taking into account the approved reduction of the speed limit from 40mph to 30mph.

#### Sustainable transport connectivity/transport sustainability

Table 3 shows the distances from the site to local facilities that are expected to be commonly used by residents of the proposed site. The TA does not disclose the exact point the distances have been measured from and therefore it cannot be accurately determined whether these amenities are conveniently accessible by residents on foot. The centre of development is considered an acceptable measurement point in this case. It can be determined however that from the measured location, no food shopping facilities are within the preferred maximum distance within the IHT guidelines.

Paragraph 4.21 states:

'A network of traffic-free routes are present throughout Bicester, connecting the residential areas of the town with local centres, railway stations, Bicester Town Centre, and Bicester Village'.

It should be noted that there are currently no continuous active travel routes from the proposed site to Bicester Town Centre. The Banbury Road footway/cycleway route only provides a traffic-free route to Bicester North Station, there is no further provision connecting this to the town centre. Moreover, the transport statement makes no reference to the Bicester LCWIP.

Nevertheless, the proposed crossing and footway/cycleway connection to the A4095 would provide necessary active travel connection towards Bicester town centre. Given the location and the restricted available width, OCC considers that a 3m shared use footway/cycleway is acceptable in this instance. A suitable cycle connection to existing facilities on the A4095 is necessary to make the development acceptable.

It must be demonstrated that this connection is feasible, prior to planning permission being granted. The TA does not show highway improvement works in relation to the highway boundary. The plans must be resubmitted to show the proposed highway improvements in relation to the highway boundary to show that works can be completed within the public highway. The design must be based on a topographical survey and cross sections should also be provided to demonstrate feasibility.

Furthermore, the developer must provide a Stage 1 Road Safety Audit in accordance with GG119 (5.46.1). This will be required in advance of planning permission being granted as the findings may result in the red line boundary having to change due to road safety remedial measures being required.

# To improve Public Transport

The proposed 3m footway/ cycleway from site access on Fringford Road to the A4095 is to be extended to the nearest bus stop on Banbury Road, south of the roundabout. In addition, secure cycle parking is to be provided at the bus stop. This will provide a good connection for pedestrians and cyclists between the development and the existing bus routes from the site. Signage may be required in order to direct cyclists not accessing the bus routes onto the cycle routes on the west side of Banbury Road.

The developer has proposed a footway measuring 1.5m -2.0m from the south-west of the site to connect the site to the committed pedestrian crossing at St Laurence Church which was approved as part of ref. 21/01630/OUT. Given the constraints of Aunt Ems Lane and the B4100, further cross-sectional plans are required to demonstrate that the footway can be implemented within space allocated.

#### Public transport

The County Council seeks to ensure that new development is well served by public transport. With this in mind, financial contributions are requested from the promoters of development schemes for the maintenance and/or improvement of public transport services where reasonable and appropriate, in order to mitigate the impact of their proposals and to secure sustainable development in line with policy objectives.

For a peri-urban location, this site is relatively remote from the public transport network with the nearest current stops being located 950m away on the A4421 (as noted in TA paragraph 4.28. Service X5, operated by Stagecoach East, operates every 30 minutes on Mondays to Saturdays and every 60 minutes on Sundays, but its future is uncertain because the opening of East West Rail in 2025 is likely to abstract a significant proportion of its passengers.

Equally, service 500 (referred to in TA paragraph 4.29) between Bicester and Banbury operates every 60 minutes on Mondays to Saturdays (not on Sundays, contrary to Table 4), but is financially supported by the County Council. Its continuation cannot be

guaranteed beyond the expiry of the current contract in March 2025. In any event, pedestrian links are poor or non-existent to this stop and no improvements are proposed.

Oxfordshire County Council state that the proposed 3m footway/ cycleway from site access on Fringford Road to the A4095 is to be extended to the nearest bus stop on Banbury Road south of the roundabout. In addition, secure cycle parking to be provided at the said bus stop. This will provide a good connection for pedestrians and cyclists between the development and the public transport realm which is not currently considered a convenient walking distance from the site.

However, there are two potential enhancements which would be of benefit to the development and would provide a basic level of public transport service:

In April 2024 a new service will commence between various villages, Caversfield and Bicester, which will be operated with financial support from the County Council. This will provide four/five buses per day (including at commuting times) on Mondays to Saturdays and will operate via Skimmingdish Lane and Fringford Road in the vicinity of the development.

In the longer term, Bicester Motion are required to either provide a bus service between Caversfield and Bicester town centre, or to financially contribute towards a service. This was in response to the potential for changes to service X5 following the introduction of East West Rail.

To maximise connectivity to the site and ensure that the opportunity for longer-term viability can be maximised, a contribution for public transport services is required from the development which would be applied to either of these options. In similar situations the council requests and typically secures a contribution of £1,133 per dwelling. Therefore, the total public transport services contribution will be **£112,167**, indexed to RPIx at December 2021. This will be applied for the improvement of bus routes serving Caversfield.

To allow residents to access these services, bus stops must be provided in the vicinity of the development on either Fringford Road or Skimmingdish Lane as part of the S278 highways works. The applicant must demonstrate a suitable location for a pair of bus-stops either on the northern side of Skimmingdish Lane or Fringford Road, this must include the provision of hardstanding, two poles, flags and timetable cases, the stop for the Bicester bound service should also provide a shelter. All infrastructure is to be provided in accordance with OCC design standards and the applicant should liaise with the Parish regarding location and design. The applicant is invited to provide plans as to how this could be accommodated in the scheme as part of the S278 works.

In the event that the LPA is minded to grant permission, these contributions / improvements would be required to make the development acceptable in planning terms, to maximise use of sustainable transport measures. They are fair in scale and kind and are directly related to the development.

## Car and Cycle Parking

Paragraph 5.21 makes states:

'The internal layout of the proposed development will be designed in accordance with the guidelines of Manual for Streets (MfS) and MfS2, and the OCC residential design guidance'.

The design of the internal layout must include the before mentioned documents as well as the OCC Parking Standards for New Developments (October 2022).

#### Public rights of way

Due to the proximity of the development site to nearby PROWs, OCC requires a contribution from the developer which will be used to mitigate the impact arising from additional use of the paths by the site's residents, this may include improvements to the path surface, signing, and other necessary mitigation measures.

\*Please note that a formal consultation response has not been received for PROW\*

#### Traffic impact

As part of preapplication advice, OCC advised that the development would likely be unacceptable ahead of the implementation of a realignment of the A4095, which is a key element of infrastructure necessary to support the nearby NW Bicester SDA. This remains OCC's position. A significant proportion of the site's trip generation is predicted to distribute via the A4095 and as such would add to the predicted severe congestion of the junction of Bucknell Road and the A4095, which necessitates the realignment to bypass this junction. The realignment is required to deliver NW Bicester SDA, and further development on that allocated site will be restricted pending its delivery. To date it has not been demonstrated that any further development at NW Bicester beyond that already permitted, could be accommodated ahead of the A4095 realignment.

Furter clarification is required from the transport consultant to determine the extent of committed development used within the transport modelling. Paragraph 7.23 states that only the Firethorn appeal site (reference 21/01630/OUT) has been added to the Tempro growth model to derive the base + committed flows within the traffic analysis. Given the extent of the committed development in close proximity to the site, using Tempro to derive future year base flows could underestimate them.

There are significant discrepancies when comparing junction modelling results using the 2031 base data from Tempro and the sensitivity test using the Bicester Transport Model (BTM) when comparing results for the B4100/Aunt Ems Lane and A4421/Skimmingdish Lane junctions. Clarification is being sought to ensure an appropriate model is used to predict future traffic flows at crucial junctions as the current modelling shows scenarios with little-to-no similarities. The BTM shows significant delays for 2031 BTM + Committed +

Proposed for both junctions and if this model is considered appropriate, it would be argued that any additional traffic on these junctions would be considered unacceptable.

There is data missing from the manual classified counts for junction site 3, therefore it has not been possible to check the data against the 2023 base junction modelling diagram. The table must therefore be amended to show the entirety of the data set.

Paragraph 3.10 states:

'The data provided by OCC covers the most recent five-year period available (01/01/2018 – 31/12/2022). A total of 20 PIAs have occurred in the search area, 17 classified as slight, three as serious and none as fatal'.

The accident data presents a 14-month gap from the acquisition of data to the time of response, data must be acquired for a 5-year period from 01/01/2019 - 31/12/2023 in order to provide a more contemporary analysis of accident data.

#### <u>Travel Plan</u>

#### <u>Key issues:</u>

OCC Travel Plans have raised concerned about the proximity of local facilities and services for prospective residents of the development especially for those at the furthest point of the site for example -

- Distance to the closest bus stops could act as a deterrent to bus use. Paragraph 3.3.2 refers to a contribution towards the bus service. This should be considered.
- Distance to the closest primary school (1.3km) will potentially mean that parents/ carers will undertake a 2.6km round trip twice a day. Because of this, families, especially those with small children may come to rely on the car for the journey to school.

Footpaths throughout the development do not seem to be continuous and seem to finish abruptly, especially at the side roads.

For a development of ninety-nine dwellings a Full Residential Travel Plan will be required. This should be produced prior to occupation, meet the criteria outlined within appendices' 5 and 8 of the OCC guidance document (Transport for New Developments – Transport Assessments and Travel Plans March 2014') and then be updated upon occupation of 50% of the site (49<sup>th</sup> dwelling), once an adequate survey opportunity is available.

To enable the travel plan to be monitored for a period of five years, a £1,890 (RPI index linked) travel plan monitoring fee is required.

A travel plan has been submitted with this application but requires further information before it will meet OCC criteria.

A Residential Travel Information Pack is also required. This should be produced prior to first occupation and then distributed to all residents at the point of occupation. Reason – to ensure all residents are aware of the travel choices available to them from the outset.

A copy of both guidance documents have been attached with this response but further information and advice can also be sought from the Travel Plans Team <u>travelplan@oxfordshire.gov.uk</u>

#### Standards Comments from OCC Highway Agreements

Some comments within this section are advisory in relation to future site layout.

- Section of carriageway where traffic calming is being introduced will need to be street lit and reduced to 30mph through TRO.
- Offsite works will need to be designed in accordance with DMRB.
- All new developments will need a 20mph speed limit and supporting Traffic Regulation Order and self-enforcing measures.
- Where a TRO is required on public highway, the local Councillor will need to be pre-consulted for their comments.
- The carriageways that are straight for over 70m will require some form of traffic calming to ensure vehicle speeds are less than 20mph.
- OCC require a swept path analysis for an 11.6m in length refuse vehicle passing an on-coming or parked family car throughout the layout. The carriageway will require widening on the bends to enable this manoeuvre.
- Where there is not a footway adjacent to the carriageway a 6 metre wide shared surface block paved carriageway with a minimum 800mm grass margin on either side is required.
- A long section has not been provided and will be required to ensure compliance with the Equalities Act 2010. This must include details of the vertical alignment to determine appropriate carriageway and footway gradients. They will need to be DDA compliant i.e. maximum 1:21 or 5%.
- Any vertical deflection along bus route to be subject to agreement with Bus operators (table tops etc.).

#### Adoptability comments:

- No private drainage is to discharge onto any area of existing or proposed adoptable highway. The drainage proposals will be agreed at the Section 38 Agreement stage once the drainage calculations and detailed design are presented. Oxfordshire County Council have published the "Local Standards and <u>Guidance for Surface Water Drainage on Major Development in Oxfordshire</u>" to assist developers in the design of all surface water drainage systems, and to support Local Planning Authorities in considering drainage proposals for new development in Oxfordshire. The guide sets out the standards that we apply in assessing all surface water drainage proposals to ensure they are in line with National legislation and guidance, as well as local requirements.
- Foul and surface water manholes should not be placed within the middle of the carriageway, at junctions, tyre tracks and where informal crossing points are located.
- Trees must not conflict with streetlights and must be a minimum 10 metres away and a minimum 1.5m from the carriageway. Trees that are within 5m of the carriageway or footway will require root protection.
- Trees within the highway will need to be approved by OCC and will carry a commuted sum. No private planting to overhang or encroach the proposed adoptable areas.
- The visitor parking bays parallel to the carriageway, can be adopted but accrue a commuted sum. Any other bays (echelon or perpendicular) or private bays will not be considered for adoption.
- No property including balconies should be within 500mm to the proposed highway. No doors, gates, windows, garage doors or gas/electric cupboards must open over the proposed highway.
- The Highway boundary needs to be checked with OCC Highway Records (<u>highway.records@oxfordshire.gov.uk</u>) to determine whether or not it coincides with the site boundary at the proposed access junction. The highway boundary is usually identified along the roadside edge of the ditch.
- No Highway materials, construction methods, adoptable layouts and technical details have been approved at this stage. The detailed design and acceptable adoption standards will be subject to a full technical audit.
- OCC require saturated CBR laboratory tests on the sub-soil likely to be used as the sub-formation layer. This would be best done alongside the main ground investigation for the site but the location of the samples must relate to the proposed location of the carriageway/footway.

• Minor residential roads that serve four or fewer properties will not be considered for adoption. Roads serving 5 or more houses can be considered for adoption but will need to meet adoptable criteria set out in the OCC Street Design Guide (2021)

# Legal Agreement required to secure:

£1,890 (RPI index linked) travel plan monitoring fee

# **Conditions:**

Prior to first occupation a Residential Travel Plan and Residential Travel Information Pack should be submitted to the Local Planning Authority.

#### S106 obligations and their compliance with Regulation 122(2) Community Infrastructure Levy Regulations 2010 (as amended):

<u>£112,167</u> <u>Public Transport Service Contribution</u> indexed from <u>December</u> 2021 using <u>RPI-x</u>

Towards: The improvement of bus routes serving Caversfield.

**Justification:** To maximise connectivity to the site and ensure that the opportunity for longer-term viability can be maximised, a contribution for public transport services is required from the development which would be applied to either of these options.

**Calculation:** Within similar developments OCC have requested and secured a contribution which is currently  $\pounds$ 1,133 per dwelling. Therefore, the total public transport services contribution will be  $\pounds$ 112,167, indexed to RPIx at December 2021.

# **<u>£TBC</u>** Public Rights of Way Contribution indexed from <u>TBC</u> using Baxter Index

**Towards:** Improvements and mitigation to PROWs surrounding the site which may include improvements to the path surface, signing, and other necessary mitigation measures.

**Justification:** The proposed dwellings are likely to generate more footfall on surrounding PROWs, which will require improvements and maintenance as a result.

# **Calculation: TBC**

# £1890 Travel Plan Monitoring Fee indexed from April 2023 using RPI-x

**Justification:** To enable the travel plan to be monitored for a period of five years. Used to ensure opportunities for sustainable transport are maximised.

Calculation: Based on the estimated staff cost to OCC of monitoring the plan.

#### S278 Highway Works:

An obligation to enter into a <u>S278</u> Agreement will be required to secure mitigation/improvement works, including:

- Proposed footway on Aunt Ems Lane
- Proposed footway/cycleway and crossing on Fringford Road and Banbury Road
- Bus stops on Fringford Road / Skimmingdish Lane

#### Notes:

This is to be secured by means of S106 restriction not to implement development (until S278 agreement has been entered into. The trigger by which time S278 works are to be completed shall also be included in the S106 agreement.

Identification of areas required to be dedicated as public highway and agreement of all relevant landowners will be necessary in order to enter into the S278 agreements.

#### Planning Conditions:

In the event that permission is to be given, the following planning conditions should be attached:

#### Access: Full details

No development shall commence unless and until full details of the means of access between the land and the highway, including, position, layout, construction, drainage and vision splays have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The means of access shall be constructed in strict accordance with the approved details and shall be retained and maintained as such thereafter. Agreed vision splays shall be kept clear of obstructions higher than 0.6m at all times.

**Reason** - In the interests of highway safety and to comply with Policy ESD15 of the Cherwell Local Plan 2011-2031 Part 1 and Government guidance contained within the National Planning Policy Framework.

#### **Cycle Parking Provision**

Prior to the first use or occupation of the development hereby permitted, covered cycle parking facilities shall be provided on the site in accordance with details which shall be firstly submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Thereafter, the

covered cycle parking facilities shall be permanently retained and maintained for the parking of cycles in connection with the development.

**Reason** - In the interests of sustainability, to ensure a satisfactory form of development and to comply with Government guidance contained within the National Planning Policy Framework.

### **Electric Vehicle Charging**

Prior to the first occupation of the development, a scheme for the provision of vehicular electric charging points to serve the development shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The vehicular electric charging points shall be provided in accordance with the approved details prior to the first occupation of the unit they serve, and retained as such thereafter.

**Reason** - To comply with Policies SLE 4, ESD 1, ESD 3 and ESD 5 of the adopted Cherwell Local Plan 2011-2031 Part 1 and to maximise opportunities for sustainable transport modes in accordance with paragraph 110(e) of the National Planning Policy Framework

#### **Construction Traffic Management Plan**

Prior to commencement of the development hereby approved, a Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Thereafter, the development shall not be carried out other than in accordance with the approved CTMP.

**Reason**: In the interests of highway safety and the residential amenities of neighbouring occupiers and to comply with Government guidance contained within the National Planning Policy Framework.

#### Travel Plan

Prior to the first occupation of the development hereby approved, a Travel Plan, prepared in accordance with the Department of Transport's Best Practice Guidance Note "Using the Planning Process to Secure Travel Plans", shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Thereafter, the development shall be implemented and operated in accordance with the approved details.

**Reason** - In the interests of sustainability and to ensure a satisfactory form of development, in accordance with Government guidance contained within the National Planning Policy Framework

#### Informative:

Please note If works are required to be carried out within the public highway, the applicant shall not commence such work before formal approval has been granted by Oxfordshire County Council by way of legal agreement between the applicant and Oxfordshire County Council. This is separate from any planning permission that may be granted.

Officer's Name: Ben Mundy Officer's Title: Transport Development Officer Date: 04/03/2024

# Lead Local Flood Authority

#### Recommendation:

No Objection subject to conditions

#### SuDS:

The approved drainage system shall be implemented in accordance with the approved Detailed Design prior to the use of the building commencing:

Reference: Land West of Fringford Road, Caversfield – Flood Risk Assessment 27877\_FLD\_0101

#### Reason:

To ensure that the principles of sustainable drainage are incorporated into this

proposal.

#### Conditions:

- 1. Construction shall not begin until/prior to the approval of first reserved matters; a detailed surface water drainage scheme for the site, has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The scheme shall be subsequently be implemented in accordance with the approved details before the development is completed. The scheme shall include:
  - A compliance report to demonstrate how the scheme complies with the "Local Standards and Guidance for Surface Water Drainage on Major Development in Oxfordshire";
  - Full drainage calculations for all events up to and including the 1 in 100 year plus 40% climate change;
  - A Flood Exceedance Conveyance Plan;
  - Detailed design drainage layout drawings of the SuDS proposals including cross-section details;

- Detailed maintenance management plan in accordance with Section 32 of CIRIA C753 including maintenance schedules for each drainage element, and;
- Details of how water quality will be managed during construction and post development in perpetuity;
- Confirmation of any outfall details.
- Consent for any connections into third party drainage systems

## 2. SuDS As Built and Maintenance Details

Prior to first occupation, a record of the installed SuDS and site wide drainage scheme shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority for deposit with the Lead Local Flood Authority Asset Register. The details shall include:

(a) As built plans in both .pdf and .shp file format;

(b) Photographs to document each key stage of the drainage system when installed on site;

(c) Photographs to document the completed installation of the drainage structures on site;

(d) The name and contact details of any appointed management company information.

Officer's Name: Shada Hasan Officer's Title: LLFA Engineer Date: 8th February 2024

#### Application no: 24/00245/OUT

Location: South Lodge, Fringford Road, Caversfield, Bicester, OX27 8TH

# Education Schedule

#### **Recommendation:**

#### No objection subject to:

• **S106 Contributions** as summarised in the tables below and justified in this Schedule.

Contribution	Amount £	Price base	Index	Towards (details)
Primary and nursery education	£808,524	327	BCIS All-In TPI	Primary provision serving the area
Secondary education	£764,451	327	BCIS All-In TPI	Secondary provision serving the area
Secondary school land contributions	£70,150	Apr-23	RPIX	Land for a new secondary school serving the area
SEN	£53,845	327	BCIS All-In TPI	SEN provision serving the area
Total	£1,696,970			

#### S106 obligations and their compliance with Regulation 122(2) Community Infrastructure Levy Regulations 2010 (as amended):

#### £808,524 Primary and Nursery School Contribution indexed from TPI = 327

#### Justification:

The nearest primary school to the proposed development is Gagle Brook Primary School, which opened in September 2018 to provide primary school capacity for the North West Bicester allocated site. Although pupil numbers at the school are still growing, it will be filled from the developments at NW Bicester which have funded it, and currently spare places at the school cannot be assumed to be available to meet the needs of this application site. The scale of housing development at Bicester will require further new primary schools, which can be built large enough to meet the needs of the application site. In order that all developments mitigate their own impact in a fair and reasonable manner,

this application site is therefore required to contribute towards the cost of the planned new primary schools at NW Bicester.

## Calculation:

Number of primary and nursery pupils expected to be generated	36
Per pupil cost of building a new primary school	£22,459
Pupils * cost =	£808,524

## S106 obligations and their compliance with Regulation 122(2) Community Infrastructure Levy Regulations 2010 (as amended):

## £764,451 Secondary School Contribution indexed from TPI = 327

#### Justification:

The scale of housing growth in Bicester requires another new secondary school, in addition to that recently opened at SW Bicester to meet the needs of already permitted development. Sufficient secondary school capacity to meet the needs of this site will be provided through the new secondary school planned as part of the southern section of the North West Bicester development. The school will be delivered in phases depending on the build out of the development. The first phase of at least 600 places is forecast to be required by the late 2020's, although this is subject to the speed of housing delivery.

#### Calculation:

Number of secondary pupils expected to be generated	23
Estimated per pupil cost of a new 600-place secondary school	£33,237
Pupils * cost =	£764,451

# £70,150 Secondary School Land Contribution indexed from RPIX April 2023

#### Justification:

The proposed secondary school site is on land that forms part of the planning application reference 14/01641/OUT. This development would be expected to contribute proportionately towards the cost to the county council of acquiring this land.

#### Calculation:

Number of secondary pupils expected to be generated	23
Estimated per pupil cost of land for the new secondary school (using April 23 prices)	£3,050
Pupils * land cost per pupil	£70,150

## £53,845 Special School Contribution indexed from TPI = 327

#### Justification:

Government guidance is that local authorities should secure developer contributions for expansion to special education provision commensurate with the need arising from the development.

Approximately half of pupils with Education Needs & Disabilities (SEND) are educated in mainstream schools, in some cases supported by specialist resource bases, and approximately half attend special schools, some of which are run by the local authority and some of which are independent. Based on current pupil data, approximately 0.9% of primary pupils attend special school, 2.1% of secondary pupils and 1.5% of sixth form pupils. These percentages are deducted from the mainstream pupil contributions referred to above and generate the number of pupils expected to require education at a special school.

The county council's Special Educational Needs & Disability Sufficiency of Places Strategy is available at

<u>https://www.oxfordshire.gov.uk/residents/schools/our-work-schools/planning-enough-school</u> <u>-places</u> and sets out how Oxfordshire already needs more special school places. This is being achieved through a mixture of new schools and expansions of existing schools.

The proposed development is expected to further increase demand for places at SEN schools in the area, and a contribution towards expansion of SEN school capacity is therefore sought based on the percentage of the pupil generation who would be expected to require places at a special school, based on pupil census data. (This amount of pupils has been deducted from the primary and secondary pupil generation quoted above.)

#### Calculation:

Number of pupils requiring education at a special school expected to be generated	0.6
Estimated per pupil cost of special school expansion, as advised by Government guidance "Securing developer contributions for education" (November 2019)	£89,741
Pupils * cost =	£ 53,845

The above contributions are based on a policy-compliant unit mix of:

13 x 1 bed dwellings
25 x 2 bed dwellings
42 x 3 bed dwellings (net, less one 3 bed dwelling as per the application form)
17 x 4 bed dwellings (net, less one 4 bed dwelling as per the application form)

It is noted that the application is outline and therefore the above level of contributions would be subject to amendment, should the final unit mix result in an increase in pupil generation.

Officer's Name: Louise Heavey Officer's Title: School Place Planning Lead Date:15/02/2024

# <u>Archaeology</u>

## Conditions:

We would, therefore, recommend that, should planning permission be granted, the applicant should be responsible for ensuring the implementation of a staged programme of archaeological investigation to be maintained during the period of construction. This can be ensured through the attachment of a suitable negative condition along the lines of:

1. Prior to any demolition and the commencement of the development a professional archaeological organisation acceptable to the Local Planning Authority shall prepare an Archaeological Written Scheme of Investigation, relating to the application site area, which shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

Reason - To safeguard the recording of archaeological matters within the site in accordance with the NPPF (2023).

2. Following the approval of the Written Scheme of Investigation referred to in condition 1, and prior to any demolition on the site and the commencement of the development (other than in accordance with the agreed Written Scheme of Investigation), a staged programme of archaeological evaluation and mitigation shall be carried out by the commissioned archaeological organisation in accordance with the approved Written Scheme of Investigation. The programme of work shall include all processing, research and analysis necessary to produce an accessible and useable archive and a full report for publication which shall be submitted to the Local Planning Authority within two years of the completion of the archaeological fieldwork.

Reason – To safeguard the identification, recording, analysis and archiving of heritage assets before they are lost and to advance understanding of the heritage assets in their wider context through publication and dissemination of the evidence in accordance with the NPPF (2023).

#### **Detailed comments:**

The site lies in an area of archaeological interest, as outlined in the submitted Heritage Statement. The site is located adjacent to Caversfield deserted medieval village (PRN 1016). The 10<sup>th</sup> century Church of St Lawrence is located 190m north west of the site (PRN 5106). A faint cropmark of a possible ring ditch has been recorded 160m to the north of the site (PRN 17461). This feature is likely to be either the remains of a Bronze Age Barrow or the remains of a parkland planting features as other, circular, clumps of trees are recorded in the area.

Iron Age and Roman settlement has been recorded at Slade End Farm 500m southeast of the site (PRN 16025) and a series of linear features and possible pits have been recorded through geophysical survey 380m northeast of the site (PRN 17498) and a complex of Later Prehistoric rectilinear enclosures have also been recorded by geophysical survey approximately 1km to the southwest (PRN 15958).

The site has been the subject of a geophysical survey as part of a previous application, which recorded a number of features which may be of archaeological origin. The report however also concludes that several areas of the site were disrupted by geological or magnetic interference which may have masked further features and therefore it is possible that further features may survive on the site. Not all archaeological features will be identified through geophysical survey and it is also possible that archaeological features may survive on the site which were not recorded by the survey.

Officer's Name: Victoria Green Officer's Title: Planning Archaeologist Date: 8th February 2024

#### Application no: 24/00245/OUT

Location: South Lodge, Fringford Road, Caversfield, Bicester, OX27 8TH

# Waste Management

# **Recommendation:**

No objection subject to S106 contributions

# Legal agreement required to secure:

#### No objection subject to:

• S106 Contributions as summarised in the tables below and justified in this Schedule.

Contribution	Amount	Price base	Index	Towards (details)
Household	£9,302	327	BCIS All-In	Expansion and efficiency
Waste	·		TPI	of Household Waste
Recycling				Recycling Centres
Centres				(HWRC)

# S106 obligations and their compliance with Regulation 122(2) Community Infrastructure Levy Regulations 2010 (as amended):

**£9,302** Household Waste Recycling Centre Contribution indexed from Index Value 327 using BCIS All-in Tender Price Index

#### Towards:

The expansion and efficiency of Household Waste Recycling Centre (HWRC) capacity.

#### Justification:

3. Oxfordshire County Council, as a Waste Disposal Authority, is required under the Environmental Protection Act 1990 (Section 51) to arrange:

"for places to be provided at which persons resident in its area may deposit their household waste and for the disposal of waste so deposited";

and that

"(a) each place is situated either within the area of the authority or so as to be reasonably accessible to persons resident in its area;

(b) each place is available for the deposit of waste at all reasonable times (including at least one period on the Saturday or following day of each week except a week in which the Saturday is 25<sup>th</sup> December or 1<sup>st</sup> January);

(c) each place is available for the deposit of waste free of charge by persons resident in the area;".

- 4. Such places are known as Household Waste Recycling Centres (HWRCs) and Oxfordshire County Council provides seven HWRCs throughout the County. This network of sites is no longer fit for purpose and is over capacity.
- 5. Site capacity is assessed by comparing the number of visitors on site at any one time (as measured by traffic monitoring) to the available space. This analysis shows that all sites are currently 'over capacity' (meaning residents need to queue before they are able to deposit materials) at peak times, and many sites are nearing capacity during off peak times. The proposed development will provide 99 dwellings. If each household makes four trips per annum the development would impact on the already over capacity HWRCs by an additional 396 HWRC visits per year.
- 6. Congestion on site can reduce recycling as residents who have already queued to enter are less willing to take the time necessary to sort materials into the correct bin. Reduced recycling leads to higher costs and an adverse impact on the environment. As all sites are currently over capacity, population growth linked to new housing developments will increase the pressure on the sites.
- 7. The Waste Regulations (England and Wales) 2011 require that waste is dealt with according to the waste hierarchy. The County Council provides a large number of appropriate containers and storage areas at HWRCs to maximise the amount of waste reused or recycled that is delivered by local residents. However, to manage the waste appropriately this requires more space and infrastructure meaning the pressures of new developments are increasingly felt. Combined with the complex and varied nature of materials delivered to site it will become increasingly difficult over time to comply with the EU Waste Framework Directive 2008, enacted through the Waste Regulations (England and Wales) 2011 (as amended), maintain performance and a good level of service especially at busy and peak times.

#### Calculation:

Space at HWRC required per dwelling (m <sup>2</sup> )	0.18	Current land available 41,000m <sup>2</sup> , needs to increase by 28% to cope with current capacity issues. Space for reuse requires an additional 7%. Therefore, total land required for current dwellings (300,090) is 55,350 m <sup>2</sup> , or 0.18m <sup>2</sup> per dwelling
Infrastructure cost per m <sup>2</sup>	£275	Kidlington build cost/m <sup>2</sup> indexed to 327 BCIS
Land cost per m <sup>2</sup>	£247	Senior Estates Surveyor valuation
Total land and	£522	
infrastructure cost /m <sup>2</sup>		
Cost/dwelling	£93.96	
No of dwellings in the development	99	
Total contributions requested	£9,302	

# **Detailed comments:**

Oxfordshire councils have ambitious targets to reduce the amount of waste generated and increase the amount recycled as demonstrated in our Joint Municipal Waste Management Strategy 2018-2023. Enabling residents of new dwellings to fully participate in district council waste and recycling collections is vital to allow Oxfordshire's high recycling rates to be maintained and reduce the amount of non-recyclable waste generated.

Given the pressing urgency of climate change and the need to embed the principles of the circular economy into all areas of our society, we encourage the applicant to consider including community spaces that help reduce waste and build community cohesion through assets such as community fridges, space for the sharing economy (library of things), refill stations, space for local food growing etc.

At the reserved matters application stage, we expect to see plans for how the developer will design the development in accordance with waste management policies in Cherwell District Council's waste planning guidance.

Bin storage areas must be able to accommodate the correct number of mixed recycling, refuse and food recycling bins; be safe and easy to use for residents and waste collection crews and meet the requirements of the waste collection authority.

The development will increase domestic waste arisings and the demand for all waste management services including Household Waste Recycling Centres (HWRCs).

# **Conditions:**

In the event that permission is to be given, the following conditions should be attached:

N/A

Officer's Name: Mark Watson Officer's Title: Waste Strategy Projects Officer Date: 22 February 2024



#### RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION ON THE FOLLOWING DEVELOPMENT PROPOSAL

District: Cherwell Application no: 24/00245/OUT

**Proposal:** Outline application for demolition of existing structures and erection of up to 99 dwellings, access, open space and associated works with all matters reserved except for access

Location: South Lodge, Fringford Road, Caversfield, Bicester, OX27 8TH

#### LOCAL MEMBER VIEWS

Cllr Ford

Division: Bicester North and Caversfield

Comments:

Concerns regarding the suitability of Aunt Em's Lane which is almost a single track with no path. With the Banbury Road junction being turned into a signalised junction this road will already be experiencing more traffic as it will be used to avoid the junction the turning into Fringford Road is already dangerous. If there is another junction added to Fringford Road with more traffic movement this will increase the traffic concerns.

The Caversfield Park mentioned as a local amenity is in fact not council/public land and is owned by the United States Military and access could be removed at any point.

I have concerns regarding the distances to amenities, these appear to have been calculated from the junction with Fringford Road and not the houses towards the back of the proposed development which would considerably increase the distance.

The closest Doctors/dentist are a considerable distance and currently oversubscribed.

I understand that at least 1 of the bus routes mentioned is subsidised at present and therefore it can not be guaranteed that it continues in the future. I can not see a proposed safe crossing point from the site to the pathed side of the Fringford Road. Fringford Road only contains a path on one side towards Bicester after the function with Aunt Ems Lane

Date: 07/02/2024