## Comment for planning application 23/03428/OUT

Application Number	23/03428/OUT		
Location	OS Parcel 7921 South Of Huscote Farm And North West Of County Boundary Daventry Road Banbury		
Proposal	Outline planning application for the construction of up to 140,000 sqm of employment floorspace (use class B8) with ancillary offices and facilities and servicing and infastructure including new site accesses. Internal roads and footpaths, landscaping including earthworks to create development platforms and bunds, drainage features and other associated works including demolition of the existing farmhouse		
Case Officer	Chris Wentworth		
Organisation Name	Heidi Fowler		
Address	18 Astrop Road, Middleton Cheney, Banbury		
Type of Comment	Objection		
Туре	neighbour		
Comments Received Date	was being cynical it could people are busy with Chr 1)No public consultation comprehensive for a devi- surrounding villages and impact them. 2)The appl the inspector in 2015. 3) documented that wareho minimal low-skilled and I employment, not this. 4 development to the other a considerable amount of empty warehouse, and o argument to allow it as w the road, therefore contr 11 of the M40, the A361, increased building works any more construction or issues to local roads, and impact the local highway daily and it often takes 3 to the problem. 5)Floodir the vicinity at the top of rural land, that creates n traffic, noise, and pollution detrimental to the surrou properties that are withir closest neighbors not bee creating further unnecess Council have stated we h pollution is extremely hig any of the current issues so without delay, failure to other avenues to approva	same reasons as previously outlined in the last 2 applications, If I I be argued that the timing of this application is deliberate, when istmas, in the hope that it would just pass by un-noticed. has taken place, which should have been paramount and elopment of this size. All houses should have been informed and consulted as it will greatly ication is contrary to public policy and was previously dismissed by The economic argument for job creation is weak, it is well using creates very little employment and what it does create is ow-paid, usually zero hours work, Banbury needs quality There is no local need for this development, the previous r side of the A361 of warehousing that has now been completed for fittine, well over a year, is still not occupied and joins the myriad of ffice space in Banbury. They actually used little traffic as an varehousing has less employment and therefore less traffic using adicting the concept that it creates economic benefits. 5)Junction and A422 are already under serious pressure from traffic from the warehousing surrounding it, and HS2 traffic. It cannot cope with traffic, any incident or accident on the M40 already causes serious I the town and access roads become gridlocked. This will only s further. Banbury is already unable to cope with the current traffic 0 or 40 mins just to make a 3 or 4-mile journey, this will only add ng potential of flattening sloped access, with houses and farms in the hill, subsidence would be a severe concern. 6)Further erosion of atural habitats for wildlife and an attractive screening from the M40 on for local homes and villages. This destruction of a rural aspect is inding area. 7)Looking at the neighbor's consultation list why have a very short distance of the proposed site, and who will be the en contacted or informed? 8)Overdevelopment of a rural site, sary urbanization of rural areas. 9)Given that Cherwell District ave a climate emergency in the district and Hennef way traffic th, this will only make things worse and would in no way alleviat	
Received Date	eceived Date 15/12/2023 10:17:35		
A I .			

Attachments