

Comment for planning application 24/00245/OUT

Application Number	<input type="text" value="24/00245/OUT"/>
Location	<input type="text" value="South Lodge Fringford Road Caversfield Bicester OX27 8TH"/>
Proposal	<input type="text" value="Outline application for demolition of existing structures and erection of up to 99 dwellings, access, open space and associated works with all matters reserved except for access"/>
Case Officer	<input type="text" value="Andrew Thompson"/>
Organisation Name	<input type="text" value="John Sutcliffe"/>
Address	<input type="text" value="1 Springfield Road,Caversfield,Bicester,OX27 8TT"/>
Type of Comment	<input type="text" value="Objection"/>
Type	<input type="text" value="neighbour"/>
Comments	<p>Caversfield is classed as a category C village and under this classification no new development shall be permitted, this classification is for infill and extensions.</p> <p>This development submitted by Cala homes, was previously rejected by the Planning inspector in 2014. This latest version of the planning application, the developer seems to have totally ignored the decision by the Planning Inspector.</p> <p>This development will be built on green field land as against to the development of the Garden Quarter which was classified as a brown field site.</p> <p>CDC has confirmed that it has housing stock for the next 5 years, so this would be surplus to requirements.</p> <p>The development will be detrimental to traffic in the village and as no new assessment seems to have taken place since 2013 this will cause even more traffic stress on the village.</p> <p>The wildlife is already under pressure due to the developments of the ECO Town and the re-designing of the Banbury road roundabout re-modelling, this development will fly in the face of the biodiversity and wild life of the village.</p> <p>Caversfield green spaces are well below the stated average for this type of conurbation, this development will reduce even further the green credentials of the area, albeit the riding stables has not functioned as a business for some time, this is not to say that the land may be developed as recreational land in the future.</p> <p>The application makes an assumption that the MOD Park is a local open space facility which is incorrect, whereas in reality the area can be shut down at any time to the general public.</p> <p>All of the local primary schools are at capacity as are Doctors and Dentists, no provision is mentioned in any proposal for a Secondary Educational facilities in the north of Bicester.</p> <p>Light pollution from this development will be detrimental to the local area.</p>
Received Date	<input type="text" value="28/02/2024 22:06:55"/>
Attachments	