

# Comment for planning application 24/00245/OUT

<b>Application Number</b>	24/00245/OUT
<b>Location</b>	South Lodge Fringford Road Caversfield Bicester OX27 8TH
<b>Proposal</b>	Outline application for demolition of existing structures and erection of up to 99 dwellings, access, open space and associated works with all matters reserved except for access
<b>Case Officer</b>	Andrew Thompson
<b>Organisation Name</b>	
<b>Name</b>	Margaret Vile
<b>Address</b>	Prentices Yard,Rectory Lane,Fringford,Bicester,OX27 8DX
<b>Type of Comment</b>	Objection
<b>Type</b>	neighbour
<b>Comments</b>	<p>The proposed application to build 99 houses on another greenfield site is too much to bear. There has already been extensive house building in Bicester and its surrounding villages in the last ten years, creating endless traffic chaos and a strain on the infrastructure. Bicester has lost its identity as a small ancient market town and now it seems the property developers are determined to swallow up even more green belt, villages until there is nothing left.</p> <p>My objections to the proposal are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1/ Permeant negative impact on biodiversity, wildlife and human wellbeing</li><li>2/ Greenbelt was designed to protect villages from being lost in large sterile urban development</li><li>3/ Caversfield is category 'C' village and is not a sustainable village proposal and is contrary to local Cherwell plan which only allows for sustainable development.</li><li>4/Elmsbrook housing so called 'eco friendly' development has already caused a detrimental impact on agricultural land and the countryside in this area.</li><li>5/ This sporadic proposal on open countryside would destroy the natural appearance of historical country lanes such as 'Aunt Ems lane'.</li><li>6/ The adjacent sections of land would be clearly visible from area's listed buildings such as Caversfield Church and the heritage site of RAF Bicester Garden Quarter, creating an urbanising effect and negative impact on the surrounding countryside.</li><li>7/ The destruction of the rural landscape would have a major impact on the risk of flooding.</li></ol> <p>I respectfully ask the Cherwell planning committed to dismiss this application as nothing has changed that warrants the permanent destruction and harm to this rural landscape since the refusal of the previous appeal decision; reference permission C3105/A/13/2208385 May 2014.</p> <p>Thank you Margaret and David Vile</p>
<b>Received Date</b>	22/02/2024 12:39:37
<b>Attachments</b>	