

Comment for planning application 23/03428/OUT

Application Number	<input type="text" value="23/03428/OUT"/>
Location	<input type="text" value="OS Parcel 7921 South Of Huscote Farm And North West Of County Boundary Daventry Road Banbury"/>
Proposal	<input type="text" value="Outline planning application for the construction of up to 140,000 sqm of employment floorspace (use class B8) with ancillary offices and facilities and servicing and infrastructure including new site accesses. Internal roads and footpaths, landscaping including earthworks to create development platforms and bunds, drainage features and other associated works including demolition of the existing farmhouse"/>
Case Officer	<input type="text" value="Chris Wentworth"/>
Organisation Name	<input type="text" value=""/>
Name	<input type="text" value="Peter Gliwitzki"/>
Address	<input type="text" value="14 Thornhill, Chacombe,"/>
Type of Comment	<input type="text" value="Objection"/>
Type	<input type="text" value="neighbour"/>
Comments	<input type="text" value="Many of the objections to date point out the absurdity of resubmitting a planning application that has already been rejected. Isn't one definitions of madness doing the same thing and expecting a different outcome!

The existing development on the A361 made wild claims about employment, was it not 1000+ jobs for local people? Now this application stating 1900. Neither realistic nor true. These 3 warehouses are still not operable and yet one drives past noticing how well lit they are. Definitely a case of the light is on but no one is in. Chimes with Banbury Town Council. This must be waste at its worst. Heating and lighting unwanted vacant buildings.

I support all comments by other concerned citizens; congestion and environmental objections should be enough to wholeheartedly reject this application once and for all."/>
Received Date	<input type="text" value="06/01/2024 17:21:38"/>
Attachments	