Lynne Baldwin

From: dc.support@cherwell-dc.gov.uk

Sent: 08 February 2024 12:03

To: DC Support

Subject: New comments for application 24/00097/F

New comments have been received for applica on 24/00097/F at site address: Land South Side Of Widnell Lane Piddington

from Roderick Keith Grant Delve

Address:

18 Thame Road, Piddington, Bicester, OX25 1PX

Comment type:

Objec•on

Comments:

Dear Sir/Madam/et al.

I am wri•ng to express my strong objec•on to the retrospec•ve planning applica•on (24/00097/F) for the proposed establishment of a gypsy traveller site comprising 12 caravans on Widnell Lane.

Having thoroughly examined the poten•al grounds for objec•on provided, I feel compelled to voice my concerns regarding the suitability of this development for our community and the surrounding environment.

The legal aspect of this applica•on raises signi•cant ques•ons about the applicant's adherence to planning regula•ons. The poten•al invalida•on of exis•ng planning consent for six pitches due to the commencement of work before ful•lling pre-commencement condi•ons is deeply troubling and warrants thorough inves•ga•on. Furthermore, the proposed loca•on of the site presents numerous challenges that render it unsuitable for residen•al development.

Its isola • on and distance from essen • al services, coupled with poor access and the likelihood of genera • ng excessive vehicle journeys, are signi • cant cause for concern. Addi • onally, the ecological importance of the site as a habitat for the endangered Great Crested Newts, acknowledged in previous planning refusals, underscores the need to protect this fragile ecosystem from further disrup • on.

The immediate surroundings of the proposed site further compound its unsuitability for residen•al use. Proximity to noisy, dusty industries such as a concrete batching plant opera•ng round the clock, a MOD training ground with intermi•ent explosions and ar•llery noise, and pylons, poses serious health and environmental risks to poten•al residents. Moreover, the specula•ve nature of the development, coupled with the lack of local ameni•es and the site's recognized landscape value, further undermines its suitability for housing.

Issues of access and infrastructure also loom large in this objecon. The narrow, unclassion country lane with a naonal speed limit poses signiocant safety risks for both pedestrians and motorists, exacerbated by poor visibility and the absence of footpaths and streetlights. The potenoal damage to infrastructure from large vehicles passing each other further underscores the inadequacy of the site's access provisions.

Design and amenity considera ons also weigh heavily against this proposed development. The failure to integrate with local architectural tradion, coupled with inadequate provisions for parking, manoeuvring vehicles, and communal facilioes, detracts from the quality of life for potenoal residents and compromises the character of the surrounding area.

In conclusion, the cumula •ve impact of these concerns raises serious doubts about the suitability of the proposed development on Widnell Lane. I urge the Cherwell District Council to carefully consider the objec•ons raised herein

and priori•ze the well-being of our community and the preserva•on of our environment in reaching a decision on this applica•on.

Thank you for your a • en • on to this ma • er.

Case O • cer: Jeane • e Davey