## Comment for planning application 24/00097/F

Application Number	24/00097/F
Location	Land South Side Of Widnell Lane Piddington
Proposal	RETROSPECTIVE - Material Change of Use of land to use as a residential caravan site for 12 gypsy/traveller families, each with two caravans, including improvement of access, laying of hardstanding and installation of package sewage treatment plant
Case Officer	Jeanette Davey
Organisation Name	Mario Terzino
Address	3 Eastbrook Close, Piddington, Bicester, OX25 1PD
Type of Comment	Objection
Туре	neighbour
Comments	<ul> <li>Application Reference: 24/00097/F</li> <li>Application by: Mr H.L. Foster</li> <li>Site Address: Land south of Widnell Lane, Bicester</li> <li>I wish to make an objection to the above application on the following basis.</li> <li>This application has been submitted as a 'Retrospective' application. This is completely misleading. Retrospective application would mean that the application was for a project that has begun or is already complete planning permission. In this case, permission for this development had already been applied for and refused by Cherwell District Council and had been dismissed at appeal.</li> <li>The Applicant is also in breach of pre planning conditions which my understanding should mean that the original planning consent should now be null and void.</li> <li>The applicant has been refused planning at appeal on several occasions with the inspectorate concluding.</li> <li>"The adverse impacts of the development would relate to protected species and flood risk/drainage, both of which would create very significant harm. Weighing against those impacts, the scheme would provide much-needed gypsy and traveller pitches in the district. There would also be moderate economic benefits through the construction phase and the future occupation of the isi. I am therefore clear that the adverse impacts of granting planning permission in this instance would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits. The proposal would be contrary to the development plan and there are no material considerations that outweigh this conflict. "</li> <li>I therefore urge the planning committee to refuse permission.</li> </ul>
Received Date	12/02/2024 16:31:44
Attachments	