Comment for planning application 23/03428/OUT

Application Number	23/03428/OUT	
Location	OS Parcel 7921 South Of Banbury	Huscote Farm And North West Of County Boundary Daventry Road
Proposal	Outline planning application for the construction of up to 140,000 sqm of employment floorspace (use class B8) with ancillary offices and facilities and servicing and infastructure including new site accesses. Internal roads and footpaths, landscaping including earthworks to create development platforms and bunds, drainage features and other associated works including demolition of the existing farmhouse	
Case Officer	Chris Wentworth	
Organisation		
Name	Sophie Nobes	
Address	The Old Forge, Main Road, Farthinghoe, Brackley	
Type of Comment	Objection	
Туре	neighbour	
Comments	While this development is location will lead to a dire route that is used to tran that goes directly through air pollution and safety ri inappropriate route each the true number is likely When mentioning the A42 following: 'The A422 is a central reservation. The r becomes single carriagew A422/ Mansion Hill Round of Brackley.' As in the sim the authors do not menti- mile along the southern et the development between village in a conservation a 30mph. It also directly pa pollution danger to these bends throughout, evider years. While the propose failed to consider similar mere feet. In the summary of the Tr majority' of movement ge this vague conclusion has of the travel generated by conclusion, as they repor the A422 as a result of th previous 22/01488/OUT a M40 (a decrease from 2,2 developments adjacent to investigation, meaning th properly considered. This the first place. Surely exi addition construction is c thoroughly considered th give examples, any traffic and Oxford would not tra development nearby has above. It is not unreason of this development is als the traffic flow at present	development for the following reasons: a located on the M40, any business and production ventures at this act increase in the traffic occupying other local roads. One such sverse between the M40 and the A43 is the A422. This is an A-road n local villages, which are already plighted by significant noise and sks as a result of the some 4,000,000 vehicles that travel this year. Note this number comes from a 2014 survey of the village, so to be much higher. 22 in the Transport & Access statement, the proposers say the dual carriageway road with each direction separated by a grass orad is subject to a 50mph speed limit of 70mph. The A422 vay and subject to a 50mph speed limit to the east of the B4525/ labout. The A422 runs between Banbury and the A43 to the south nilar application that was rejected last year (22/01488/OUT) what on is that the A422 is a dual carriageway with a 70mph for just 1.1 adge of the development. Less than 4 miles along the A422 from n Banbury and Brackley, the A422 goes directly through a small area. Here the road is single carriageway and has a speed limit of asses by a primary school, contributing to significant road and young children. Furthermore, the road has sharp and dangerous need by the number of road traffic collisions on this road in recent r has considered the Oxfordshire Local Transport Plan, they have plans for Northamptonshire, from which the proposed location is ansport & Access statement, the proposer's own data contradict this t an estimated 4,2891 vehicles would travel in both directions of his development. Indeed, the proposer's own data contradict this t an estimated 4,2891 vehicles would travel in both directions of his development to the question the need for these warehouses in sting premises should be filled and requiring expansion before any onsidered. In all, these points show that the proposers have not e impact that the development would have on the local area. To c coming from local hubs such as Brackley, Bicester, Milton Keynes ve

many local traffic initiatives that are currently looking to secure funding, e.g. a bypass of the
village of Farthinghoe. I would suggest that the developers of this proposal are required to
contribute to such initiatives should they be granted permission to develop. In terms of the
development itself, it is much larger than the recent adjacent development that has been
used as a justification for the proposal. The development will lead to the destruction of
swathes of green belt land separating Banbury for the rural communities nearby.
Each of these considerations was outlined in a previous objection to the 22/01488/OUT
proposal, and remain relevant to the current application. Indeed, based on the increased
projected traffic flow to unsuitable local roads as a result of this application, the current
proposal has the potential to be even more destructive to the local area should it be allowed
to go ahead.

Attachments