

# Comment for planning application 24/00097/F

<b>Application Number</b>	<input type="text" value="24/00097/F"/>
<b>Location</b>	<input type="text" value="Land South Side Of Widnell Lane Piddington"/>
<b>Proposal</b>	<input type="text" value="RETROSPECTIVE - Material Change of Use of land to use as a residential caravan site for 12 gypsy/traveller families, each with two caravans, including improvement of access, laying of hardstanding and installation of package sewage treatment plant"/>
<b>Case Officer</b>	<input type="text" value="Jeanette Davey"/>
<b>Organisation Name</b>	<input type="text" value="M. Elliott"/>
<b>Address</b>	<input type="text" value="6 Vicarage Lane,Piddington,Bicester,OX25 1QA"/>
<b>Type of Comment</b>	<input type="text" value="Objection"/>
<b>Type</b>	<input type="text" value="neighbour"/>
<b>Comments</b>	<p>I would like to object strongly to the application for retrospective planning permission for 12 pitches at this location.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. An original application for 6 pitches has been granted under appeal, work commenced on this site without pre-commencement conditions being carried out, I understand that two ponds have been filled in during this work, therefore, has this not invalidated the original planning consent for 6 pitches?</li><li>2. Previous applications for 12 and 18 pitches have all been rejected, what is different to this application.</li><li>3. There are no amenities in the village, the village has around 150 properties, therefore, a development of this size would dominate the community and be in an area where no other residential developments would be allowed.</li><li>4. The site does have the endangered great crested newts with 96m of the development and has importance and landscape value which has been recognised in CDC's local plan. The site is located adjacent to the MOD training ground, which uses artillery, explosives and pyrotechnics. A concrete batching plant is also located to rear of the proposed site.</li><li>5. The site access has no pedestrian footpaths or street lighting leading to the site.</li><li>6. The roads in and around the village has many dog owners and horse riders who exercise their animals along the roads at present, the increased movement of vehicles would represent a danger to these, especially to those using the Jubilee reserve.</li><li>7. Where would visitors to the site park their vehicles?</li><li>8. The development would add to the surface run of water, the village is often flooded along some parts of village, especially on road leading to the A41.</li><li>9. This is not a sustainable location for this development, is there not a more sustainable location for a traveller site in the local plan? Have brownfield sites been considered before greenfield sites?</li></ol>
<b>Received Date</b>	<input type="text" value="09/02/2024 09:45:54"/>
<b>Attachments</b>	