Comment for planning application 23/03428/OUT

Application Number	23/03428/OUT	
Location	OS Parcel 7921 South Of Banbury	Huscote Farm And North West Of County Boundary Daventry Road
Proposal	Outline planning application for the construction of up to 140,000 sqm of employment floorspace (use class B8) with ancillary offices and facilities and servicing and infastructure including new site accesses. Internal roads and footpaths, landscaping including earthworks to create development platforms and bunds, drainage features and other associated works including demolition of the existing farmhouse	
Case Officer	Chris Wentworth	
Organisation		
Name	Keith Holloway	
Address	Little Bandeleys,39 Banbury Road,Chacombe, Banbury	
Type of Comment	Objection	
Туре	neighbour	
Comments	"I strongly object to this	application based on:
	 delays and idling traffic (this situation tremendous infrastructure in and arous situation already at crisis available to support infra Two (soon to be three) we moving here will be appara accident/roadworks in an pinch points such as Chri miles! 2. Business and commun This is the big question - still it is obvious there is many factories/offices wi this application not genut later changing the planni expense of hundreds of la noise, busy roads, polluti 3. Flooding and drains 	bosed development is already a high-volume traffic area, significant high pollution levels). Increasing traffic movement will exacerbate sly, especially given no plans to significantly improve the road and the M40 junction. Oxfordshire County Council's current budget point making it unlikely any County/Government funding will be structure. arehouses adjacent to the M40 still stand empty - once traffic starts lling. This side of the town becomes impassable with d around the area, and pressure it already at a ridiculous stage at stmas/holiday shopping times - sometimes 40 minutes to drive 3 ity needs with the 2 (soon 3) HUGE warehouses on the A361 M40 junction no need/justification for more warehouses/facilities. There are also thin the district available and unoccupied. It seems obvious that inely based on local necessity but more submitted in anticipation of ng use/provision. Local landowner trying to capitalise at the ocal people (neighbours!) - these communities do not need or want, on (noise/emissions/light etc) on their doorstop.
	exacerbate floodwaters r already subject to the ris major impact in many ar Current flood defences ar proposal would cause fur flood defences was paid f additional work - major f 4. Noise and increased er Increase in emissions in traffic levels/emissions is ambitions. It will also se the locality - diminishing	ill almost certainly have a significant and detrimental impact on and unning into adjacent low-lying areas. This area around the M40 is k of flooding - prime evidence this first week of January 2024 with eas; recreational, residential, and business dramatically affected. re inadequate for existing water/river levels in the area - this new ther incredible stress to already outdated flood defences. Given the for from Cherwell funds (residents) will you expect them to pay for unding expense on already tight budges? missions terms heat, light, odours and noise, together with increased idling not going to help the council achieve its climate emergency verely and negatively impact on the residents, care homes etc in the quality of their lives forever. Emission levels can only be ossibly calculate the hidden damage until after the event.
	There will be a dramatic ahead. Many of the birds	ndscape, wildlife and reputation negative impact on landscape and wildlife is a given if this goes s in the area are already being monitored by Cherwell District versity policy so you can see first had the current declining trend,

	don't add to it. Banbury will be known as warehouse central instead of the beautiful and thriving town it is and should be.	
	Please refuse this application.	
Received Date	07/01/2024 10:21:42	
Attachments	The following files have been uploaded:	
	 recent flooding banbury.pdf 	